



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect



Nuclear Physics B 951 (2020) 114891

www.elsevier.com/locate/nuclphysb

Precision analysis of pseudoscalar interactions in neutron beta decays

A.N. Ivanov ^{a,*}, R. Höllwieser ^{a,b}, N.I. Troitskaya ^a, M. Wellenzohn ^{a,c}, Ya.A. Berdnikov ^d

a Atominstitut, Technische Universität Wien, Stadionallee 2, A-1020 Wien, Austria
 b Department of Physics, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaussstr. 20, D-42119 Wuppertal, Germany
 c FH Campus Wien, University of Applied Sciences, Favoritenstraβe 226, 1100 Wien, Austria
 d Peter the Great St. Petersburg Polytechnic University, Polytechnicheskaya 29, 195251, Russian Federation
 Received 29 September 2019; received in revised form 29 November 2019; accepted 9 December 2019
 Available online 16 December 2019
 Editor: Hong-Jian He

Abstract

We analyze the contributions of the one–pion–pole (OPP) exchange, caused by strong low–energy interactions, and the pseudoscalar interaction beyond the Standard Model (BSM) to the correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays for polarized neutrons, polarized electrons and unpolarized protons. The strength of contributions of pseudoscalar interactions is defined by the effective coupling constant $C_{ps} = C_{ps}^{(\text{OPP})} + C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})}$. We show that the contribution of the OPP exchange is of order $C_{ps}^{(\text{OPP})} \sim -10^{-5}$. The effective coupling constant $C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})}$ of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM can be in principle complex. Using the results, obtained by González-Alonso et al. (2019) [34] we find that the values of the real and imaginary parts of the effective coupling constant $C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})}$ are constrained by $-3.5 \times 10^{-5} < \text{Re}\,C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})} < 0$ and $\text{Im}\,C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})} < -2.3 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively. The obtained results can be used as a theoretical background for experimental searches of contributions of interactions BSM in asymmetries of the neutron β^- -decays with a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton at the level of accuracy of a few parts of 10^{-5} or even better Abele (2016) [40].

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ivanov@kph.tuwien.ac.at (A.N. Ivanov), roman.hoellwieser@gmail.com (R. Höllwieser), natroitskaya@yandex.ru (N.I. Troitskaya), max.wellenzohn@gmail.com (M. Wellenzohn), berdnikov@spbstu.ru (Ya.A. Berdnikov).

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Nowadays the neutron lifetime and correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays for polarized neutrons, polarized electrons and unpolarized protons are calculated within the Standard Model (SM) at the level of 10^{-3} including the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ of and corrections caused by the weak magnetism and proton recoil of order $O(E_e/M)$ [1–13], where α , E_e and M are the fine-structure constant [14], an electron energy and the nucleon mass, respectively. Such a SM theoretical background has allowed to make steps forwards investigations of contributions of interactions beyond the SM (BSM) of order 10⁻⁴ or even smaller [40]. The analysis of interactions beyond the V-A effective theory of weak interactions [15–19] (see also [20,21]) in the neutron β^- -decays with different polarizations of massive fermions has a long history and started in 50th of the 20th century and is continuing at present time [22–34] (see also [8,9,11]). The most general form of the Lagrangian of interactions BSM has been written in [22–27], including non-derivative vector $\bar{\psi}_p \gamma_\mu \psi_n$, axial-vector $\bar{\psi}_p \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 \psi_n$, scalar $\bar{\psi}_p \psi_n$, pseudoscalar $\bar{\psi}_p \gamma^5 \psi_n$ and tensor $\bar{\psi}_p \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_n$ nucleon currents coupled to corresponding lepton currents in the form of local nucleon–lepton current–current interactions, where $\{1, \gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{5}, \gamma^{5}, \sigma_{\mu\nu}\}\$ are the Dirac matrices [35], with respect to G-parity transformations [36], i.e. $G = C e^{i\pi I_2}$, where C and I_2 are the charge conjugation and isospin operators [35], the vector, axial-vector, pseudoscalar and tensor nucleon currents are G-even and the scalar nucleon current is G-odd. According to the G-transformation properties of hadronic currents, Weinberg divided hadronic currents into two classes, which are G-even first class and G-odd second class currents [37], respectively. Thus, following Weinberg's classification the non-derivative vector, axial-vector, pseudoscalar and tensor nucleon currents in the interactions BSM, introduced in [22–27], are the first class currents, whereas the non-derivative scalar nucleon current is the second class one (see also [38]). The analysis of superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear beta transitions by Hardy and Towner [39] and González-Alonso et al. [34] has shown that the phenomenological coupling constants of non-derivative scalar current-current nucleon-lepton interaction is of order 10^{-5} or even smaller. This agrees well with estimates of contributions of the second class currents, caused by derivative scalar $\partial^{\mu}(\bar{\psi}_{p}\psi_{n})$ and pseudotensor $\partial^{\nu}(\bar{\psi}_{p}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}\psi_{n})$ nucleon currents proposed by Weinberg [37], to the neutron lifetime and correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays carried out by Gardner and Plaster [32,33] and Ivanov et al. [11,12]. The contemporary experimental sensitivities 10^{-4} or even better [40] of experimental analyses of parameters of neutron β^- -decays (see, for example, [41–43]) demand a theoretical background for the neutron lifetime and correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays with different polarizations of massive fermions at the level of 10^{-5} [10–13]. As has been shown in [28–31] in the linear approximation the contributions of vector and axial-vector interactions BSM can be absorbed by the matrix element V_{ud} of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) mixing matrix and by the axial coupling constant λ (see also [9–12]). As a result, taking into account the constraints on the scalar interaction [39] and [34] the contributions of interactions BSM to the neutron β^- -decay can be induced only by a tensor nucleon current [44,45]. As we show below the contribution of the one-pion-pole (OPP) exchange to the correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton is of order 10^{-5} . This is commensurable with the contribution of the isospin breaking correction to the vector coupling constant of the neutron β^- -decay calculated by Kaiser [46] within the heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory (HB χ PT). However, unlike Kaiser's correction the contribution of the OPP exchange can be screened by the contribution of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM.

This paper is addressed to the analysis of contributions of the OPP exchange, caused by strong low-energy interactions, and the pseudoscalar interaction BSM introduced in [22-27] to the neutron lifetime and correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and unpolarized proton. The analysis of contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decay for a polarized neutron and unpolarized electron and proton has a long history [47–54] (see also [4, 34]). For example the Fierz-like interference term [55], induced by pseudoscalar interactions, can be recognized in the electron-energy and angular distributions calculated in [47–54] (see also [4,34]). The contributions of the pseudoscalar interactions to the correlation coefficients of the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decay for a polarized neutron and unpolarized electron and proton can be, in principle, extracted from the electron-energy and angular distributions obtained by Harrington [47] (see Eqs. (9) – (13) of Ref. [47]) and Holstein [51] (see Appendix B of Ref. [51]) (see also section 4 of this paper). In our work in addition to the results obtained in [47-54] (see also [4,34]) we calculate the contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the correlation coefficients of the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decays, caused by correlations with the electron spin. The analyze of contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the correlation coefficients of the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and unpolarized proton, carried out in this paper, completes the investigations of contributions of interactions BSM to the electron-energy and angular distributions, which we have performed in [10-12], where we have calculated i) the complete set of corrections of order 10^{-3} , caused by radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ and the weak magnetism and proton recoil corrections of order $O(E_e/M)$, and ii) contributions of vector, axial-vector, scalar and tensor interactions BSM introduced in [22-27].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we write down the amplitude of the neutron β^- -decay by taking into account the contributions of the OPP exchange and the pseudoscalar interaction BSM only. We analyze the contributions of energy independent corrections to the pseudoscalar form factor of the nucleon defined by the Adler-Dothan-Wolfenstein (ADM) term [56,57] and chiral corrections calculated within the HB \(\chi\)PT [58-60]. We show that the ADMterm and chiral corrections, calculated in the two-loop approximation within the HB x PT by Kaiser [60], are able in principle to induce sufficiently small real contributions to phenomenological coupling constants of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM of a neutron-proton pseudoscalar density coupled to a left-handed leptonic current. In section 3 we discuss the contributions to the correlation coefficients of the electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decays caused by the OPP exchange and the pseudoscalar interaction BSM. The distribution is calculated for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton. Using the results, obtained in [29,34,53,69] we estimate the phenomenological coupling constants of the pseudoscalar interactions BSM. We adduce the results in Table 1. In section 4 we discuss the obtained results, which can be used for experimental analyses of the neutron β^- -decays with experimental accuracies of about a few parts of 10^{-5} [40]. Since the complete set of contributions of order 10^{-3} , including the radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ and corrections of order $O(E_0/M)$, caused by the weak magnetism and proton recoil, are calculated at the neglect of contributions of order $O(\alpha E_0/\pi M) \sim 10^{-6}$ and $O(E_0^2/M^2) \sim 10^{-6}$ [9–12], the results obtained in this paper should be tangible and important for a correct analysis of experimental data on searches of contributions of interactions BSM with an accuracy of a few parts of 10^{-5} . We give also a comparative analysis of the results obtained in this work with those in [4,47–54]. This allows us to argue that the corrections, caused by pseudoscalar interactions, calculated for the correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays, induced by correlations of the electron spin with the neutron spin and 3-momenta of decay fermions with standard correlation structures introduced by Jackson et al. [24], are fully new. Moreover all terms in Eq. (A.6) with correlation structures beyond the standard ones by Jackson et al. [24] and proportional to the effective coupling constants C'_{ps} and C''_{ps} were never calculated in literature. In the Appendix we give a detailed calculation of the contributions of pseudoscalar interactions caused by the OPP exchange and BSM to the correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton, completing the analysis of contributions of interactions BSM to the correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays carried out in [10–12].

