



Non-relativistic limit of the Mielke–Baekler gravity theory

Patrick Concha^{1,2,a} , Nelson Merino^{3,4,b}, Evelyn Rodríguez^{1,2,c}

¹ Departamento de Matemática y Física Aplicadas, Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Alonso de Ribera 2850, Concepción, Chile

² Grupo de Investigación en Física Teórica, GIFT, Concepción, Chile

³ Instituto de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad Arturo Prat, Playa Brava 3265, 1111346 Iquique, Chile

⁴ Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Arturo Prat, Avenida Arturo Prat Chacón 2120, 1110939 Iquique, Chile

Received: 13 September 2023 / Accepted: 10 April 2024 / Published online: 21 April 2024

© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract In this paper, we present a generalized non-relativistic Chern–Simons gravity model in three spacetime dimensions. We first study the non-relativistic limit of the Mielke–Baekler gravity through a contraction process. The resulting non-relativistic theory contains a source for the spatial component of the torsion and the curvature measured in terms of two parameters, denoted by p and q . We then extend our results by defining a Newtonian version of the Mielke–Baekler gravity theory, based on a Newtonian like algebra which is obtained from the non-relativistic limit of an enhanced and enlarged relativistic algebra. Remarkably, in both cases, different known non-relativistic and Newtonian gravity theories can be derived by fixing the (p, q) parameters. In particular, torsionless models are recovered for $q = 0$.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Mielke–Baekler Lagrangian and Chern–Simons formulation	2
2.1 Chern–Simons formulation	3
2.2 U(1) enlargements	4
3 Non-relativistic MB gravity	4
3.1 Non-relativistic Chern–Simons Lagrangian	5
4 Newtonian MB gravity	6
4.1 Enhanced MB algebra and U(1)-enlargement	6
4.2 Newtonian MB algebra	7
4.3 Newtonian MB Chern–Simons gravity action	7
5 Conclusions	9
Appendix A: Degeneracy of the invariant tensor for a given Lie algebra	10

Appendix B: Non-relativistic limit of the Mielke–Baekler CS gravity	10
References	11

1 Introduction

Three-dimensional gravity theory has proven to be an interesting laboratory to study diverse aspects and features of the gravitational interaction and the underlying laws of quantum gravity. They share many properties with higher-dimensional gravity theories, as the existence of black hole solutions and their thermodynamical behavior [1–3]. Moreover, three-dimensional gravity models admit non-perturbative quantizations [4], possess rich and non-trivial boundary dynamics after considering suitable boundary conditions [5] and offer us a consistent way of coupling gravity with higher-spin gauge fields [6–8], among others.

The most general gravity Lagrangian being Lorentz-invariant with both curvature and torsion can be formulated through the Mielke–Baekler (MB) gravity Lagrangian [9, 10]. The MB gravity theory contains the usual Einstein–Hilbert gravity term, a cosmological constant term plus translational and rotational terms, each one with independent coupling constants. As a consequence, this theory is characterized by containing a source for both the constant Lorentz curvature and the constant torsion measured by parameters p and q , respectively. Remarkably, particular choices of the parameters (p, q) allow us to reproduce the usual Einstein–Hilbert gravity Lagrangian, the Teleparallel gravity and the “exotic” Witten Lagrangian [4]. Thus, the MB gravity is a useful toy model to study and analyze the role of the torsion and curvature in the AdS/CFT correspondence [11]. Different issues about the MB gravity were explored in [12–26], such as its relation with the Chern–Simons (CS) action, black

^a e-mail: patrick.concha@ucsc.cl (corresponding author)

^b e-mail: nemerino@unap.cl

^c e-mail: erodriguez@ucsc.cl

hole solutions, asymptotic symmetries, holography, its supersymmetric extension and its coupling to higher-spin gauge fields.

On the other hand, non-relativistic (NR) symmetries have received a growing interest due to their applications in strongly coupled condensed matter systems and non-relativistic effective field theories [27–48]. In three space-time dimensions, NR gravity can be formulated through a CS action under the so-called extended Bargmann algebra [49,50]. Such NR symmetry differs from the Galilei algebra by two central charges which are required to avoid degeneracy of the invariant bilinear trace. It is also worth mentioning that the extended Bargmann gravity can be obtained as an NR limit of a $U(1)$ -enlargement of the Poincaré CS gravity theory. In like manner, the inclusion of a cosmological constant requires considering the extended Newton–Hooke symmetry [51–58] which turns out to be the NR limit of the $AdS \oplus u(1)^2$ algebra.

The accommodation of a non-vanishing torsion in an NR environment requires a more subtle treatment [59–61]. Indeed torsional Newton–Cartan gravity appears by gauging the Schrödinger algebra being the conformal extension of the Bargmann algebra [62]. In such formalism, the time-component of the torsion is non zero. Non-vanishing torsion condition has first been encountered in the context of Lifshitz holography [37] and Quantum Hall Effect [43]. An alternative approach has then been presented in [63,64], considering the CS action for an NR teleparallel symmetry. In such a case, the cosmological constant appears as a source of the space-component of the torsion, while the time-component is zero. Although both formalisms are quite different, there are preliminary results in [63] that could reveal some relations between them.

To our knowledge, although the presence of torsion and curvature in NR gravity have been approached separately, there is no a MB analog in the NR regime. In this work, motivated by the different physical applications of the NR models, we show that both known NR gravity regimes can be obtained from a unique generalized NR CS gravity theory based on a novel NR Lie algebra. To this end, we apply the NR limit to the MB gravity Lagrangian presented in [25] as a CS form. The degeneracy problem which generally appears after considering a NR limit is avoided, analogously to the extended Bargmann case [49,50], by adding two $u(1)$ generators in the relativistic underlying symmetry of the MB CS action. Thus, the NR algebra obtained contains the desired quantities of central charges. In particular, non-degenerate torsional and torsionless NR gravity models are recovered from the NR MB gravity theory presented here.

We show that, as in the relativistic MB model, the NR MB theory contains a source for the spatial component of both torsion and curvature depending on the parameters q and p , respectively. Interestingly, we recover different known NR

gravity theories for particular values of the (p, q) parameters. We then extend our results to a Newtonian generalization of the MB gravity considering the NR limit of an enhanced algebra. Diverse extended Newtonian gravity theories can also be recovered for particular choices of the (p, q) parameters. In particular, the Newtonian MB algebra obtained here can be seen as a central extension of the Newtonian symmetry introduced in [65] in which an action principle for Newtonian gravity has been derived in four spacetime dimensions.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2 we briefly review the MB gravity theory and its CS formulation. Sections 3 and 4 contain our main results. In Sect. 3 we first present the NR version of the MB gravity theory by applying an NR limit to a $U(1)$ -enlargement of the so-called MB algebra. Section 4 is devoted to the construction of a Newtonian version of the MB gravity theory. Section 5 concludes our work with some discussions about future developments.

2 Mielke–Baekler Lagrangian and Chern–Simons formulation

A three-dimensional gravity model that is characterized by a non-vanishing torsion was proposed by Mielke and Baekler in [9]. The MB Lagrangian is the most general three-form constructed with the dreibein one-form E^A and the dual spin connection one-form W^A , and reads as follows

$$L_{\text{MB}}[E^A, W^A] = \sigma_0 L_0[E^A] + \sigma_1 L_1[E^A, W^A] + \sigma_2 L_2[W^A] + \sigma_3 L_3[E^A, W^A], \quad (2.1)$$

where $\sigma_i, i = 0, \dots, 3$ are independent constants and

$$\begin{aligned} L_0[E^A] &= \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{ABC} E^A E^B E^C, \\ L_1[E^A, W^A] &= 2E_A R^A, \\ L_2[W^A] &= W^A dW_A + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{ABC} W_A W_B W_C, \\ L_3[E^A, W^A] &= E_A T^A. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} R^A &= dW^A + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} W_B W_C, \\ T^A &= dE^A + \epsilon^{ABC} W_B E_C, \end{aligned}$$

are the corresponding Lorentz curvature and torsion two-forms, $A = 0, 1, 2$ are Lorentz indices which are lowered and raised with the Minkowski metric $\eta_{AB} = (-1, 1, 1)$ and ϵ_{ABC} is the three-dimensional Levi Civita tensor which satisfies $\epsilon_{012} = -\epsilon^{012} = 1$. L_0 yields a cosmological constant term, L_1 corresponds to the Einstein–Hilbert Lagrangian, L_2 is the Chern–Simons gravitational term and L_4 represents a translational Chern–Simons term. For a particular choice of

the σ_i parameters, we can recover the Einstein–Hilbert gravity, teleparallel gravity and the “exotic” Witten’s gravity [4].