2. Amplitude of the neutron β^- -decay with contributions of OPP exchange and pseudoscalar interaction BSM

Since the expected order of contributions of pseudoscalar interactions of about 10^{-5} , we take them into account in the linear approximation additively to the corrections of order $10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$ calculated in [1–34]. In such an approximation and following [9,11,12] the amplitude of the neutron β^- -decay we take in the form

$$M(n \to pe^{-\bar{\nu}_{e}}) = -\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ud} \left\{ \langle p(\vec{k}_{p}, \sigma_{p}) | J_{\mu}^{(+)}(0) | n(\vec{k}_{n}, \sigma_{n}) \rangle \left[\bar{u}_{e}(\vec{k}_{e}, \sigma_{e}) \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma^{5}) v_{\bar{\nu}}(\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}, +\frac{1}{2}) \right] + \bar{u}_{p}(\vec{k}_{p}, \sigma_{p}) \gamma^{5} u_{n}(\vec{k}_{n}, \sigma_{n}) \left[\bar{u}_{e}(\vec{k}_{e}, \sigma_{e}) (C_{p} + \bar{C}_{P} \gamma^{5}) v_{\bar{\nu}}(\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}, +\frac{1}{2}) \right] \right\},$$
(1)

where G_F and V_{ud} are the Fermi couping constant and the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa (CKM) matrix element [14]. Then, $\langle p(\vec{k}_p,\sigma_p)|J_{\mu}^{(+)}(0)|n(\vec{k}_n,\sigma_n)\rangle$ is the matrix element of the charged hadronic current $J_{\mu}^{(+)}(0)=V_{\mu}^{(+)}(0)-A_{\mu}^{(+)}(0)$, where $V_{\mu}^{(+)}(0)$ and $A_{\mu}^{(+)}(0)$ are the charged vector and axial–vector hadronic currents [15,18,19]. The fermions in the initial and final states are described by Dirac bispinor wave functions u_n, u_p, u_e and $v_{\bar{\nu}}$ of free fermions [9,62]. In the second term of Eq. (1) we take into account the contribution of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM [22–27] with two complex phenomenological coupling constants C_P and \bar{C}_P in the notation of [9,11,12].

For the analysis of contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the neutron β^- -decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton we define the matrix element $\langle p(\vec{k}_p, \sigma_p)|J_{\mu}^{(+)}(0)|n(\vec{k}_n, \sigma_n)\rangle$ as follows

$$\langle p(\vec{k}_{p}, \sigma_{p}) | J_{\mu}^{(+)}(0) | n(\vec{k}_{n}, \sigma_{n}) \rangle$$

$$= \bar{u}_{p}(\vec{k}_{p}, \sigma_{p}) \left(\gamma_{\mu} (1 + \lambda \gamma^{5}) + \frac{2M\lambda q_{\mu}}{m_{\pi}^{2} - q^{2} - i0} \gamma^{5} \right) u_{n}(\vec{k}_{n}, \sigma_{n}), \tag{2}$$

where λ is the axial coupling constant with recent experimental value $\lambda = -1.27641(45)_{\text{stat.}}$ (33)_{syst.} [41]. The first term in Eq. (1) is written in agreement with the standard V-A effective theory of weak interactions [15,18,19] (see also [20,21]). The term proportional to $q_{\mu}\gamma^{5}$ defines the contribution of the OPP exchange, caused by strong low–energy interactions (see also [18])

with the π^- -meson mass $m_{\pi}=139.57061(24)$ MeV [14] and $q=k_p-k_n=-k_e-k_{\bar{\nu}}$ is a 4-momentum transfer. The OPP contribution is required by conservation of the charged hadronic axial-vector current in the chiral limit $m_{\pi}\to 0$ [18].

In the more general form the matrix element of the hadronic axial–vector current can be taken in the form accepted in the HB χ PT [58–60]. This gives

$$\langle p(\vec{k}_{p}, \sigma_{p}) | A_{\mu}^{(+)}(0) | n(\vec{k}_{n}, \sigma_{n}) \rangle$$

$$= \bar{u}_{p}(\vec{k}_{p}, \sigma_{p}) \left(\gamma_{\mu} G_{A}(q^{2}) + \frac{q_{\mu}}{2M} G_{P}(q^{2}) \right) \gamma^{5} u_{n}(\vec{k}_{n}, \sigma_{n}), \tag{3}$$

where $G_A(q^2)$ and $G_P(q^2)$ are the axial-vector form factor and the induced pseudoscalar form factor, respectively, at $0 \le q^2 \le \Delta^2$ for the neutron β^- -decay with $\Delta = m_n - m_p$. The invariant 4-momentum transfer squared q^2 vanishes, i.e. $q^2 = 0$, at the kinetic energy of the proton $T_p = E_p - m_p = \Delta^2/2m_n$. In the chiral limit $m_\pi \to 0$ because of conservation of the charged hadronic axial-vector current [18] the form factors $G_A(q^2)$ and $G_P(q^2)$ are related by $G_P(q^2) = -(4M^2/q^2)G_A(q^2)$. In turn, for a finite pion mass the pseudoscalar form factor $G_P(q^2)$ has been calculated in the two-loop approximation within HB χ PT by Kaiser [60]. A precision analysis of the induced pseudoscalar form factor in the proton weak interactions has been also carried out by Gorringe and Fearing [61].

2.1. Pseudoscalar interaction BSM as induced by corrections to the pseudoscalar form factor, caused by strong low–energy interactions

According to [58], the axial–vector form factor $G_A(q^2)$ can be rather good parameterized by a dipole form (see also [63])

$$G_A(q^2) = \frac{g_A}{\left(1 + q^2/M_A^2\right)^2} = g_A \left(1 - \frac{1}{6} \langle r_A^2 \rangle q^2 + \dots\right),\tag{4}$$

where $g_A = -\lambda$ is the axial-coupling constant, and M_A is the cut-off mass related to the mean square axial radius of the nucleon $\langle r_A^2 \rangle$ as $\langle r_A^2 \rangle = 12/M_A^2 = 0.403(29)$ fm² with $M_A = 1.077(39)$ GeV extracted from charged pion electroproduction experiments [63]. In turn, the cut-off mass $M_A = 1.026(17)$ GeV extracted from (quasi)elastic neutrino and antineutrino scattering experiments [63] gives $\langle r_A^2 \rangle = 12/M_A^2 = 0.440(16)$ fm². In the approximation Eq. (4) the pseudoscalar form factor $G_P(q^2)$ acquires the following form [58] (see also [61])

$$\frac{1}{2M}G_P(q^2) = \frac{2Mg_A}{m_\pi^2 - q^2 - i0} - \frac{1}{3}g_A M \langle r_A^2 \rangle,\tag{5}$$

where the correction to the OPP exchange is the Adler–Dothan–Wolfenstein (ADW) term [56, 57]. The ADW–term induces the BSM–like pseudoscalar interaction with the coupling constants

$$C_P^{\text{(ADW)}} = -\bar{C}_P^{\text{(ADW)}} = -\frac{1}{3} \lambda \langle r_A^2 \rangle m_e M = 2.1 \times 10^{-3}.$$
 (6)