The equations of motion obtained from the MB Lagrangian are given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sigma_1 R^A + \sigma_0 \epsilon^{ABC} E_B E_C + 2\sigma_3 T^A &= 0, \\ 2\sigma_1 T^A + 2\sigma_2 R^A + \sigma_3 \epsilon^{ABC} E_B E_C &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, assuming $\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2\sigma_3 \neq 0$, the field equations can be rewritten as

$$2T^A + q\epsilon^{ABC} E_B E_C = 0, \quad 2R^A + p\epsilon^{ABC} E_B E_C = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$q := \frac{\sigma_1\sigma_3 - \sigma_0\sigma_2}{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2\sigma_3}, \quad p := \frac{\sigma_0\sigma_1 - \sigma_3^2}{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2\sigma_3}.$$

In this way, the field configurations are characterized by constant curvature and constant torsion. As we have mentioned before, we can identify three particular cases. First, the EH gravity with cosmological constant is recovered for $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 0$, such that the torsion vanishes ($q = 0$). Second, the teleparallel gravity theory in three-dimensions, having a non-zero torsion and a vanishing curvature ($p = 0$) can be obtained by fixing $\sigma_0\sigma_1 - \sigma_3^2 = 0$. In such a case, the cosmological constant can be seen as a source for the torsion. Finally, considering $\sigma_0 = \sigma_1 = 0$ we recover the Witten’s exotic gravity [4].

Let us note that the Riemann–Cartan curvature R^A can be expressed in terms of its Riemannian part \tilde{R}^A and the contorsion one-form K^A . Indeed, decomposing the dual spin-connection as $W^A = \tilde{W}^A + K^A$, where \tilde{W}^A is the (torsionless) dual Levi–Civita connection, the curvature and torsion two-forms corresponding to the dual Levi–Civita connection are

$$2\tilde{R}^A = \Lambda\epsilon^{ABC} E_B E_C, \quad 2\tilde{T}^A = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\Lambda := -\left(p + \frac{q^2}{4}\right). \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore, the MB gravity model describes constant curvature spacetimes with cosmological constant Λ .

2.1 Chern–Simons formulation

As it was shown in [13, 18, 19, 25] the MB model can be written as a CS theory. Here, following [25], we will consider a CS formulation of the MB model in a particular basis which puts forward more clearly the role of the constants σ_i and of the curvature and torsion parameters (p, q) . Let us consider the algebra spanned by generators (J_A, P_A) which satisfy the following commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [J_A, J_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC} J^C, \\ [J_A, P_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC} P^C, \\ [P_A, P_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC} (pJ^C + qP^C). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Here (p, q) can be arbitrary for the moment. As it was noticed in [25], the above algebra is actually isomorphic to the AdS algebra. Indeed, defining the new generators

$$\hat{P}_A = P_A - \frac{q}{2} J_A, \tag{2.7}$$

the algebra (2.6) maps to

$$\begin{aligned} [J_A, J_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC} J^C, \\ [J_A, \hat{P}_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC} \hat{P}^C, \\ [\hat{P}_A, \hat{P}_B] &= -\Lambda\epsilon_{ABC} J^C, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where Λ is defined in (2.5). Just for simplicity, along this work we will refer to the AdS algebra in the specific basis (2.6) as the *MB algebra*.

The gauge connection one-form A for the MB algebra can be defined as follows,

$$A = W^A J_A + E^A P_A. \tag{2.9}$$

where W^A is the one-form spin connection and E^A is the dreibein. Thus the curvature two-form reads

$$F = \mathcal{R}^A(W) J_A + \mathcal{R}^A(E) P_A, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^A(W) &:= dW^A + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ABC} W_B W_C + \frac{p}{2}\epsilon^{ABC} E_B E_C, \\ \mathcal{R}^A(E) &:= dE^A + \epsilon^{ABC} W_B E_C + \frac{q}{2}\epsilon^{ABC} E_B E_C. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, the MB algebra (2.6) admits an invariant bilinear form with the following non-vanishing components

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_A J_B \rangle &= \sigma_2 \eta_{AB}, \quad \langle J_A P_B \rangle = \sigma_1 \eta_{AB}, \\ \langle P_A P_B \rangle &= (p\sigma_2 + q\sigma_1) \eta_{AB}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Considering the gauge connection one-form (2.9) and the non-vanishing components of the invariant tensor (2.11) in the three-dimensional CS Lagrangian,

$$L_{CS}[A] = \left\langle AdA + \frac{2}{3} A^3 \right\rangle, \tag{2.12}$$

we find, modulo boundary terms, that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{CS}[A] &= (p\sigma_1 + q\sigma_3) L_0[E^A] + \sigma_1 L_1[E^A, W^A] \\ &\quad + \sigma_2 L_2[W^A] + \sigma_3 L_3[E^A, W^A], \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where L_0, \dots, L_3 are given in (2.2) and where we have imposed

$$\sigma_3 = p\sigma_2 + q\sigma_1. \tag{2.14}$$

Then, by further imposing

$$\sigma_0 = p\sigma_1 + q\sigma_3, \tag{2.15}$$

we find that, up to boundary terms [25]

$$L_{CS}[A] = L_{MB}[E^A, W^A]. \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, the MB Lagrangian is a CS theory for the connection (2.9) and the algebra spanned by (J_A, P_A) (2.6). Requiring the condition for having a non-degenerate invariant tensor, the field equations coming from the CS Lagrangian correspond to the vanishing of the components of the curvature two-form (2.10), which can be expressed as in (2.3).¹

In the following sections, we will analyze non-relativistic versions of the MB CS gravity theory previously introduced. We will study the NR limits through a contraction process, in which the speed of light is taken to infinity ($c \rightarrow \infty$). As it is well-known, taking this limit in the relativistic Lagrangian might lead to divergences and degeneracy. One way to avoid such difficulties is to add extra fields to the relativistic theory. Then, in our case, we will include two new extra fields in order to obtain finite CS Lagrangians, constructed from NR algebras with a non-degenerate bilinear form. First, we will consider the NR limit to an enlargement of the MB gravity. In the second part of the work, we will show that a Newtonian version of the MB CS gravity theory can be constructed from the contraction of an enhancement and enlargement of the MB algebra. In both cases, we will decompose the A -index as follows:

$$A \rightarrow (0, a), \quad a = 1, 2. \tag{2.17}$$

Then, we will apply particular redefinitions to the corresponding relativistic algebras and we will take the NR limits in order to get its NR and Newtonian versions. We will also consider the contraction at the level of the invariant tensors in order to construct the corresponding NR CS gravity actions.

2.2 U(1) enlargements

As it was previously discussed, we will add two extra fields to the MB CS gravity theory to obtain a finite and non-degenerate NR Lagrangian after the contraction process. We include two $U(1)$ one-form gauge fields y_1 and y_2 in the one-form gauge connection (2.9):

$$A = W^A J_A + E^A P_A + y_1 Y_1 + y_2 Y_2, \tag{2.18}$$

where the new Abelian generators satisfy the following non-vanishing invariant tensors

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y_1 Y_1 \rangle &= \sigma_3 = p\sigma_2 + q\sigma_1, \\ \langle Y_1 Y_2 \rangle &= \sigma_1, \quad \langle Y_2 Y_2 \rangle = \sigma_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

¹ The non-degeneracy of the invariant tensor is briefly described in Appendix A.

Then, the non-zero components of the invariant tensor for the algebra (J_A, P_A) enlarged with two $u(1)$ generators are given by (2.11) along with (2.19). The relativistic enlarged CS Lagrangian is written as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{CS}^{U(1)} &= \sigma_0 L_0[E^A] + \sigma_1 \left(L_1[E^A, W^A] + 2y_1 dy_2 \right) \\ &+ \sigma_2 \left(L_2[W^A] + y_2 dy_2 \right) \\ &+ \sigma_3 \left(L_3[E^A, W^A] + y_1 dy_1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

In the next sections, we will show that the inclusion of these extra gauge fields in the MB CS theory is essential as they allow to cancel the divergences appearing in the limiting process. Let us note that the motivation to consider the contraction of the enlarged algebra (J_A, P_A, Y_1, Y_2) is twofold. First, as we will see, its NR version admits a non-degenerate invariant tensor. Second, the NR MB CS Lagrangian leads to different known NR CS gravity theories when the (p, q) parameters are set to particular values. It is important to mention that, as we shall see, the $U(1)$ -enlargement is also required to approach the Newtonian regime.

3 Non-relativistic MB gravity

In this section, we approach the construction of the NR version of the previously introduced MB CS gravity. To this purpose, we will first consider the NR limit to the algebra (2.6) enlarged with two $u(1)$ generators. It is obtained by performing the indices decomposition (2.17), and subsequently performing an Inönü–Wigner contraction, for which we introduce the dimensionless parameter ξ . We define the contraction process through the identification of the relativistic generators with the NR generators as

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= \frac{J}{2} + \xi^2 S, \quad J_a = \xi G_a, \quad Y_2 = \frac{J}{2} - \xi^2 S, \\ P_0 &= \frac{H}{2\xi} + \xi M, \quad P_a = P_a, \quad Y_1 = \frac{H}{2\xi} - \xi M, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

along with the following scaling

$$p \rightarrow \frac{p}{\xi^2}, \quad q \rightarrow \frac{q}{\xi}. \tag{3.2}$$

which is required to have a well-defined limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. Then, after applying the previous steps to (2.6), we get a NR version of the MB algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} [J, G_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} G_b, \quad [J, P_a] = \epsilon_{ab} P_b, \\ [G_a, P_b] &= -\epsilon_{ab} M, \\ [G_a, G_a] &= -\epsilon_{ab} S, \quad [P_a, P_b] = -\epsilon_{ab} (pS + qM), \\ [H, G_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} P_b, \quad [H, P_a] = \epsilon_{ab} (pG_b + qP_b), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Table 1 Non-relativistic symmetries for different values of p and q in the NR MB algebra

NR algebra	p	q
NR torsional algebra	0	$-2/\ell$
Extended Newton–Hooke algebra	$1/\ell^2$	0
Extended Bargmann algebra	0	0

where $a = 1, 2$, $\epsilon_{ab} \equiv \epsilon_{0ab}$, $\epsilon^{ab} \equiv \epsilon^{0ab}$. This NR algebra consists of spatial translations P_a , spatial rotations J , Galilean boosts G_a , time translations H and two central charges S and M . Let us note that different known NR algebras can be derived from (3.3) when the (p, q) parameters are fixed to certain values. Indeed, the extended Bargmann algebra [49, 50], the torsional NR algebra presented in [63] and the extended Newton–Hooke algebra [55–58] are obtained when the parameters are set as shown in Table 1.

Let us note that the presence of the two central charges S and M is essential to have a non-degenerate invariant tensor. Indeed, when we set $M = S = 0$, the resulting algebra corresponds to the torsional galilean-AdS algebra introduced in [66], which can not be equipped with a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form. In this way, the NR MB algebra (3.3) can be seen as a central extension of the torsional galilean-AdS algebra.

3.1 Non-relativistic Chern–Simons Lagrangian

Now, we extend our study to the explicit construction of a CS action for the NR algebra (3.3). To this end, let us consider the corresponding gauge connection one-form A ,

$$A = \tau H + e^a P_a + \omega J + \omega^a G_a + m M + s S. \tag{3.4}$$

The curvature two-form $F = dA + \frac{1}{2} [A, A]$ is given by

$$F = R(\tau) H + R^a(e^b) P_a + R(\omega) J + R^a(\omega^b) G_a + R(m) M + R(s) S, \tag{3.5}$$

where the components are explicitly given by:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tau) &= d\tau, \quad R^a(e^b) = de^a + \epsilon^{ac} \omega e_c + \epsilon^{ac} \tau \omega_c + q \epsilon^{ac} \tau e_c, \\ R(\omega) &= d\omega, \quad R^a(\omega^b) = d\omega^a + \epsilon^{ac} \omega \omega_c + p \epsilon^{ac} \tau e_c, \\ R(m) &= dm + \epsilon^{ac} e_a \omega_c + \frac{q}{2} \epsilon^{ac} e_a e_c, \\ R(s) &= ds + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ac} \omega_a \omega_c + \frac{p}{2} \epsilon^{ac} e_a e_c. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Naturally, when we fix the (p, q) parameters to the values given in the table, the NR two-form curvatures constructed from those algebras are recovered.

The non-vanishing components of a non-degenerate invariant tensor are obtained by applying the contraction process to the relativistic invariant tensors (2.11) and (2.19). These are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle JS \rangle &= -\tilde{\sigma}_2, \\ \langle G_a G_b \rangle &= \tilde{\sigma}_2 \delta_{ab}, \\ \langle G_a P_b \rangle &= \tilde{\sigma}_1 \delta_{ab}, \\ \langle HS \rangle = \langle MJ \rangle &= -\tilde{\sigma}_1, \\ \langle P_a P_b \rangle &= (p\tilde{\sigma}_2 + q\tilde{\sigma}_1) \delta_{ab}, \\ \langle HM \rangle &= -(p\tilde{\sigma}_2 + q\tilde{\sigma}_1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where we have considered the following rescaling for the σ_1 and σ_2 parameters

$$\sigma_1 = \tilde{\sigma}_1 \xi, \quad \sigma_2 = \tilde{\sigma}_2 \xi^2 \tag{3.8}$$

which is required to end with a finite NR CS Lagrangian. Then, the NR CS Lagrangian gauge-invariant under the NR MB algebra (3.3) is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{NRMB}} &= -\tilde{\sigma}_0 \epsilon^{ac} \tau e_a e_c + \tilde{\sigma}_1 \left[e_a \hat{R}^a(e^b) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega_a \hat{R}^a(e^b) - 2m R(\omega) - 2s R(\tau) \right] \\ &\quad + \tilde{\sigma}_2 \left[\omega_a \hat{R}^a(\omega^b) - 2s R(\omega) \right] \\ &\quad + \tilde{\sigma}_3 \left[e_a \hat{R}^a(e^b) - m R(\tau) - \tau \hat{R}(m) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}^a(e^b) &= de^a + \epsilon^{ac} \omega e_c + \epsilon^{ac} \tau \omega_c, \\ \hat{R}^a(\omega^b) &= d\omega^a + \epsilon^{ac} \omega \omega_c, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\hat{R}(m) = dm + \epsilon^{ac} e_a \omega_c, \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_3 &= p\tilde{\sigma}_2 + q\tilde{\sigma}_1, \\ \tilde{\sigma}_0 &= p\tilde{\sigma}_1 + q\tilde{\sigma}_3 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

The NR Lagrangian (3.9) corresponds to the NR counterpart of the MB gravity Lagrangian (2.1) and can be seen as the most general NR gravity Lagrangian in three-dimensions invariant under the NR version of the MB algebra (3.3). As Table 1 indicates, depending on the values of p and q , the previous Lagrangian leads to different NR gravity theories. When $p = q = 0$, and consequently when $\tilde{\sigma}_3 = \tilde{\sigma}_0 = 0$, the NR Lagrangian corresponds to the Extended Bargmann gravity [50]. On the other hand, when $q = 0$ and $p = 1/\ell^2$, the Lagrangian reduces to the Extended Newton–Hooke gravity theory. Setting $p = 0$ and $q = -2/\ell$ the Lagrangian reproduces the NR torsional gravity introduced in [63]. For arbitrary values of p and q , the equations of motion are given

by the vanishing of the curvatures (3.6). Fixing p and q as it was discussed above, reproduces diverse NR dynamics with and without non-zero spatial torsion $\hat{R}^a(e^a)$.

It is important to clarify that each of those NR gravity models, which appear as particular subcases of the NR MB gravity theory, has a physical interest of its own and thus its physical implications change in different ways. Interestingly, both torsional and torsionless NR theories recovered here admit a non-degenerate invariant tensor. The non-degeneracy of the invariant bilinear form (3.7) ensures that the Lagrangian (3.9) involves a kinetic term for each gauge field and the field equations of the theory are given by the vanishing of the curvature two-form (3.6).² Indeed, the equations of motion derived from (3.9) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\omega^a &: \tilde{\sigma}_1 R^a(e^b) + \tilde{\sigma}_2 R^a(\omega^b) = 0, \\ \delta\omega &: \tilde{\sigma}_1 R(m) + \tilde{\sigma}_2 R(s) = 0, \\ \delta e^a &: \tilde{\sigma}_1 [R^a(\omega^b) + qR^a(e^b)] + \tilde{\sigma}_2 pR^a(e^b) = 0, \\ \delta\tau &: \tilde{\sigma}_1 [R(s) + qR(m)] + \tilde{\sigma}_2 pR(m) = 0, \\ \delta s &: \tilde{\sigma}_1 R(\tau) + \tilde{\sigma}_2 R(\omega) = 0, \\ \delta m &: \tilde{\sigma}_1 [R(\omega) + qR(\tau)] + \tilde{\sigma}_2 pR(\tau) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where we have used (3.12). In particular, the non-degeneracy of the invariant tensor (3.7) is satisfied for $\tilde{\sigma}_1^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_2\tilde{\sigma}_3 \neq 0$ which implies the vanishing of the curvatures (3.6). Of particular interest is the vanishing of $R^a(e^b) = 0$ and $R^a(\omega^b) = 0$ which implies the non-vanishing of the usual spatial torsion $\hat{R}^a(e^b) \neq 0$ and the spatial curvature $\hat{R}^a(\omega^b) \neq 0$. This feature is inherited from the relativistic MB theory which contains a source for both torsion and Lorentz curvature measured by a parameter q and p , respectively. At the NR regime, the same behavior appears along the spatial component of the torsion $\hat{R}^a(e^b) = -q\epsilon^{ac}\tau e_c$ and the curvature $\hat{R}^a(\omega^b) = -p\epsilon^{ac}\tau e_c$. Naturally, for $q = 0$, the theory is torsionless and the geometry remains Riemannian. It is also important to mention that there are no values for (p, q) allowing to turn on the time component of the torsion $R(\tau)$ as in the torsional Newton–Cartan gravity [59–61]. As it was discussed in [63], although our NR model contains a zero time-like torsion $R(\tau)$, the presence of a non-vanishing spatial torsion in a CS formalism could be useful for introducing non-zero time-like torsion. Unlike our approach, the torsional Newton–Cartan model appears by gauging the conformal extension of the Bargmann algebra [62].