According to Eq. (11), this gives the contribution to the correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays equal to Re $C_{ps}^{({\rm BSM})}=C_{ps}^{({\rm ADW})}=-4.9\times10^{-7}$. Using the results, obtained by Kaiser [60] (see Eq. (7) of Ref. [60]) in the two-loop approximation in the HB χ PT, the induced BSM-like pseudoscalar coupling constants are equal to

$$C_P^{(K)} = -\bar{C}_P^{(K)} = \frac{m_e m_\pi^2 M}{32\pi^4 f_\pi^4} \zeta_0 = 4.1 \times 10^{-5} \zeta_0,$$
 (7)

where $f_{\pi}=92.4$ MeV is the charged pion leptonic (or PCAC) constant [58,60]. Since $|\zeta_0| \sim 1$ [60], we get $|C_P| = |\bar{C}_P| \sim 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$. The contribution of $C_P^{(K)} = -\bar{C}_P^{(K)}$ to the coupling constant Re $C_{ps}^{(BSM)}$ (see Eq. (11)) is of order $|\text{Re }C_{ps}^{(BSM)}| \sim 9.6 \times 10^{-9}$. This means that the SM strong low–energy interactions are able to induce the BSM–like pseudoscalar interaction with real coupling constants, the contributions of which are much smaller than the current experimental sensitivity of the neutron β^- –decays [40]. Below we consider a more general pseudoscalar interaction BSM with complex phenomenological coupling constants C_P and \bar{C}_P such as $C_P \neq -\bar{C}_P$.

2.2. Non-relativistic approximation for the amplitude of the neutron β^- -decay Eq. (1)

In the non-relativistic approximation for the neutron and proton the amplitude of the neutron β^- -decay in Eq. (1) takes the form

$$M(n \to pe^{-\bar{\nu}_e}) = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ud} 2M \Big\{ [\varphi_p^{\dagger} \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e \gamma^0 (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \\ - \lambda [\varphi_p^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma} \varphi_n] \cdot [\bar{u}_e \vec{\gamma} (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \\ + \lambda \frac{m_e}{m_\pi^2} [\varphi_p^{\dagger} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_p) \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e (1 - \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \\ - \frac{1}{2M} [\varphi_p^{\dagger} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_p) \varphi_n] [\bar{u}_e (C_p + \bar{C}_P \gamma^5) v_{\bar{\nu}}] \Big\},$$
(8)

where φ_j for j=p,n are the Pauli spinorial wave functions of non-relativistic neutron and proton, and $\vec{k}_p=-\vec{k}_e-\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}$ is a 3-momentum of the proton.

3. Electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- –decay for polarized neutron, polarized electron, and unpolarized proton

The electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- –decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton has been written by Jackson et al. [24]. It reads

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d^5\lambda_n(E_e,\vec{k}_e,\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}},\vec{\xi}_n,\vec{\xi}_e)}{dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}}} = (1+3\lambda^2) \, \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2}{32\pi^5} \left(E_0 - E_e\right)^2 \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2} \, E_e \, F(E_e,Z=1) \\ &\times \zeta(E_e) \, \left\{ 1 + b \, \frac{m_e}{E_e} + a(E_e) \, \frac{\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} + A(E_e) \, \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e}{E_e} + B(E_e) \, \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right. \\ &+ K_n(E_e) \, \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e^2 E_{\bar{\nu}}} + Q_n(E_e) \, \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}^2} + D(E_e) \, \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot (\vec{k}_e \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \\ &+ G(E_e) \, \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e}{E_e} + H(E_e) \, \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} + N(E_e) \, \vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{\xi}_e + Q_e(E_e) \, \frac{(\vec{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{\xi}_e)}{(E_e + m_e)E_e} \\ &+ K_e(E_e) \, \frac{(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{(E_e + m_e)E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} + R(E_e) \, \frac{\vec{\xi}_n \cdot (\vec{k}_e \times \vec{\xi}_e)}{E_e} + L(E_e) \, \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot (\vec{k}_e \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} \\ &- 3 \, \frac{E_e}{M} \, \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{1 + 3\lambda^2} \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k})^2}{E_e^2 E^2} - \frac{1}{3} \, \frac{k_e^2}{E_e^2} \right) + 3 \, \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{1 + 3\lambda^2} \, \frac{m_e}{M} \left(\frac{(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_\nu)(\vec{k}_e \cdot \vec{k}_\nu)}{E_e E_{\bar{\nu}}} - \frac{1}{3} \, \frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e}{E_e} \right) \end{split}$$

$$+3\frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{1+3\lambda^{2}}\frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{v})^{2}}{(E_{e}+m_{e})E_{e}E_{v}^{2}}-\frac{1}{3}\left(E_{e}-m_{e}\right)\frac{\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{e}}{E_{e}}\right)\right\},\tag{9}$$

where we have followed the notation [9–12]. The last three terms in Eq. (9) are caused by the contributions of the proton recoil calculated to order $O(E_e/M)$ [8–12]. Then, $\vec{\xi}_n$ and $\vec{\xi}_e$ are unit polarization vectors of the neutron and electron, respectively, $d\Omega_e$ and $d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}}$ are infinitesimal solid angels in the directions of electron \vec{k}_e and antineutrino $\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}$ 3–momenta, respectively, $E_0 = (m_n^2 - m_p^2 + m_e^2)/2m_n = 1.2926$ MeV is the end–point energy of the electron spectrum, $F(E_e, Z = 1)$ is the relativistic Fermi function equal to [64–66] (see also [4,9–12])

$$F(E_e, Z = 1) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{4(2r_p m_e \beta)^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma^2(3 + 2\gamma)} \frac{e^{\pi \alpha/\beta}}{(1 - \beta^2)^{\gamma}} \left| \Gamma\left(1 + \gamma + i\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) \right|^2, \tag{10}$$

where $\beta = k_e/E_e = \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2}/E_e$ is the electron velocity, $\gamma = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} - 1$, r_p is the electric radius of the proton. In the numerical calculations we use $r_p = 0.841$ fm [67]. The function $\zeta(E_e)$ contains the contributions of radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ and corrections from the weak magnetism and proton recoil of order $O(E_e/M)$, taken in the form used in [8–12]. Then, b is the Fierz interference term defined by the contributions of interactions beyond the SM [55]. The analytical expressions for the correlation coefficients $a(E_e)$, $A(E_e)$ and so on, calculated within the SM with the account for radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ and corrections caused by the weak magnetism and proton recoil of order $O(E_e/M)$ together with the contributions of Wilkinson's corrections [4], are given in [9–12].

3.1. Corrections to the correlation coefficients of the electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- –decays caused by pseudoscalar interactions

In the Appendix we calculate the contributions of the OPP exchange and the pseudoscalar interaction BSM to the correlation coefficients of the electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton. The corrections to the correlation coefficients and the correction to the electron–energy and angular distribution are given in the Appendix in Eqs. (A.5) and (A.6), respectively. The strength of these corrections (see Eq. (A.5)) is defined by the effective coupling constants C'_{ps} and C''_{ps} , which are the real and imaginary parts of the effective coupling constant C_{ps} given by

$$C_{ps} = C_{ps}^{(\text{OPP})} + C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})} = C'_{ps} + i C''_{ps},$$

$$C_{ps}^{(\text{OPP})} = \frac{2\lambda}{1 + 3\lambda^2} \frac{m_e}{m_\pi^2} E_0 = -1.47 \times 10^{-5},$$

$$C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})} = -\frac{1}{1 + 3\lambda^2} \frac{E_0}{2M} (C_P - \bar{C}_P) = -1.17 \times 10^{-4} (C_P - \bar{C}_P),$$

$$C'_{ps} = \text{Re } C_{ps} = C_{ps}^{(\text{OPP})} + \text{Re } C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})},$$

$$C'_{ps} = \text{Im } C_{ps} = \text{Im } C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})},$$

$$(11)$$

where $C_{ps}^{(\mathrm{OPP})}$ and $C_{ps}^{(\mathrm{BSM})}$ are the effective coupling constants caused by the OPP exchange and the pseudoscalar interaction BSM, respectively. The numerical values are calculated for $\lambda = -1.27641$ [41], $m_e = 0.5110$ MeV, $m_\pi = 139.5706$ MeV [14], $E_0 = (m_n^2 - m_p^2 + m_e^2)/2m_n = 1.2926$ MeV and $M = (m_n + m_p)/2 = 938.9188$ MeV [14], respectively. According to our analysis (see Eqs. (6) and (7)), a real part of the phenomenological coupling constant $C_{ps}^{(\mathrm{BSM})}$ can be

partly induced by the SM strong low–energy interactions through the ADM–term (see Eq. (6)) and Kaiser's two–loop corrections, calculated within the $HB\chi PT$ (see Eq. (7)).

The corrections, caused by pseudoscalar interactions (see Eq. (A.5) and Eq. (A.6)), to the electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- –decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton, taken together with the electron–energy and angular distributions calculated in [8–12] can be used as a theoretical background for experimental searches of contributions of interactions BSM of order 10^{-4} or even smaller [40].

3.2. Estimates of the real and imaginary parts of the phenomenological coupling constant $C_P - \bar{C}_P$

According to [30], the phenomenological coupling constant $C_P - \bar{C}_P$ can be defined as follows

$$C_P - \bar{C}_P = 2g_P \,\epsilon_P,\tag{12}$$

where ϵ_P is a complex effective coupling constant of the four-fermion local weak interaction of the pseudoscalar quark current $\bar{u}\gamma^5d$, where u and d are the up and down quarks, with the left-handed leptonic current $\bar{\ell}(1-\gamma^5)v_{\ell}$ [28–31] (see also [34,53]). Then, g_P is the matrix element $\langle p|\bar{u}\gamma^5d|n\rangle=g_P\bar{u}_p\gamma^5u_n$ caused by strong low-energy interactions, where \bar{u}_p and u_n are the Dirac wave functions of a free proton and neutron, respectively. According to González-Alonso and Camalich [53], one gets $g_P=349(9)$ (see Eq. (13) of Ref. [53]).

Following [53] and using the constraint $|\epsilon_P| < 5.8 \times 10^{-3}$, obtained at 90% C.L. from the experimental data on the search for an excess of events with a charged lepton (an electron or muon) and a neutrino in the final state of the pp collision with the center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with an integrated luminosity of 20 fb⁻¹ at LHC [68], we get $|\text{Re}(C_P - \bar{C}_P)| < 4.1$. In this case the pseudoscalar interaction BSM can dominate in the effective coupling constant C'_{ps} in comparison to the OPP exchange, which is of order $|C^{(\text{OPP})}_{ps}| \sim 10^{-5}$.

In turn, the analysis of the leptonic decays of charged pions, carried out in [34] (see Eq. (113) and a discussion on p. 51 of Ref. [34]), taken together with the results, obtained in [69], gives one Re $\epsilon_P = (0.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$ and, correspondingly, Re $(C_P - \bar{C}_P) = 0.03 \pm 0.09$. Such an analysis implies that the phenomenological coupling constants Re $(C_P - \bar{C}_P)$ and Re $C_{ps}^{(BSM)}$ are commensurable with zero. This leads to a dominate role of the OPP exchange in the effective coupling constant C_{ps}' equal to $C_{ps}' = -1.47 \times 10^{-5}$.

Then, following the assumption $\epsilon_P = 2m_e(m_u + m_d)/m_\pi^2 \sim 4 \times 10^{-4}$ [34], which is also related to the analysis of the leptonic decays of charged pions (see a discussion below Eq. (112) of Ref. [34]), we get Re $(C_P - \bar{C}_P) \sim 0.3$ and Re $C_{ps}^{(BSM)} \sim -3.5 \times 10^{-5}$. As a result, according to the assumption $\epsilon_P = 2m_e(m_u + m_d)/m_\pi^2 \sim 4 \times 10^{-4}$, the contribution of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM to the effective coupling constant C_{ps}' should be of order 10^{-5} , that makes it commensurable with the contribution of the OPP exchange.

Since the constraint $|\epsilon_P| < 5.8 \times 10^{-3}$ [53] disagrees with the constraints following from the analysis of the leptonic decays of charged pions [34,69], one may conclude that the phenomenological coupling constant $\text{Re}(C_P - \bar{C}_P)$ should be constrained by $0 \lesssim \text{Re}(C_P - \bar{C}_P) \lesssim 0.3$. This leads to the effective coupling constant $\text{Re}(C_{ps})$ restricted by $-3.5 \times 10^{-5} \lesssim \text{Re}(C_{ps}) \lesssim 0$. This shifts the contributions of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM to the region of values $|\text{Re}(C_{ps})| \sim 10^{-5}$ or even smaller.

Table 1 Estimates of the phenomenological coupling constant $C_P - \bar{C}_P = 2g_P \epsilon_P$ for $g_P = 349(9)$ [53] and the constraints on the parameter ϵ_P [29,34,53,69].

$0 \lesssim \operatorname{Re}\left(C_p - \bar{C}_P\right) \lesssim 0.3$	$-3.5 \times 10^{-5} \lesssim \operatorname{Re} C_{ps}^{(BSM)} \lesssim 0$
$\overline{\operatorname{Im}(C_P - \bar{C}_P)} < 0.2$	$\operatorname{Im} C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})} < -2.3 \times 10^{-5}$

The imaginary part $\operatorname{Im}(C_P - \bar{C}_P) = 2g_P \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_P$ we estimate using the upper bound $\operatorname{Im} \epsilon_P < 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$, obtained at 90% C.L. in [29] (see also Eq. (114) of Ref. [34]). We get $\operatorname{Im}(C_P - \bar{C}_P) < 0.3$. The effective coupling constant $C''_{ps} = \operatorname{Im} C^{(\mathrm{BSM})}_{ps}$ is restricted by $C''_{ps} = \operatorname{Im} C^{(\mathrm{BSM})}_{ps} < -2.3 \times 10^{-5}$. Since the contribution of the OPP exchange is real, the effective coupling constant C''_{ps} , constrained by $C''_{ps} < -2.3 \times 10^{-5}$, is fully defined by the pseudoscalar interaction BSM. In Table 1 we adduce the constraints on the real and imaginary parts of the phenomenological

In Table 1 we adduce the constraints on the real and imaginary parts of the phenomenological coupling constant $C_P - \bar{C}_P$ and on the effective coupling constant $C_{ps}^{(BSM)}$, which may follow from the results obtained in [34,53,69].

4. Discussion

The corrections of order 10^{-5} , calculated within the SM, are needed as a SM theoretical background for experimental searches of interactions beyond the SM in terms of asymmetries and correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays [10–12]. An experimental accuracy of about a few parts of 10^{-5} or even better, which is required for experimental analyses of interactions BSM of order 10^{-4} , can be reachable at present time [40]. In this paper we have continued the analysis of corrections of order 10^{-5} to the correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays, which we have begun in [10–13]. In this paper we have taken into account the contributions of strong low-energy interactions in terms of the OPP exchange and the contributions of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM [22–27], and calculated corrections to the correlation coefficients of the electron-energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decay for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton.

In addition to the results, concerning the corrections caused by pseudoscalar interactions to the electron–energy and angular distributions of the neutron β^- –decay for a polarized neutron and unpolarized electron and proton, obtained in [47–54] and especially by Harrington [47] and Holstein [51], we have calculated corrections to the correlation coefficients, caused by correlations with the electron spin, i.e. for a polarized neutron and a polarized electron with an unpolarized proton.

We have shown that the energy independent contributions to the pseudoscalar form factor [56–60], related to the Adler-Dothan-Wolfenstein (ADM) term Eq. (6) and to the chiral corrections Eq. (7), calculated by Kaiser [60] in a two-loop approximation within the HB χ PT, are able in principle to be responsible for sufficiently small real parts of the phenomenological coupling constants C_P and \bar{C}_P and at the level of $10^{-6}-10^{-8}$ of the effective coupling constant $C_{ps}^{(BSM)}$. In turn, the isospin breaking corrections of order 10^{-5} , calculated by Kaiser within the HB χ PT [46] to the vector coupling constant of the neutron β^- -decay, should be taken into account for a correct description of the neutron lifetime at the level of 10^{-5} .

As has been shown in [30] the phenomenological coupling constant $C_P - \bar{C}_P$, introduced at the hadronic level [22–27], can be related to the effective coupling constant ϵ_P of the pseudoscalar interaction of the up and down quarks with left–handed leptonic current by $C_P - \bar{C}_P =$

 $2g_P \epsilon_P$, where $g_P = 349(9)$ [53] is the matrix element of the pseudoscalar quark current caused by strong low–energy interactions. Using the relation $C_P - \bar{C}_P = 2g_P \epsilon_P$ [30] we have estimated the real and imaginary parts of the phenomenological coupling constant $C_P - \bar{C}_P$. Having summarized the results, concerning the constraints on the parameter ϵ_P , obtained in [29,34,53, 69], and taking into account that $g_P = 349(9)$ [53], we have got $0 \lesssim \text{Re}(C_P - \bar{C}_P) \lesssim 0.3$ and $\text{Im}(C_P - \bar{C}_P) < 0.2$. Such an estimate agrees well with the analysis of the contributions of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM to the lifetimes of charged pions [34].