Let us note that the NR gravity action (3.9) can be alternatively recovered from the relativistic $U(1)$ -enlarged MB CS action (2.20). Indeed, one can express the relativistic gauge fields in terms of the NR ones as follows:

² The non-relativistic limit of the MB algebra without $U(1)$ enlargement reproduces a finite NR algebra which suffers from degeneracy as it was shown in appendix 1.

$$\begin{aligned} W^0 &= \omega + \frac{s}{2\xi^2}, & W^a &= \frac{\omega^a}{\xi}, & y_2 &= \omega - \frac{s}{2\xi^2}, \\ E^0 &= \xi\tau + \frac{m}{2\xi}, & E^a &= e^a, & y_1 &= \xi\tau - \frac{m}{2\xi}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

The NR CS action (3.9) is obtained considering these last expressions along with the rescaling of the relativistic parameters (3.8) on the relativistic CS action (2.20) and then applying the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$.

4 Newtonian MB gravity

In this section, we present a Newtonian version of the MB gravity theory in three dimensions, which is based on a novel non-relativistic algebra obtained as a contraction of an enhancement and enlargement of the MB algebra (2.6). The obtained Newtonian MB symmetry results to be a central extension of the Newtonian algebra appearing as the underlying symmetry of an action principle for Newtonian gravity [65].

4.1 Enhanced MB algebra and $U(1)$ -enlargement

An enhancement of the MB algebra with two additional generators $\{S_A, L_A\}$ satisfies the commutators (2.6) along with the following ones:

$$\begin{aligned} [J_A, S_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}S^C, & [J_A, L_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}L^C, \\ [S_A, P_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}L^C, & [L_A, P_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}(pS^C + qL^C). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

One can notice that such enhancement is isomorphic to the coadjoint AdS algebra defined in [67]. In fact, by considering the redefinition

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_A &= P_A - \frac{q}{2}J_A, \\ \hat{L}_A &= L_A - \frac{q}{2}S_A, \end{aligned}$$

the algebra satisfies the coadjoint AdS commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [J_A, J_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}J^C, & [J_A, \hat{P}_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}\hat{P}^C, \\ [\hat{P}_A, \hat{P}_B] &= -\Lambda\epsilon_{ABC}J^C, & [J_A, S_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}S^C, \\ [J_A, \hat{L}_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}\hat{L}^C, & [S_A, \hat{P}_B] &= \epsilon_{ABC}\hat{L}^C, \\ [\hat{L}_A, \hat{P}_B] &= -\Lambda\epsilon_{ABC}S^C, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

with Λ being defined as in (2.5). Let us note that when $p = q = 0$, the enhanced MB algebra (4.1) reduces to the coadjoint Poincaré algebra [67–69]. Furthermore, when $q = 0$ and $p = 1/\ell^2$, it reproduces the coadjoint AdS algebra. On the other hand, when $p = 0$ and $q = -2/\ell$ the

enhanced teleparallel algebra introduced in [64] is recovered. Analogously to the cases studied in [64, 67], to obtain a non-degenerate invariant tensor in the non-relativistic limit, it is also necessary to include $u(1)$ generators, Y_1 and Y_2 , as it was considered in the previous section. At the relativistic level, the non-vanishing components of the invariant bilinear form for the enlarged enhanced MB algebra are given by (2.11), (2.19), and those components involving the additional generators (S_A, L_A) :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_A S_B \rangle &= \beta_2 \eta_{AB}, \quad \langle J_A L_B \rangle = \beta_1 \eta_{AB}, \\ \langle P_A S_B \rangle &= \beta_1 \eta_{AB}, \quad \langle P_A L_B \rangle = (p\beta_2 + q\beta_1) \eta_{AB}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where β_1 and β_2 are arbitrary constants. Then, the enhancement of the MB algebra does not modify the original MB Lagrangian (2.1) but add new contributions along β_1 and β_2 which will be crucial to elucidate a Newtonian version of the MB gravity theory.

4.2 Newtonian MB algebra

A non-relativistic version of an enlargement and enhancement of the MB algebra can be obtained by applying an Inönü-Wigner contraction to (2.6) and (4.1). To apply the contraction we have to first express the relativistic generators as a linear combination of the non-relativistic ones through a dimensionless parameter ξ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= \frac{J}{2} - \xi^4 Z, & J_a &= \frac{\xi}{2} G_a - \frac{\xi^3}{2} B_a, \\ P_0 &= \frac{H}{2} - \xi^4 Y, & P_a &= \frac{\xi}{2} P_a - \frac{\xi^3}{2} L_a, \\ S_0 &= -\xi^2 S - \xi^4 Z, & S_a &= -\xi G_a - \xi^3 B_a, \\ L_0 &= -\xi^2 M - \xi^4 Y, & L_a &= -\xi T_a - \xi^3 L_a, \\ Y_1 &= \frac{J}{2} + \xi^4 Z, \quad Y_2 = \frac{H}{2} + \xi^4 Y. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Then, in the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, the non-relativistic generators $\{J, H, G_a, P_a, S, M, B_a, L_a, Y, Z\}$, satisfy the commutation relations of the extended Newtonian algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} [J, G_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} G_b, [G_a, G_b] = -\epsilon_{ab} S, [H, G_a] = \epsilon_{ab} P_b, \\ [J, P_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} P_b, [G_a, P_b] = -\epsilon_{ab} M, [H, B_a] = \epsilon_{ab} L_b, \\ [J, B_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} B_b, [G_a, B_b] = -\epsilon_{ab} Z, [S, G_a] = \epsilon_{ab} B_b, \\ [J, L_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} L_b, [G_a, L_b] = -\epsilon_{ab} Y, [S, P_a] = \epsilon_{ab} L_b, \\ [M, G_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} L_b, [P_a, B_b] = -\epsilon_{ab} Y, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

along with

$$\begin{aligned} [H, P_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} (pG_b + qP_b), [P_a, P_b] = -\epsilon_{ab} (pS + qM), \\ [H, L_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} (pB_b + qL_b), \\ [M, P_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} (pB_b + qL_b), [P_a, L_b] = -\epsilon_{ab} (pZ + qY). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Table 2 Newtonian symmetries for different values of p and q in the NMB algebra

Newtonian type algebra	p	q
TEN algebra	0	$-2/\ell$
Newton-Hooke-Newtonian algebra	$1/\ell^2$	0
Extended Newtonian algebra	0	0

This new non-relativistic symmetry is denoted as the Newtonian MB (NMB) algebra. Such symmetry, unlike the Newtonian one introduced in [65], is characterized by the presence of two central charges Z and Y which, as we shall see, are required for having non-degenerate invariant bilinear trace. As in the previous section, different Newtonian type algebras can be derived from the NMB algebra. Indeed, the Extended Newtonian algebra [70], the Newton-Hooke version of the Newtonian algebra [67, 71, 72] and the torsional extended Newtonian (TEN) algebra [64] are obtained once we fix the (p, q) parameters as shown in Table 2.