For the effective coupling constants $\operatorname{Re} C_{ps}^{(\operatorname{BSM})}$ and $\operatorname{Im} C_{ps}^{(\operatorname{BSM})}$, defining the strength of the contributions of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM to the correlation coefficients of the electronenergy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decays, we get $-3.5 \times 10^{-5} \lesssim \operatorname{Re} C_{ps}^{(\operatorname{BSM})} \lesssim 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} C_{ps}^{(\operatorname{BSM})} < -2.3 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively. This implies that the effective coupling constant $C_{ps}^{(\operatorname{BSM})}$ is of order $|C_{ps}^{(\operatorname{BSM})}| \sim 10^{-5}$.

The analysis of contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the electron–energy and angular distributions of weak semileptonic decays of baryons has a long history [47–54] (see also [4,34]). That is why it is important to make a comparative analysis of the results obtained in our work with those in [4,34,47–54]. For the first time the contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the correlation coefficients of electron–energy and angular distributions for weak semileptonic decays of baryons for polarized parent baryons and unpolarized decay electrons and baryons were calculated by Harrington [47]. In the notation of Jackson et al. [24] Harrington calculated the contributions of the induced pseudoscalar form factor to the Fierz interference term $b(E_e)$ [55] and to the correlation coefficients $a(E_e)$, $A(E_e)$, $B(E_e)$ and $D(E_e)$, caused by electron–antineutrino angular correlations and correlations of the neutron spin with electron and antineutrino 3–momenta, respectively. The corresponding contributions of pseudoscalar interactions can be obtained from Eqs. (9) – (13) of Ref. [47] keeping the leading terms in the large baryon mass expansion. They read

$$\frac{d^{5}\delta\lambda_{n}(E_{e},\vec{k}_{e},\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}},\vec{\xi}_{n},\vec{\xi}_{e})}{dE_{e}d\Omega_{e}d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}}} \propto -\frac{\operatorname{Re}(g_{1}g_{3}^{*})}{|f_{1}|^{2}+3|g_{2}|^{2}} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{E_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{e}} -\frac{\operatorname{Re}(g_{1}g_{3}^{*})}{|f_{1}|^{2}+3|g_{2}|^{2}} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{\vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}}
-\frac{\operatorname{Re}(f_{1}g_{3}^{*})}{|f_{1}|^{2}+3|g_{2}|^{2}} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{e}}{E_{e}} -\frac{\operatorname{Re}(f_{1}g_{3}^{*})}{|f_{1}|^{2}+3|g_{2}|^{2}} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}}
+\frac{\operatorname{Im}(g_{1}g_{3}^{*})}{|f_{1}|^{2}+3|g_{2}|^{2}} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}}, \tag{13}$$

where the first term describes the contribution of pseudoscalar interactions to the Fierz-like interference term [55]. The analogous corrections can be extracted from the expressions, calculated by Holstein [51] (see Appendix B of Ref. [51]). The corrections of pseudoscalar interactions to the Fierz-like interference term $\delta b_{ps}(E_e)$ and correlation coefficients $\delta a_{ps}(E_e)$, $\delta A_{ps}(E_e)$, $\delta B_{ps}(E_e)$ and $\delta D_{ps}(E_e)$, calculated in Eqs. (A.5) and (A.6), agree well with those calculated by Harrington [47] (see Eq. (13)). Since in [4,34,48–54] the electron–energy and angular distributions were analyzed for weak semileptonic decays either for polarized parent baryons and unpolarized decay electrons and baryons or for unpolarized parent baryons and unpolarized decay electrons and baryons the overlap of our results with those obtained in [4,48–54] is at the level of the corrections shown in Eq. (13). Indeed, the contribution of the Fierz-like interference term $\delta b_{ps}(E_e)$ in Eq. (A.4) agrees well with the result, obtained by Wilkinson [4] and by González-Alonso and Camalich [53]

$$\frac{d^{5}\delta\lambda_{n}(E_{e},\vec{k}_{e},\vec{k}_{\bar{v}},\vec{\xi}_{n},\vec{\xi}_{e})}{dE_{e}d\Omega_{e}d\Omega_{\bar{v}}} \propto C'_{ps}\lambda \frac{E_{0}-E_{e}}{E_{0}} \frac{m_{e}}{E_{e}} + \dots \rightarrow -\frac{g_{A}g_{\mathrm{IP}}}{g_{V}^{2}+3g_{A}^{2}} \frac{E_{0}-E_{e}}{M} \frac{m_{e}}{E_{e}} - \frac{\lambda}{1+3\lambda^{2}} g_{P}\operatorname{Re}\epsilon_{P} \frac{E_{0}-E_{e}}{M} \frac{m_{e}}{E_{e}} + \dots, \tag{14}$$

where the term proportional to $g_A g_{\rm IP}$, describing the contribution of the OPP exchange with $g_{\rm IP} = 2g_A M/m_\pi^2$, was calculated by Wilkinson (see Table 1 and a definition of $g_{\rm IP}$ on p. 479 of Ref. [4]), whereas the second term, caused by the contribution of the pseudoscalar interaction BSM and where we have taken into account the relation $C_P - \bar{C}_P = 2g_P \epsilon_P$ [30], was calculated by González-Alonso and Camalich [53] (see Eqs. (16) and (17) of Ref. [53])).

In turn, the contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the correlation coefficients, induced by correlations with the electron spin, were not calculated in [4,34,47–54]. Thus, the calculation of contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the correlation coefficients, induced by correlations with the electron spin, distinguishes our results from those obtained in [4,34,47–54]. However, we would like to notice that in the book by Behrens and Bühring [52] there is a capture entitled "Electron polarization", concerning an analysis of a polarization of decay electrons in beta decays. In this capture the authors propose a most general density matrix, which can be applied to a description of energy and angular distributions for beta decays by taking into account a polarization of decay electrons (see Eq. (7.6) and Eq. (7.7) of Ref. [52]). Of course, by using such a general density matrix and the technique, developed by Biedenharn and Rose [70], one can, in principle, calculate contributions of pseudoscalar interactions to the correlation coefficients induced by correlations with the electron spin. Nevertheless, the calculation of these corrections were not performed in [52]. The authors applied such a general density matrix to a calculation of a general formula for a value of a longitudinal polarization of decay electrons in beta decays only (see Eq. (7.151) of Ref. [52]). Thus, we may assert that all corrections of pseudoscalar interactions to the correlation coefficients, induced by correlations with the electron spin (see Eq. (A.5)), and also other terms proportional to the coupling constants C'_{ps} and C''_{ps} in Eq. (A.6) are new in comparison to the results, obtained in [4,34,47–54] and were never calculated in literature. Moreover, a theoretical accuracy $O(\alpha E_0/\pi M) \sim 10^{-6}$ and $O(E_0^2/M^2) \sim 10^{-6}$ of the calculation of a complete set of corrections of order 10^{-3} [9–12] including radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ and corrections of order $O(E_0/M)$, caused by the weak magnetism and proton recoil, makes the contributions of corrections of order 10^{-5} , induced by pseudoscalar interactions, observable in principle and important as a part of theoretical background for experimental searches of contributions of interactions BSM in asymmetries of the neutron β^- -decays with a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton [40].

Thus, in this work we have calculated the contributions of pseudoscalar interactions, induced by the OPP exchange and BSM, to the complete set of correlation coefficients of the electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- -decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton. The corrections to the Fierz interference term $b(E_e)$, the correlation coefficients $a(E_e)$, $A(E_e)$, $B(E_e)$ and $D(E_e)$, caused by electron–antineutrino angular correlations and correlations of the neutron spin with electron and antineutrino 3–momenta, respectively, and as well as the correlation coefficients, induced by correlations with the electron spin such as $G(E_e)$, $N(E_e)$ and so on, and also corrections, given by the terms proportional to the effective coupling constants C'_{ps} and C''_{ps} in Eq. (A.6), are calculated by using one of the same theoretical technique. The agreement of the corrections to the Fierz interference term $b(E_e)$ and the correlation coefficients $a(E_e)$, $A(E_e)$, $B(E_e)$ and $D(E_e)$ with the results obtained in [4,34, 47–54] may only confirm a correctness of our results.

The obtained corrections (see Eq. (A.5) and Eq. (A.6)), caused by the OPP exchange and the pseudoscalar interaction BSM, complete the analysis of contributions of interactions BSM to the correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- -decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton carried out in [9–12]. For experimental accuracies of about a few parts of 10^{-5} or even better [40] the exact analytical expressions of these corrections can be practically distinguished from the contributions of order 10^{-5} , caused by the second class hadronic currents or G-odd correlations, calculated by Gardner and Plaster [33] and Ivanov et al. [11,12].

Acknowledgements

We thank Hartmut Abele for discussions stimulating the work under corrections of order 10^{-5} to the neutron lifetime and correlation coefficients of the neutron β^- –decays for different polarization states of the neutron and massive decay fermions. The work of A. N. Ivanov was supported by the Austrian "Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung" (FWF) under contracts P31702-N27 and P26636-N20 and "Deutsche Förderungsgemeinschaft" (DFG) AB 128/5-2. The work of R. Höllwieser was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in the SFB/TR 55. The work of M. Wellenzohn was supported by the MA 23 (FH-Call 16) under the project "Photonik - Stiftungsprofessur für Lehre". The results obtained in this paper were reported at International Workshop on "Current and Future Status of the First-Row CKM Unitarity", held on 16 - 18 of May 2019 at Amherst Center of Fundamental Interactions, University of Massachusetts Amherst, USA [71].

Appendix A. Calculation of corrections caused by pseudoscalar interactions to the electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- –decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton

A direct calculation of the corrections, caused by the OPP exchange and the pseudoscalar interaction BSM [9], to the electron–energy and angular distribution of the neutron β^- –decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarized proton yields

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d^{5}\delta\lambda_{n}(E_{e},\vec{k}_{e},\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}},\vec{\xi}_{n},\vec{\xi}_{e})}{dE_{e}d\Omega_{e}d\Omega_{e}d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}}} = (1+3\lambda^{2})\frac{G_{F}^{2}|V_{ud}|^{2}}{32\pi^{5}}\left(E_{0}-E_{e}\right)^{2}\sqrt{E_{e}^{2}-m_{e}^{2}}\,E_{e}\,F(E_{e},Z=1)\\ &\times\frac{1}{E_{0}E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}}\left\{C_{ps}^{\prime}\left[\lambda\left(-m_{e}(\vec{k}_{p}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})-(\vec{k}_{p}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\zeta_{e}\cdot k_{\bar{\nu}})+(\vec{k}_{p}\cdot\vec{\zeta}_{e})(k_{e}\cdot k_{\bar{\nu}})\right)\right.\\ &+(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{p})\left(m_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}+E_{e}(\zeta_{e}\cdot k_{\bar{\nu}})-\zeta_{e}^{0}(k_{e}\cdot k_{\bar{\nu}})\right)\\ &+\lambda\left(\vec{\xi}_{n}\times\vec{k}_{p}\right)\cdot\left(-E_{e}(\vec{\zeta}_{e}\times\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})+\zeta_{e}^{0}(\vec{k}_{e}\times\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})+E_{\bar{\nu}}(\vec{\zeta}_{e}\times\vec{k}_{e})\right)\\ &+C_{ps}^{\prime\prime}\left[\vec{\zeta}_{e}\cdot(\vec{k}_{e}\times\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{p})+\lambda\left(E_{e}\,\vec{k}_{p}\cdot(\vec{\zeta}_{e}\times\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})-E_{\bar{\nu}}\,\vec{k}_{p}\cdot(\vec{\zeta}_{e}\times\vec{k}_{e})\right)\right.\\ &-m_{e}\,\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}\cdot(\vec{\xi}_{n}\times\vec{k}_{p})-\vec{k}_{e}\cdot(\vec{\xi}_{n}\times\vec{k}_{p})(\zeta_{e}\cdot k_{\bar{\nu}})+\vec{\zeta}_{e}\cdot(\vec{\xi}_{n}\times\vec{k}_{p})(k_{e}\cdot k_{\bar{\nu}})\right)\right]\right\}. \end{split} \tag{A.1}$$

The strength of the contributions of pseudoscalar interactions is defined by the effective coupling constants C'_{ps} and C''_{ps} , which are the real and imaginary parts of the effective coupling constant C_{ps} given by

$$C_{ps} = C_{ps}^{(\text{OPP})} + C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})},$$

$$C_{ps}^{(\text{OPP})} = \frac{2\lambda}{1+3\lambda^2} \frac{m_e}{m_\pi^2} E_0 = -1.47 \times 10^{-5},$$

$$C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})} = -\frac{1}{1+3\lambda^2} \frac{E_0}{2M} (C_P - \bar{C}_P) = -1.17 \times 10^{-4} (C_P - \bar{C}_P),$$

$$C_{ps}' = \text{Re } C_{ps} = C_{ps}^{(\text{OPP})} + \text{Re } C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})},$$

$$C_{ps}'' = \text{Im } C_{ps} = \text{Im } C_{ps}^{(\text{BSM})}.$$
(A.2)

The numerical values are obtained at $\lambda = -1.27641$, $E_0 = (m_n^2 - m_p^2 + m_e^2)/2m_n = 1.2926$ MeV, $m_e = 0.511$ MeV and $M = (m_n + m_p)/2 = 938.918$ MeV [14]. Then, ζ_e is a 4-polarization vector of the electron [35]

$$\zeta_e = (\zeta_e^0, \vec{\zeta}_e) = \left(\frac{\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e}{m_e}, \vec{\xi}_e + \frac{\vec{k}_e(\vec{\xi}_e \cdot \vec{k}_e)}{m_e(E_e + m_e)}\right)$$
(A.3)

obeying the constraints $\zeta_e^2 = -\vec{\xi}_e^2 = -1$ and $k_e \cdot \zeta_e = 0$. The right-hand-side (r.h.s.) of Eq. (A.1) can be transcribed into the form

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d^{5}\delta\lambda_{n}(E_{e},\vec{k}_{e},\vec{k}_{\bar{v}},\vec{\xi}_{n},\vec{\xi}_{e})}{dE_{e}d\Omega_{e}d\Omega_{e}d\Omega_{\bar{v}}} = (1+3\lambda^{2})\frac{G_{F}^{2}|V_{ud}|^{2}}{32\pi^{5}} \left(E_{0}-E_{e}\right)^{2}\sqrt{E_{e}^{2}-m_{e}^{2}}E_{e}F(E_{e},Z=1) \\ &\times \Big\{C_{ps}^{\prime}\Big[\lambda\left(\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{m_{e}}{E_{e}}+\frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}}}{E_{e}E_{\bar{v}}}-\frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{e}}{E_{e}}-\left(1-\frac{m_{e}^{2}}{E_{0}E_{e}}\right)\frac{\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}}}{E_{\bar{v}}} \\ &+\left(1+\frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}\right)\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{(E_{e}+m_{e})E_{e}E_{\bar{v}}}\Big)+\left(-\frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e}}{E_{e}}-\frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{e}}\frac{\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}}}{E_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})}{E_{e}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{\bar{v}}} \\ &+\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{0}}-\frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{(E_{e}+m_{e})E_{e}^{2}E_{\bar{v}}}-\frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{0}} \\ &+\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})}{E_{0}}+\frac{E_{e}-E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{(E_{e}+m_{e})E_{e}^{2}E_{\bar{v}}}-\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{(E_{e}+m_{e})E_{e}^{2}E_{\bar{v}}} \\ &+\frac{E_{e}-E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{0}}+\frac{E_{e}-E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{0}}+\frac{E_{e}-E_{\bar{v}}+m_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{(E_{e}+m_{e})E_{e}} \\ &-\frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{v}}^{2}} \\ &-\frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{v}}^{2}} \\ &+\frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot\vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{v}}} \\ &-\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{E_{0}}\frac{\vec{\xi}_{n}\cdot(\vec{k}_{e}\times\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})(\vec{\xi}_{e}\cdot\vec{k}_{\bar{v}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{v}}} \\ &+\frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}}\frac{\vec{\xi$$