4.3 Newtonian MB Chern-Simons gravity action

For the construction of the CS Lagrangian it is required the invariant tensor for the NMB algebra. It is possible to show that the non-vanishing components of the invariant tensor are:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle SS \rangle &= \langle JZ \rangle = -\tilde{\beta}_2, \\ \langle G_a B_b \rangle &= \tilde{\beta}_2 \delta_{ab}, \\ \langle MS \rangle &= \langle HZ \rangle = \langle JY \rangle = -\tilde{\beta}_1, \\ \langle P_a B_b \rangle &= \langle G_a L_b \rangle = \tilde{\beta}_1 \delta_{ab}, \\ \langle HY \rangle &= \langle MM \rangle = -\left(p\tilde{\beta}_2 + q\tilde{\beta}_1\right), \\ \langle P_a L_b \rangle &= \left(p\tilde{\beta}_2 + q\tilde{\beta}_1\right) \delta_{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

which can be obtained from the relativistic components (2.11), (2.19) and (4.3) by applying the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ after considering the contraction of the generators (4.4) and the rescaling of the relativistic parameters as

$$\sigma_2 = \beta_2 = -\tilde{\beta}_2 \xi^4, \quad \sigma_1 = \beta_1 = -\tilde{\beta}_1 \xi^4. \quad (4.8)$$

Furthermore, the NMB algebra also admits the bilinear invariant trace for the non-relativistic MB algebra defined in the previous section, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \langle JS \rangle &= -\tilde{\sigma}_2, \\ \langle G_a G_b \rangle &= \tilde{\sigma}_2 \delta_{ab}, \\ \langle G_a P_b \rangle &= \tilde{\sigma}_1 \delta_{ab}, \\ \langle HS \rangle &= \langle MJ \rangle = -\tilde{\sigma}_1, \\ \langle P_a P_b \rangle &= (p\tilde{\sigma}_2 + q\tilde{\sigma}_1) \delta_{ab}, \\ \langle HM \rangle &= -(p\tilde{\sigma}_2 + q\tilde{\sigma}_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where the relativistic parameters obey $\sigma_2 = \sigma_1 = 0$ along the following rescaling:

$$\beta_2 = -\tilde{\sigma}_2 \xi^2, \quad \beta_1 = -\tilde{\sigma}_1 \xi^2. \tag{4.10}$$

Let us note that the components of the invariant tensor proportional to $\tilde{\sigma}$'s are degenerate for the whole NMB algebra although they define a non-degenerate invariant trace for the NR MB algebra obtained in the previous section. The non-degeneracy requires to consider the invariant tensor given by (4.7) or to consider both contributions proportional to $\tilde{\sigma}$'s and $\tilde{\beta}$'s. For completeness, we shall consider the complete set of non-vanishing components of the invariant tensor keeping in mind that two inequivalent limits at the level of the relativistic CS constants are considered for obtaining the NR invariant tensor (4.7) and (4.9).

The one-form gauge connection for the NMB algebra reads

$$A = \tau H + e^a P_a + \omega J + \omega^a G_a + m M + s S + l^a L_a + b^a B_a + y Y + z Z. \tag{4.11}$$

The curvature two-form $F = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$ is given by

$$F = R(\tau) H + R^a(e^b) P_a + R(\omega) J + R^a(\omega^b) G_a + R(m) M + R(s) S + R^a(l^b) L_a + R^a(b^b) B_a + R(y) Y + R(z) Z, \tag{4.12}$$

where the components are explicitly given by:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\omega) &= d\omega, \\ R(s) &= ds + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ac}\omega_a\omega_c + \frac{p}{2}\epsilon^{ac}e_ae_c, \\ R(z) &= dz + \epsilon^{ac}\omega_ab_c + p\epsilon^{ac}e_al_c, \\ R(\tau) &= d\tau, \\ R(m) &= dm + \epsilon^{ac}\omega_ae_c + \frac{q}{2}\epsilon^{ac}e_ae_c, \\ R(y) &= dy + \epsilon^{ac}\omega_al_c + \epsilon^{ac}b_ae_c + q\epsilon^{ac}e_al_c, \\ R^a(\omega^b) &= d\omega^a + \epsilon^{ac}\omega\omega_c + p\epsilon^{ac}\tau e_c, \\ R^a(b^b) &= db^a + \epsilon^{ac}\omega_b_c + \epsilon^{ac}s\omega_c + p\epsilon^{ac}\tau l_c + p\epsilon^{ac}m e_c, \\ R^a(e^b) &= de^a + \epsilon^{ac}\omega e_c + \epsilon^{ac}\tau\omega_c + q\epsilon^{ac}\tau e_c, \\ R^a(l^b) &= dl^a + \epsilon^{ac}\omega l_c + \epsilon^{ac}s e_c + \epsilon^{ac}\tau b_c + \epsilon^{ac}m\omega_c + q\epsilon^{ac}\tau l_c + q\epsilon^{ac}m e_c. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

From the previous expressions, we can see that when $p = q = 0$, the curvature two-forms of the extended Newtonian are recovered. On the other hand, when we fix $q = 0$ and $p = 1/\ell^2$, the curvatures reduce to those of the Newton Hooke version of the Newtonian algebra. Similarly, when $p = 0$ and $q = -2/\ell$, the TEN curvatures are obtained. The same analysis is valid at the level of the invariant tensors. Let us note that if we consider the contraction at the level of the CS Lagrangian based on the enhancement and

enlargement of the MB algebra, the resulting non-relativistic Lagrangian will depend on the choice of the rescaling of the arbitrary constants, namely, (4.8) or (4.10). To construct the most general and non-degenerate Lagrangian for the NMB algebra, we will consider both families of invariant tensors.

A CS Lagrangian based on the NMB algebra (4.5) and (4.6) is constructed considering the gauge connection one-form (4.11) and the non-vanishing components of the invariant tensor (4.7) and (4.9) in the CS Lagrangian (2.12),

$$L = L_{NRMB} + L_{NMB}, \tag{4.14}$$

where L_{NMB} is the non-relativistic Lagrangian (3.9) obtain previously and L_{NRMB} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{NMB} &= -\tilde{\beta}_0 (\epsilon^{ac}\tau e_al_c + \epsilon^{ac}m e_ae_c) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\beta}_1 [2e_a\hat{R}^a(b^b) + 2l_a\hat{R}^a(\omega^b) - 2\tau\hat{R}(z) - 2m\hat{R}(s) - 2yR(\omega)] \\ &\quad + \tilde{\beta}_2 [\omega_a\hat{R}^a(b^b) + b_a\hat{R}^a(\omega^b) - 2zR(\omega) - sds] \\ &\quad + \tilde{\beta}_3 [e_a\hat{R}^a(l^b) + l_a\hat{R}^a(e^b) - m\hat{R}(m) - \tau R(y) - yR(\tau)]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}^a(b^b) &= db^a + \epsilon^{ac}\omega_b_c + \epsilon^{ac}s\omega_c, \\ \hat{R}^a(l^b) &= dl^a + \epsilon^{ac}\omega_l_c + \epsilon^{ac}s e_c + \epsilon^{ac}\tau b_c, \\ \hat{R}(y) &= dy + \epsilon^{ac}\omega_al_c + \epsilon^{ac}b_ae_c, \\ \hat{R}(z) &= dz + \epsilon^{ac}\omega_ab_c, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_3 &= p\tilde{\beta}_2 + q\tilde{\beta}_1, \\ \tilde{\beta}_0 &= p\tilde{\beta}_1 + q\tilde{\beta}_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Let us note that the term proportional to $\tilde{\beta}_1$ is the extended Newtonian Lagrangian introduced in [70]. The cosmological term appears along $\tilde{\beta}_0$. On the other hand, the term along $\tilde{\beta}_2$ can be seen as the Newtonian version of the CS gravitational term. The torsional term of the Newtonian MB gravity theory appears along $\tilde{\beta}_3$. It also turns out appealing that the diverse Newtonian gravity Lagrangians known in the literature [64, 67, 70–72], appear by fixing the (p, q) parameters as in Table 2.

In particular, post-Newtonian gravity [67, 72, 73] and its cosmological extension [64, 71] can be recovered from the Newtonian MB gravity action (4.15). In the flat case, the

extended Newtonian algebra [73] obtained for $p = q = 0$ differs from the Newtonian symmetry introduced in [65] as the underlying symmetry of the Newtonian gravity. Although the differences at the level of action and the matter coupling [65, 73], the extended Newtonian symmetry allows us to define a proper three-dimensional Newtonian CS action without degeneracy which admits backgrounds with non-trivial curvature whenever matter is present [73]. Moreover both torsionless and torsional Newtonian algebras, contained as particular subcases of the NMB one, admit non-degenerate invariant tensor.