We obtain the following contributions to the correlation coefficients

$$\delta \zeta_{ps}(E_e) = 0$$
, $\delta b_{ps}(E_e) = C'_{ps} \lambda \frac{E_0 - E_e}{E_0}$, $\delta a_{ps}(E_e) = C'_{ps} \lambda \frac{m_e}{E_0}$,

$$\delta A_{ps}(E_{e}) = -C'_{ps} \frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}, \delta B_{ps}(E_{e}) = -C'_{ps} \frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}} \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{e}}, \delta K_{nps}(E_{e}) = \delta Q_{nps}(E_{e}) = 0,$$

$$\delta G_{ps}(E_{e}) = -C'_{ps} \lambda \frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}, \delta H_{ps}(E_{e}) = -C'_{ps} \lambda \left(1 - \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{E_{0}E_{e}}\right),$$

$$\delta Q_{eps}(E_{e}) = C'_{ps} \left(\lambda \frac{2E_{e} - E_{0} + m_{e}}{E_{0}} + (\lambda - 1) \frac{1}{3} \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}}\right),$$

$$\delta K_{eps}(E_{e}) = C'_{ps} \lambda \left(1 + \frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}\right),$$

$$\delta N_{ps}(E_{e}) = C'_{ps} \left(\lambda \frac{-2E_{e}^{2} + E_{0}E_{e} + m_{e}^{2}}{E_{0}E_{e}} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{1}{3} \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}}\right),$$

$$\delta D_{ps}(E_{e}) = C''_{ps} \lambda \frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}}, \ \delta R_{ps}(E_{e}) = C''_{ps} \left(-\lambda \frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}} + (1 + 2\lambda) \frac{1}{3} \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}}\right),$$

$$\delta L_{ps}(E_{e}) = C''_{ps} \lambda.$$
(A.5)

In terms of corrections to the correlation coefficients Eq. (A.5) the correction to the electronenergy and angular distribution Eq. (A.4) is given by

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d^{5}\delta\lambda_{n}(E_{e},\vec{k}_{e},\vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}},\vec{\xi}_{n},\vec{\xi}_{e})}{dE_{e}d\Omega_{e}d\Omega_{\bar{\nu}}} = (1+3\lambda^{2}) \frac{G_{F}^{2}|V_{ud}|^{2}}{32\pi^{5}} \left(E_{0}-E_{e}\right)^{2} \sqrt{E_{e}^{2}-m_{e}^{2}} E_{e} F\left(E_{e},Z=1\right) \\ &\times \left\{\delta b_{ps}(E_{e}) \frac{m_{e}}{E_{e}} + \delta a_{ps}(E_{e}) \frac{\vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}} + \delta A_{ps}(E_{e}) \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{e}}{E_{e}} + \delta B_{ps}(E_{e}) \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} \right. \\ &+ \delta G_{ps}(E_{e}) \frac{\vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{e}}{E_{e}} + \delta H_{ps}(E_{e}) \frac{\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}}}{E_{\bar{\nu}}} + \delta Q_{eps}(E_{e}) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{\xi}_{e})}{(E_{e} + m_{e})E_{e}} \\ &+ \delta K_{eps}(E_{e}) \frac{(\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{(E_{e} + m_{e})E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}} + \delta N_{ps}(E_{e}) (\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{\xi}_{e}) + \delta R_{ps} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{\xi}_{e})}{E_{e}} \\ &+ \delta L_{ps} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{(E_{e} + m_{e})E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}} + C_{ps}' \left[\lambda \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}} \frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{e}} \right. \\ &+ \frac{(1 - \lambda)E_{e} + \lambda E_{0}}{E_{0}} \frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{e})(\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{(E_{e} + m_{e})E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}} - \lambda \frac{m_{e}}{E_{0}} \frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{e})}{E_{e}} \\ &+ (1 - \lambda)\frac{E_{0}}{E_{0}} \frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{e})(\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{e})(\vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{(E_{e} + m_{e})E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}} + (1 - \lambda)\frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}} \left(\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{e}} - \frac{1}{3} \vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{\xi}_{e}\right) \\ &+ (\lambda - 1)\frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}} \left(\frac{(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{k}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}} + \lambda \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{e}} \right] \\ &+ C_{ps}'' \left[- \frac{E_{e}}{E_{0}} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{e})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}} - \lambda \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{e}} \right) \\ &- \lambda \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}} \left(\frac{\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{e}E_{\bar{\nu}}'} - \lambda \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{e}} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{e})}{E_{e}} \right) \\ &- \lambda \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}} \left(\frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec$$

$$+\lambda \frac{E_{0} - E_{e}}{E_{0}} \left(\frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})(\vec{\xi}_{e} \cdot \vec{k}_{\bar{\nu}})}{E_{e} E_{\bar{z}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\vec{\xi}_{n} \cdot (\vec{k}_{e} \times \vec{\xi}_{e})}{E_{e}} \right) \right] \right\}. \tag{A.6}$$

This correction to the electron–energy and angular distribution together with the results obtained in [8–12], can be used for experimental analyses of asymmetries and correlation coefficients of the neutron β –decays for a polarized neutron, a polarized electron and an unpolarised proton with experimental uncertainties of a few parts of 10^{-5} [40].

References

- [1] S.M. Bilen'kii, R.M. Ryndin, Ya.A. Smorodinskii, Ho Tso-Hsiu, On the theory of the neutron beta decay, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 37 (1959) 1759 (in Russian); Sov. Phys. JETP 37 (10) (1960) 1241.
- [2] A. Sirlin, General properties of the electromagnetic corrections to the beta decay of a physical nucleon, Phys. Rev. 164 (1967) 1767.
- [3] R.T. Shann, Electromagnetic effects in the decay of polarized neutrons, Nuovo Cimento A 5 (1971) 591.
- [4] D.H. Wilkinson, Analysis of neutron beta decay, Nucl. Phys. A 377 (1982) 474.
- [5] W.J. Marciano, A. Sirlin, Radiative corrections to β decay and the possibility of a fourth generation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 22.
- [6] A. Czarnecki, W.J. Marciano, A. Sirlin, Precision measurements and CKM unitarity, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 093006.
- [7] W.J. Marciano, A. Sirlin, Improved calculation of electroweak radiative corrections and the value of V(ud), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 032002.
- [8] V. Gudkov, G.I. Greene, J.R. Calarco, General classification and analysis of neutron beta-decay experiments, Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 035501;
 - V. Gudkov, Asymmetry of recoil protons in neutron beta decay, Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 045502.
- [9] A.N. Ivanov, M. Pitschmann, N.I. Troitskaya, Neutron beta decay as a laboratory for testing the Standard Model, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 073002, arXiv:1212.0332 [hep-ph].
- [10] A.N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N.I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, Ya.A. Berdnikov, Precision analysis of electron energy spectrum and angular distribution of neutron beta decay with polarized neutron and electron, Phys. Rev. C 95 (2017) 055502, arXiv:1705.07330 [hep-ph].
- [11] A.N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N.I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, Ya.A. Berdnikov, Tests of the Standard Model in neutron beta decay with polarized neutron and electron, and unpolarized proton, Phys. Rev. C 98 (2018) 035503, arXiv:1805.03880 [hep-ph].
- [12] A.N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N.I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, Ya.A. Berdnikov, Tests of the standard model in neutron beta decay with polarized electrons and unpolarized neutrons and protons, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 053004, arXiv:1811.04853 [hep-ph].
- [13] A.N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N.I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, Ya.A. Berdnikov, Radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha E_e/m_N)$ to Sirlin's radiative corrections of order $O(\alpha/\pi)$ to neutron lifetime, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 093006, arXiv:1905.01178 [hep-ph].
- [14] M. Tanabashi, et al., Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 030001.
- [15] R.P. Feynman, M. Gell-Mann, Theory of Fermi interaction, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 193.
- [16] E.C.G. Sudarshan, R.E. Marshak, Chirality invariance and the universal Fermi interaction, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 1860.
- [17] R.E. Marshak, S. Okubo, G. Sudarshan, V-A theory and the decay of the hyperon, Phys. Rev. 113 (1959) 944.
- [18] Y. Nambu, Axial vector current conservation in weak interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4 (1960) 380.
- [19] R.E. Marshak, Riazuddin, C.P. Ryan, Theory of Weak Interactions in Particle Physics, Wiley-Interscience, a Division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1969, p. 41.
- [20] V.M. Shekhter, Hyperon beta decay, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 8 (1959) 316.
- [21] V.M. Shekhter, Beta decay of strange particles, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 9 (1959) 920.
- [22] T.D. Lee, C.N. Yang, Question of parity conservation in weak interactions, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 254.
- [23] T.D. Lee, R. Oehme, C.N. Yang, Remarks on possible noninvariance under time reversal and charge conjugation, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 340.
- [24] J.D. Jackson, S.B. Treiman, H.W. Wyld Jr., Possible tests of time reversal invariance in beta decay, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 517.
- [25] M.E. Ebel, G. Feldman, Further remarks on Coulomb corrections in allowed beta transitions, Nucl. Phys. 4 (1957) 213.