In presence of non-degenerate invariant bilinear form, the field equations reduce to the vanishing of the curvature two-forms. Here, the equations of motion of the NMB gravity theory derived from (4.15) read

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta\omega^a &: \tilde{\beta}_1 R^a(s^b) + \tilde{\beta}_2 R^a(l^b) = 0, \\
 \delta\omega &: \tilde{\beta}_1 R(y) + \tilde{\beta}_2 R(z) = 0, \\
 \delta e^a &: \tilde{\beta}_1 [R^a(b^b) + qR^a(l^b)] + \tilde{\beta}_2 pR^a(l^b) = 0, \\
 \delta\tau &: \tilde{\beta}_1 [R(z) + qR(y)] + \tilde{\beta}_2 pR(y) = 0, \\
 \delta s &: \tilde{\beta}_1 R(m) + \tilde{\beta}_2 R(s) = 0, \\
 \delta m &: \tilde{\beta}_1 [R(s) + qR(m)] + \tilde{\beta}_2 pR(m) = 0, \\
 \delta z &: \tilde{\beta}_1 R(\tau) + \tilde{\beta}_2 R(\omega) = 0, \\
 \delta b^a &: \tilde{\beta}_1 R^a(e^b) + \tilde{\beta}_2 R^a(\omega^b) = 0, \\
 \delta y &: \tilde{\beta}_1 [R(\omega) + qR(\tau)] + \tilde{\beta}_2 pR(\tau) = 0, \\
 \delta l^a &: \tilde{\beta}_1 [R^a(\omega^b) + qR^a(e^b)] + \tilde{\beta}_2 pR^a(e^b) = 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.18}$$

where we have used (4.17). The non-degeneracy of the invariant tensor implies that $\tilde{\beta}_1^2 - \tilde{\beta}_2\tilde{\beta}_3 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\beta}_1 \neq 0$ which ensures the vanishing of the NMB curvatures (4.13). One can note that, as in the NR MB case studied previously, only the spatial component of the torsion $\hat{R}^a(e^b) = -q\epsilon^{ac}\tau e_c$ remains turned on.

5 Conclusions

In this work, we presented the NR regime of the MB gravity model. To this end, we have applied an NR limit to the so-called MB algebra enlarged with two $u(1)$ generators. Such enlargement ensures the presence of central charges allowing to define a non-degenerate invariant bilinear trace. As in the relativistic MB gravity, the NR counterpart contains a source for both, constant torsion and constant curvature measured by the parameters q and p , respectively. We were able to make contact with different NR gravity models defined in three spacetime dimensions by considering specific values of the (p, q) parameters. Subsequently, we extended our results

to the Newtonian realm by considering the NR limit to an enhanced MB algebra enlarged with two $u(1)$ generators.

The results obtained here could bring valuable information about the role of torsion in the NR regime from the MB formalism. In particular, both NR and Newtonian versions of the MB gravity are characterized by containing sources for the diverse components of the curvature two-form F in terms of the (p, q) parameters. However, the time-component of the torsion remains equal to zero in the NR and Newtonian limit for any value of the (p, q) parameters. It would be then interesting to study if our model can be related to the torsional Newton-Cartan gravity theory [59–61] in which the non-zero torsion condition implies the presence of a non-vanishing time-like torsion. As it was noticed in [63], having a spatial-component of the torsion in the NR teleparallel gravity would imply, at the level of the boost behavior, introducing a non-zero time-like torsion as well. Let us note that a non-vanishing time-like torsion condition in an NR environment has first been encountered in Lifshitz holography context [37] and Quantum Hall effect [43].

One could go further in the study of the NR version of an MB gravity model. It would be interesting to include supersymmetry and higher-spin gauge fields in our NR model. Despite the numerous applications of both supergravity and higher-spin gravity in the relativistic context, NR supergravity and NR gravity coupled to higher spin has just been recently approached in [50, 59, 70, 74, 75] and [76–78], respectively. On the other hand, the supersymmetric extension of the MB gravity has been explored in [19, 20, 26]. Nevertheless, the NR limit of MB supergravity cannot naively be applied due to the appearance of degeneracy. One way to circumvent the difficulty encountered in the NR contraction process is to consider the Lie algebra expansion method [79–82]. As it was noticed in [72], the semigroup expansion method [81] offers us a straightforward mechanism to derive a non-degenerate NR algebra from a relativistic one for a particular semigroup S . Then, following the procedure employed in the presence of supersymmetry [73, 83–86], one could elucidate the corresponding supersymmetric extension of our results. One could expect that the extended Bargmann supergravity [50], the extended Newton-Hooke [73] and the recent NR teleparallel supergravity [63] appear for particular values of the (p, q) parameters. In the higher-spin case, one could start by exploring the NR limit of the spin-3 MB gravity theory studied in [24] and check if the spin-3 extended Bargmann gravity introduced in [76, 77] appears as a particular subcase.

Acknowledgements This work was funded by the National Agency for Research and Development ANID – SIA grant No. SA77210097 and FONDECYT grants No. 1211077, 1231133, 11220328 and 11220486. P.C. and E.R. would like to thank to the Dirección de Investigación and Vice-rectoría de Investigación of the Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Chile, for their constant support.

Data availability This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors’ comment: The results obtained and presented in the manuscript did not require any data.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. Funded by SCOAP³.

Appendix A: Degeneracy of the invariant tensor for a given Lie algebra

Let us consider a Lie algebra defined by the generators T_M and their commutators $[T_M, T_N] = C_{MN}^P T_P$, where C_{MN}^P are the corresponding structure constants. Then, the invariant tensor defined as a bilinear form $g_{MN} = \langle T_M T_N \rangle$ is degenerate if the matrix g_{MN} has a vanishing determinant. In particular, if there exists a generator that is operated with this bilinear form with all the rest of the generators and the result is zero, then the invariant tensor will be degenerate.

It should also be noted that the non-degeneration of the invariant tensor is essential to determine that the field equations correspond to the vanishing of the strength field. Indeed, the field equations of a Chern–Simons are given by:

$$\langle F \delta A \rangle = g_{MN} F^M \delta A^N = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

From this equation it is direct to see that the field equations are equivalent to $F^M = 0$, if and only if $\det(g_{MN}) \neq 0$. As it is shown in the example given in the next appendix, at the level of the action, the degeneracy of the invariant tensor prevents having a kinetic term for each gauge field.

Appendix B: Non-relativistic limit of the Mielke–Baekler CS gravity

A Galilean version of the MB algebra (2.1) can be obtained after performing a NR limit through the identification of the relativistic MB generators as

$$J_0 = J, \quad J_a = \xi G_a, \quad P_0 = H, \quad P_a = \xi P_a. \tag{5.2}$$

Then, after considering the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.1), the NR generators satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [J, G_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} G_b, \\ [J, P_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} P_b, \\ [H, G_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} P_b, \\ [H, P_a] &= \epsilon_{ab} (p G_b + q P_b). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

One can note that the Galilean algebra is recovered for $p = q = 0$. On the other hand, fixing $p = 1/\ell^2$ and $q = 0$ reproduce the Newton–Hooke algebra. Interestingly the torsional Galilean-AdS algebra [66] appears for $p = 0$ and $q = -2/\ell^2$.

The Galilean MB algebra (5.3) admits the following non-vanishing components of an invariant tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle JJ \rangle &= -\tilde{\sigma}_2, \\ \langle JH \rangle &= -\tilde{\sigma}_1, \\ \langle HH \rangle &= -(p\tilde{\sigma}_2 + q\tilde{\sigma}_1), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

which can be obtained after applying the contraction (5.2) to the relativistic invariant tensor (2.11) and considering the following redefinitions of the parameters

$$\sigma_1 = \tilde{\sigma}_1, \quad \sigma_2 = \tilde{\sigma}_2. \tag{5.5}$$

Unlike the NR MB algebra obtained in Sect. 3, the Galilean one does not admit a non-degenerate invariant tensor. Indeed, according to the observations indicated in Appendix 1, one can directly see that there are no invariant tensors in the sector involving generators G_a and P_a . In particular, the CS Lagrangian based on the Galilean MB algebra (5.3) reads

$$L_{CS} = -\tilde{\sigma}_1 \tau d\omega + \tilde{\sigma}_2 \omega d\omega + \tilde{\sigma}_3 \tau d\tau, \tag{5.6}$$

with

$$\tilde{\sigma}_3 = p\tilde{\sigma}_2 + q\tilde{\sigma}_1. \tag{5.7}$$

Here we have considered the non-vanishing components of the invariant tensor (5.4) and the one-form gauge connection $A = \tau H + e^a P_a + \omega J + \omega^a G_a$ in the general CS expression (2.12). One can notice that the term along $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ coincides with the Galilean CS Lagrangian defined on three spacetime dimensions [72, 87]. On the other hand, the exotic Galilean term and the torsional term appear along $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_3$, respectively. However, the degeneracy appearing in the invariant tensor of the Galilean MB algebra (5.3) prevents to define a proper CS Lagrangian involving a kinetic term for each gauge field. Moreover, no cosmological constant term appear in the Lagrangian. One can easily check that considering an additional $u(1)$ generator in the relativistic MB algebra does not solve the degeneracy problem. Thus, as it was shown in Sect. 3, the minimal setup allowing us to define a proper NR

regime of the MB gravity theory without degeneracy requires two $u(1)$ generators in the relativistic counterpart.³

References

1. M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, The black hole in three-dimensional space-time. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1849–1851 (1992). [arXiv:hep-th/9204099](#)
2. M. Banados, T. Brotz, M.E. Ortiz, Boundary dynamics and the statistical mechanics of the (2+1)-dimensional black hole. *Nucl. Phys. B* **545**, 340–370 (1999). [arXiv:hep-th/9802076](#)
3. S. Carlip, Conformal field theory, (2+1)-dimensional gravity, and the BTZ black hole. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **22**, R85–R124 (2005). [arXiv:gr-qc/0503022](#)
4. E. Witten, (2+1)-dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system. *Nucl. Phys. B* **311**, 46 (1988)
5. J.D. Brown, M. Henneaux, Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104**, 207–226 (1986)
6. C. Aragone, S. Deser, Hypersymmetry in $D = 3$ of coupled gravity massless spin 5/2 system. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **1**, L9 (1984)
7. A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger, S. Theisen, Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields. *JHEP* **11**, 007 (2010). [arXiv:1008.4744](#)
8. O. Fuentealba, J. Matulich, R. Troncoso, Extension of the Poincaré group with half-integer spin generators: hypergravity and beyond. *JHEP* **09**, 003 (2015). [arXiv:1505.06173](#)
9. E.W. Mielke, P. Baekler, Topological gauge model of gravity with torsion. *Phys. Lett. A* **156**, 399–403 (1991)
10. P. Baekler, E.W. Mielke, F.W. Hehl, Dynamical symmetries in topological 3-D gravity with torsion. *Nuovo Cim. B* **107**, 91–110 (1992)
11. J.M. Maldacena, The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38**, 1113–1133 (1999). [arXiv:hep-th/9711200](#)
12. M. Blagojevic, M. Vasilic, Asymptotic symmetries in 3-d gravity with torsion. *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 084032 (2003). [arXiv:gr-qc/0301051](#)
13. M. Blagojevic, M. Vasilic, 3-D gravity with torsion as a Chern–Simons gauge theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 104023 (2003). [arXiv:gr-qc/0307078](#)
14. M. Blagojevic, M. Vasilic, Asymptotic dynamics in 3-D gravity with torsion. *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 124007 (2003). [arXiv:gr-qc/0306070](#)
15. M. Blagojevic, B. Cvetkovic, Black hole entropy from the boundary conformal structure in 3D gravity with torsion. *JHEP* **10**, 005 (2006). [arXiv:gr-qc/0606086](#)
16. M. Blagojevic, B. Cvetkovic, Black hole entropy in 3-D gravity with torsion. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **23**, 4781 (2006). [arXiv:gr-qc/0601006](#)
17. M. Blagojevic, B. Cvetkovic, Covariant description of the black hole entropy in 3D gravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **24**, 129–140 (2007). [arXiv:gr-qc/0607026](#)
18. S.L. Cacciatori, M.M. Caldarelli, A. Giacomini, D. Klemm, D.S. Mansi, Chern–Simons formulation of three-dimensional gravity with torsion and nonmetricity. *J. Geom. Phys.* **56**, 2523–2543 (2006). [arXiv:hep-th/0507200](#)
19. A. Giacomini, R. Troncoso, S. Willison, Three-dimensional supergravity reloaded. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **24**, 2845–2860 (2007). [arXiv:hep-th/0610077](#)
20. B. Cvetkovic, M. Blagojevic, Supersymmetric 3D gravity with torsion: asymptotic symmetries. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **24**, 3933–3950 (2007). [arXiv:gr-qc/0702121](#)
21. D. Klemm, G. Tagliabue, The CFT dual of AdS gravity with torsion. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **25**, 035011 (2008). [arXiv:0705.3320](#)
22. R.C. Santamaria, J.D. Edelstein, A. Garbarz, G.E. Giribet, On the addition of torsion to chiral gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 124032 (2011). [arXiv:1102.4649](#)
23. M. Blagojevic, B. Cvetkovic, O. Miskovic, R. Olea, Holography in 3D AdS gravity with torsion. *JHEP* **05**, 103 (2013). [arXiv:1301.1237](#)
24. J. Peleteiro, C. Valcárcel, Spin-3 fields in Mielke–Baekler gravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **37**(18), 185010 (2020). [arXiv:2003.02627](#)
25. M. Geiller, C. Goeller, N. Merino, Most general theory of 3d gravity: covariant phase space, dual diffeomorphisms, and more. *JHEP* **02**, 120 (2021). [arXiv:2011.09873](#)
26. R. Caroca, P. Concha, D. Peñañiel, E. Rodríguez, Three-dimensional teleparallel Chern–Simons supergravity theory. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**(8), 762 (2021). [arXiv:2103.06717](#)
27. D. Son, Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: a geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry. *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 046003 (2008). [arXiv:0804.3972](#)
28. K. Balasubramanian, J. McGreevy, Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 061601 (2008). [arXiv:0804.4053](#)
29. S. Kachru, X. Liu, M. Mulligan, Gravity duals of Lifshitz-like fixed points. *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 106005 (2008). [arXiv:0808.1725](#)
30. M. Taylor, Non-relativistic holography. [arXiv:0812.0530](#)
31. C. Duval, M. Hassaine, P.A. Horvathy, The geometry of Schrodinger symmetry in gravity background/non-relativistic CFT. *Ann. Phys.* **324**, 1158–1167 (2009). [arXiv:0809.3128](#)
32. A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, Galilean conformal algebras and AdS/CFT. *JHEP* **07**, 037 (2009). [arXiv:0902.1385](#)
33. S.A. Hartnoll, Lectures on holographic methods for condensed matter physics. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **26**, 224002 (2009). [arXiv:0903.3246](#)
34. A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, I. Mandal, A. Miwa, GCA in 2d. *JHEP* **08**, 004 (2010). [arXiv:0912.1090](#)
35. C. Hoyos, D.T. Son, Hall viscosity and electromagnetic response. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 066805 (2012). [arXiv:1109.2651](#)
36. D.T. Son, Newton–Cartan geometry and the quantum hall effect. [arXiv:1306.0638](#)
37. M.H. Christensen, J. Hartong, N.A. Obers, B. Rollier, Torsional Newton–Cartan geometry and Lifshitz holography. *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 061901 (2014). [arXiv:1311.4794](#)
38. M.H. Christensen, J. Hartong, N.A. Obers, B. Rollier, Boundary stress-energy tensor and Newton–Cartan geometry in Lifshitz holography. *JHEP* **01**, 057 (2014). [arXiv:1311.6471](#)
39. A.G. Abanov, A. Gromov, Electromagnetic and gravitational responses of two-dimensional noninteracting electrons in a background magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**(1), 014435 (2014). [arXiv:1401.3703](#)
40. J. Hartong, E. Kiritsis, N.A. Obers, Lifshitz space-times for Schrödinger holography. *Phys. Lett. B* **746**, 318–324 (2015). [arXiv:1409.1519](#)
41. J. Hartong, E. Kiritsis, N.A. Obers, Schrödinger invariance from Lifshitz isometries in holography and field theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 066003 (2015). [arXiv:1409.1522](#)
42. J. Hartong, E. Kiritsis, N.A. Obers, Field theory on Newton–Cartan backgrounds and symmetries of the Lifshitz vacuum. *JHEP* **08**, 006 (2015). [arXiv:1502.00228](#)
43. M. Geracie, K. Prabhu, M. M. Roberts, Curved non-relativistic spacetimes, Newtonian gravitation and massive matter. *J. Math. Phys.* **56**(10), 103505 (2015). [arXiv:1503.02682](#)
44. A. Gromov, K. Jensen, A.G. Abanov, Boundary effective action for quantum Hall states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**(12), 126802 (2016). [arXiv:1506.07171](#)

³ The same discussion applied for the enhanced MB algebra (4.2) in which two $u(1)$ generators are required to establish the desired Newtonian limit.