- [26] P. Herczeg, Beta decay beyond the standard model, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 46 (2001) 413.
- [27] N. Severijns, M. Beck, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, Tests of the standard electroweak model in beta decay, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78 (2006) 991.
- [28] V. Cirigliano, J. Jenkins, M. González-Alonso, Semileptonic decays of light quarks beyond the Standard Model, Nucl. Phys. B 830 (2010) 95.
- [29] T. Bhattacharya, V. Cirigliano, S.D. Cohen, A. Filipuzzi, M. González-Alonso, M.L. Graesser, R. Gupta, Huey-Wen Lin, Probing novel scalar and tensor interactions from (ultra)cold neutrons to the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 054512.
- [30] V. Cirigliano, M. Gonzáles-Alonso, M.L. Graesser, Non-standard charged current interactions: beta decays versus the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2013) 046.
- [31] V. Cirigliano, S. Gardner, B. Holstein, Beta decays and non-standard interactions in the LHC era, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 71 (2013) 93.
- [32] S. Gardner, C. Zhang, Sharpening low-energy, Standard-Model tests via correlation coefficients in neutron beta decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 5666.
- [33] S. Gardner, B. Plaster, Framework for maximum likelihood analysis of neutron beta decay observables to resolve the limits of the V A law, Phys. Rev. C 87 (2013) 065504.
- [34] M. González-Alonso, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, N. Severijns, New physics searches in nuclear and neutron beta decay, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 104 (2019) 165, arXiv:1803.08732 [hep-ph].
- [35] C. Itzykson, J.–B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, McGraw–Hill Inc., New York, 1980.
- [36] T.D. Lee, C.N. Yang, Charge conjugation, a new quantum number G, and selection rules concerning a nucleon anti-nucleon system, Nuovo Cimento 10 (1956) 749.
- [37] S. Weinberg, Charge symmetry of weak interactions, Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 1375.
- [38] A.N. Ivanov, Lorentz structure of vector part of matrix elements of transitions *n* ←→ *p*, caused by strong low-energy interactions and hypothesis of conservation of charged vector current, J. Phys. G, Nucl. Part. Phys. 45 (2018) 025004.
- [39] J.C. Hardy, I.S. Towner, Superallowed $0^+ \to 0^+$ nuclear beta decays: 2014 critical survey, with precise results for V_{ud} and CKM unitarity, Phys. Rev. C 91 (2015) 025501.
- [40] H. Abele, Precision experiments with cold and ultra-cold neutrons, Hyperfine Interact. 237 (2016) 155.
- [41] B. Märkisch, H. Mest, H. Saul, X. Wang, H. Abele, D. Dubbers, M. Klopf, A. Petoukhov, C. Roick, T. Soldner, D. Werder, Measurement of the weak axial-vector coupling constant in the decay of free neutrons using a pulsed cold neutron beam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 242501, arXiv:1812.04666 [nucl-ex].
- [42] Ch.-Y. Seng, M. Gorchtein, H.H. Patel, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, Reduced hadronic uncertainty in the determination of V_{ud} , Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 241804, arXiv:1807.10197 [hep-ph].
- [43] Ch.-Y. Seng, M. Gorchtein, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, Dispersive evaluation of the inner radiative correction in neutron and nuclear beta decay, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 013001, arXiv:1812.03352 [nucl-th].
- [44] R.W. Pattie Jr., K.P. Hickerson, A.R. Young, Limits on tensor coupling from neutron beta decay, Phys. Rev. C 88 (2013) 048501, arXiv:1309.2499 [nucl-th], Erratum: Phys. Rev. C 92 (2015) 069902.
- [45] A.N. Ivanov, R. Höllwieser, N.I. Troitskaya, M. Wellenzohn, Ya.A. Berdnikov, Neutron dark matter decays and correlation coefficients of neutron beta decays, Nucl. Phys. B 938 (2019) 114, arXiv:1808.09805 [hep-ph].
- [46] N. Kaiser, Isospin breaking in neutron beta decay and SU(3) violation in semileptonic hyperon decays, Phys. Rev. C 64 (2001) 028201.
- [47] D.R. Harrington, Lepton decays of hyperons, Phys. Rev. 120 (1960) 1482.
- [48] V.P. Belov, B.S. Mingalev, V.M. Shekhter, Possibility of determining the form factors in leptonic decay of hyperons, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 11 (1960) 392.
- [49] L. Bender, V. Linke, H.J. Rothe, Leptonic decays of baryons, Z. Phys. 212 (1968) 190.
- [50] Ll. Armstrong Jr., C.W. Kim, Coulomb corrections in nuclear β decay: elementary-particles treatment, Phys. Rev. C 5 (1972) 672.
- [51] B.R. Holstein, Recoil effects in allowed beta decay: the elementary particle approach, Rev. Mod. Phys. 46 (1974) 789
- [52] H. Behrens, W. Bühring, Electron Radial Wave Functions and Nuclear Beta-Decay, Oxford University Press, New York, 1982.
- [53] M. González-Alonso, J.M. Camalich, Isospin breaking in the nucleon mass and the sensitivity of beta decays to new physics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 042501, arXiv:1309.4434 [hep-ph].
- [54] L. Hayen, N. Severijns, K. Bodek, D. Rozpedzik, X. Mougeot, High precision analytical description of the allowed β spectrum shape, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (2018) 015008.
- [55] M. Fierz, Zur Fermischen Theorie des β -Zerfalls, Z. Phys. 104 (1937) 553.

- [56] S.L. Adler, Y. Dothan, Low-energy theorem for the weak axial-vector vertex, Phys. Rev. 151 (1966) 1267, Erratum: Phys. Rev. 164 (1967) 2062.
- [57] L. Wolfenstein, Weak interactions of pions and muons, in: S. Devons (Ed.), High Energy Physics and Nuclear Structure, Plenum, New York, 1970, p. 661, High–energy physics and nuclear structure, Proceedings of the Third International Conference on High Energy Physics and Nuclear Structure, held at Columbia University, New York City, September 8 - 12, 1969.
- [58] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, Ulf-G. Meißner, Chiral dynamics in nucleons and nuclei, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 4 (1995) 193.
- [59] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, Ulf-G. Meißner, Nucleon electroweak form-factors: analysis of their spectral functions, Nucl. Phys. A 611 (1996) 429.
- [60] N. Kaiser, Induced pseudoscalar form-factor of the nucleon at two loop order in chiral perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. C 67 (2003) 027002.
- [61] T. Gorringe, H.W. Fearing, Induced pseudoscalar coupling of the proton weak interaction, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76 (2004) 31.
- [62] A.N. Ivanov, M. Pitschmann, N.I. Troitskaya, Ya.A. Berdnikov, Bound-state β⁻-decay of the neutron re-examined, Phys. Rev. C 89 (2014) 05550.
- [63] A. Liesenfeld, et al., A measurement of the axial form factor of the nucleon by the $p(e, e'\pi^+)n$ reaction at W = 1125 MeV, Phys. Lett. B 468 (1999) 20.
- [64] J.M. Blatt, V.F. Weisskopf, Theoretical Nuclear Physics, John Wily & Sons, New York, 1952.
- [65] J.D. Jackson, S.B. Treiman, H.W. Wyld Jr., Coulomb corrections in allowed beta transitions, Nucl. Phys. 4 (1957) 206;
 - J.D. Jackson, S.B. Treiman, H.W. Wyld Jr., Note on relativistic coulomb wave functions, Z. Phys. 150 (1958) 640.
- [66] E.K. Konopinski, The Theory of Beta Radioactivity, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1966.
- [67] R. Pohl, et al., The size of the proton, Nature 466 (2010) 213.
- [68] V. Khachatryan, et al., CMS Collaboration, Search for new physics in the final states with a lepton and missing transverse energy at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, CERN Report No. CMS-PAS-EXO-12-060, 2013.
- [69] M. González-Alonso, J.M. Camalich, Global effective-field-theory analysis of new-physics effects in (semi)leptonic kaon decays, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2016) 052.
- [70] L.C. Biedenharn, M.E. Rose, Theory of angular correlations of nuclear radiation, Rev. Mod. Phys. 25 (1953) 729.
- [71] A.N. Ivanov, Status of the Free Neutron Decay, Wilkinson's corrections and role of pseudoscalar interactions in neutron beta decays, invited talk at International Workshop on "Current and Future Status of the First-Row CKM Unitarity", held on 16 - 18 of May 2019 at Amherst Center of Fundamental Interactions, University of Massachusetts Amherst, USA, http://www.physics.umass.edu/acfi/seminars-and-workshops/current-and-future-statusof-the-first-row-ckm-unitarity.