45. J. Hartong, N.A. Obers, Hořava–Lifshitz gravity from dynamical Newton–Cartan geometry. *JHEP* **07**, 155 (2015). [arXiv:1504.07461](#)
46. M. Taylor, Lifshitz holography. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **33**(3), 033001 (2016). [arXiv:1512.03554](#)
47. J. Zaanen, Y.-W. Sun, Y. Liu, K. Schalm, *Holographic Duality in Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015)
48. D.O. Devecioglu, N. Ozdemir, M. Ozkan, U. Zorba, Scale invariance in Newton–Cartan and Hořava–Lifshitz gravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **35**(11), 115016 (2018). [arXiv:1801.08726](#)
49. G. Papageorgiou, B.J. Schroers, A Chern–Simons approach to Galilean quantum gravity in 2+1 dimensions. *JHEP* **11**, 009 (2009). [arXiv:0907.2880](#)
50. E.A. Bergshoeff, J. Rosseel, Three-dimensional extended Bargmann supergravity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**(25), 251601 (2016). [arXiv:1604.08042](#)
51. R. Aldrovandi, A. Barbosa, L. Crispino, J. Pereira, Non-relativistic spacetimes with cosmological constant. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **16**, 495–506 (1999). [arXiv:gr-qc/9801100](#)
52. G. Gibbons, C. Patricot, Newton–Hooke space-times, Hpp waves and the cosmological constant. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **20**, 5225 (2003). [arXiv:hep-th/0308200](#)
53. J. Bruges, J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, Newton–Hooke algebras, non-relativistic branes and generalized pp-wave metrics. *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 085011 (2006). [arXiv:hep-th/0603023](#)
54. P.D. Alvarez, J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, M.S. Plyushchay, (2+1)D exotic Newton–Hooke symmetry, duality and projective phase. *Ann. Phys.* **322**, 1556–1586 (2007). [arXiv:hep-th/0702014](#)
55. G. Papageorgiou, B.J. Schroers, Galilean quantum gravity with cosmological constant and the extended q -Heisenberg algebra. *JHEP* **11**, 020 (2010). [arXiv:1008.0279](#)
56. C. Duval, P. Horvathy, Conformal Galilei groups, Veronese curves, and Newton–Hooke spacetimes. *J. Phys. A* **44**, 335203 (2011). [arXiv:1104.1502](#)
57. J. Hartong, Y. Lei, N. A. Obers, Nonrelativistic Chern–Simons theories and three-dimensional Hořava–Lifshitz gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **94**(6), 065027 (2016). [arXiv:1604.08054](#)
58. C. Duval, G. Gibbons, P. Horvathy, Conformal and projective symmetries in Newtonian cosmology. *J. Geom. Phys.* **112**, 197–209 (2017). [arXiv:1605.00231](#)
59. E. Bergshoeff, J. Rosseel, T. Zojer, Newton–Cartan supergravity with torsion and Schrödinger supergravity. *JHEP* **11**, 180 (2015). [arXiv:1509.04527](#)
60. E. Bergshoeff, A. Chatzistavrakidis, L. Romano, J. Rosseel, Newton–Cartan gravity and torsion. *JHEP* **10**, 194 (2017). [arXiv:1708.05414](#)
61. D. Van den Bleeken, Torsional Newton–Cartan gravity from the large c expansion of general relativity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **34**(18), 185004 (2017). [arXiv:1703.03459](#)
62. E.A. Bergshoeff, J. Hartong, J. Rosseel, Torsional Newton–Cartan geometry and the Schrödinger algebra. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **32**(13), 135017 (2015). [arXiv:1409.5555](#)
63. P. Concha, L. Ravera, E. Rodríguez, Three-dimensional non-relativistic supergravity and torsion. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**(3), 220 (2022). [arXiv:2112.05902](#)
64. P. Concha, E. Rodríguez, G. Rubio, P. Yáñez, Three-dimensional Newtonian gravity with cosmological constant and torsion. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**(1), 47 (2023). [arXiv:2204.11763](#)
65. D. Hansen, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers, Action principle for Newtonian gravity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**(6), 061106 (2019). [arXiv:1807.04765](#)
66. J. Matulich, S. Prohazka, J. Salzer, Limits of three-dimensional gravity and metric kinematical Lie algebras in any dimension. *JHEP* **07**, 118 (2019). [arXiv:1903.09165](#)
67. E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, P. Salgado-Rebolledo, Non-relativistic limits and three-dimensional coadjoint Poincaré gravity. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **476**(2240), 20200106 (2020). [arXiv:2001.11790](#)
68. A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, J. Gomis, Nonrelativistic k -contractions of the coadjoint Poincaré algebra. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **35**(04), 2050009 (2020). [arXiv:1910.11682](#)
69. A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, J. Gomis, A particle model with extra dimensions from coadjoint Poincaré Symmetry. *JHEP* **08**, 092 (2020). [arXiv:2006.11725](#)
70. N. Ozdemir, M. Ozkan, O. Tunca, U. Zorba, Three-dimensional extended Newtonian (super)gravity. *JHEP* **05**, 130 (2019). [arXiv:1903.09377](#)
71. P. Concha, L. Ravera, E. Rodríguez, Three-dimensional exotic Newtonian gravity with cosmological constant. *Phys. Lett. B* **804**, 135392 (2020). [arXiv:1912.02836](#)
72. J. Gomis, A. Kleinschmidt, J. Palmkvist, P. Salgado-Rebolledo, Newton–Hooke/Carrollian expansions of (A)dS and Chern–Simons gravity. *JHEP* **02**, 009 (2020). [arXiv:1912.07564](#)
73. N. Ozdemir, M. Ozkan, U. Zorba, Three-dimensional extended Lifshitz, Schrödinger and Newton–Hooke supergravity. *JHEP* **11**, 052 (2019). [arXiv:1909.10745](#)
74. R. Andringa, E.A. Bergshoeff, J. Rosseel, E. Sezgin, 3D Newton–Cartan supergravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **30**, 205005 (2013). [arXiv:1305.6737](#)
75. P. Concha, M. Ipinza, L. Ravera, E. Rodríguez, Non-relativistic three-dimensional supergravity theories and semigroup expansion method. *JHEP* **02**, 094 (2021). [arXiv:2010.01216](#)
76. E. Bergshoeff, D. Grumiller, S. Prohazka, J. Rosseel, Three-dimensional spin-3 theories based on general kinematical algebras. *JHEP* **01**, 114 (2017). [arXiv:1612.02277](#)
77. P. Concha, C. Henríquez-Báez, E. Rodríguez, Non-relativistic and ultra-relativistic expansions of three-dimensional spin-3 gravity theories. *JHEP* **10**, 155 (2022). [arXiv:2208.01013](#)
78. R. Caroca, D. M. Peñafiel, P. Salgado-Rebolledo, Nonrelativistic spin-3 symmetries in 2+1 dimensions from expanded and extended Nappi–Witten algebras. *Phys. Rev. D* **107**(6), 064034 (2023). [arXiv:2208.00602](#)
79. M. Hatsuda, M. Sakaguchi, Wess–Zumino term for the AdS superstring and generalized Inonu–Wigner contraction. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **109**, 853–867 (2003). [arXiv:hep-th/0106114](#)
80. J.A. de Azcarraga, J.M. Izquierdo, M. Picon, O. Varela, Generating Lie and gauge free differential (super)algebras by expanding Maurer–Cartan forms and Chern–Simons supergravity. *Nucl. Phys. B* **662**, 185–219 (2003). [arXiv:hep-th/0212347](#)
81. F. Izaurieta, E. Rodríguez, P. Salgado, Expanding Lie (super)algebras through Abelian semigroups. *J. Math. Phys.* **47**, 123512 (2006). [arXiv:hep-th/0606215](#)
82. J. de Azcarraga, J. Izquierdo, M. Picon, O. Varela, Expansions of algebras and superalgebras and some applications. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **46**, 2738–2752 (2007). [arXiv:hep-th/0703017](#)
83. J.A. de Azcárraga, D. Gútiérrez, J.M. Izquierdo, Extended $D = 3$ Bargmann supergravity from a Lie algebra expansion. *Nucl. Phys. B* **946**, 114706 (2019). [arXiv:1904.12786](#)
84. P. Concha, L. Ravera, E. Rodríguez, Three-dimensional Maxwellian extended Bargmann supergravity. *JHEP* **04**, 051 (2020). [arXiv:1912.09477](#)
85. P. Concha, L. Ravera, E. Rodríguez, Three-dimensional non-relativistic extended supergravity with cosmological constant. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**(12), 1105 (2020). [arXiv:2008.08655](#)
86. P. Concha, L. Ravera, E. Rodríguez, Three-dimensional exotic Newtonian supergravity theory with cosmological constant. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**(7), 646 (2021). [arXiv:2104.12908](#)
87. E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, B. Rollier, J. Rosseel, T. ter Veldhuis, Carroll versus Galilei gravity. *JHEP* **03**, 165 (2017). [arXiv:1701.06156](#)