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Abstract: We continue our work on the light-front formulation of quarkonium $\gamma^{*} \gamma$ transition form factors, extending the formalism to $J^{P C}=2^{++}$tensor meson states. We present an analysis of $\gamma^{*} \gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c 2}$ transition amplitude and the pertinent helicity form factors. Our relativistic formalism is based on the light-front quark-antiquark wave function of the quarkonium. We calculate the two-photon decay width as well as three independent $\gamma^{*} \gamma$ transition form factors for $J_{z}=0,1,2$ as a function of photon virtuality $Q^{2}$. We compare our results for the two-photon decay width to the recently measured ones by the Belle and BES III collaborations. Even when including relativistic corrections, a very small $\Gamma(\lambda=0) / \Gamma(\lambda=2) \sim 10^{-3}$ ratio is found which is beyond present experimental precision. We also present the form factors as a function of photon virtuality and compare them to the sparse experimental data on the so-called off-shell width. The formalism presented here can be used for other $2^{++}$mesons, excited charmonia or bottomonia or even light $q \bar{q}$-mesons.
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## 1 Introduction

The production of $C$-even quarkonia in $\gamma^{*} \gamma$ fusion processes keeps providing us with important information on their structure [1-8]. While untagged $e^{+} e^{-}$cross sections give access to the decay width of quarkonia into $\gamma \gamma$ pair, in single tagged collisions, transition form factors involving one virtual and one real photon can be measured.

Here, we continue our work on the light-front formulation of $\gamma^{*} \gamma^{*} \rightarrow \chi$ transition form factors for a given meson state $\chi$. We have already presented the formalism for computing the $\gamma^{*} \gamma^{*}$ transition amplitudes to $0^{ \pm}, 1^{+}$charmonia using light-front $c \bar{c}$ wave functions (LFWFs) [9-12]. The majority of works in the literature concentrates on the transition form factors for spinless quarkonia, and for the $\chi_{c 2}$ discusses only the two-photon decay width, see for example results obtained from a Bethe Salpeter equation approach [13], relativistic quark models [14, 15], Dyson-Schwinger equations [16] or lattice QCD [17], an exception being the light front approach of [18].

We adopt two different approaches to the LFWFs. In the first one, they are obtained from the radial wave functions in a potential model, supplemented by a Melosh-transform of the relevant spin-orbit structure. The second is based on direct solutions of the bound-state problem formulated on the light-front (LF). Here, convenient tables of the wave function from the Basis Light Front Quantization (BLFQ) approach of refs. [18, 19] are available in the literature [20].

This work aims to extend the formalism to the $\gamma^{*} \gamma^{*} \rightarrow \chi_{c 2}$ transition amplitude based on the quarkonium LFWF. For this purpose, we focus on the form factors describing such a coupling for one real and one spacelike virtual photon as a function of the photon virtuality. Only very sparse data are available on this process at the moment, while in


Figure 1. An example diagram for one virtual photon transition, with $q_{1}=\left(q_{1}^{+}, q_{1}^{-}=-\frac{Q^{2}}{2 q_{1}^{+}}, \vec{q}_{\perp_{1}}=0\right)$, $q_{2}=\left(q_{2}^{+}=0, q_{2}^{-}=P^{-}-q_{1}^{-}, \vec{q}_{2 \perp}\right)$.
principle experiments such as Belle can provide such data in the future. Recently, the Belle collaboration has measured the radiative decay width [3], where they select two quasi-real photon collisions in no-tag mode.

The paper is organised as follows. First, we discuss how the current transition matrix elements for one virtual photon are related to the LFWF. In the next section, we derive the corresponding form factors. We present numerical results for the transition form factors, also in the non-relativistic approximation using $c \bar{c}$ wave function obtained by solving the Schrödinger equation. We will use the same set of potential models as in our earlier works for $\eta_{c}, \chi_{c 0}, \chi_{c 1}$. We then compare our results for the radiative decay width to available measurements.

## 2 Transition matrix elements for one real and one virtual photon

As in our recent work on the $1^{++}$states [12], we start with formulating the $\gamma^{*} \gamma \rightarrow 2^{++}$process in a Drell-Yan frame, in which one of the photons carries vanishing light-front plus momentum (for notation, see figure 1). The relevant four-momentum transfer satisfies $q_{2}^{2}=-\vec{q}_{2 \perp}^{2}$, and we approach the on-shell limit for this photon by letting its transverse momentum go to zero $\vec{q}_{2 \perp} \rightarrow 0$ for a meson in an external electromagnetic field. The process can therefore be viewed as a dissociation of an incoming virtual photon in an external electromagnetic field. We chose the polarization vector of the latter such that we project on the light-front plus component of the current. This choice of the frame and the current is the preferred one for the evaluation of electroweak transition currents of hadrons, as it is free from parton-number changing transitions, and instantaneous (in LF time) fermion exchanges [21]. The pertinent helicity amplitudes are then related to matrix elements of the LF-plus component of the current as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}\left(\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{\prime}\right) & \equiv\left\langle\chi_{c J}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right| J_{+}(0)\left|\gamma_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~L}}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =2 q_{1}^{+} \sqrt{N_{c}} e^{2} e_{f}^{2} \int \frac{d z d^{2} \vec{k}_{\perp}}{z(1-z) 16 \pi^{3}} \sum_{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}} \Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\lambda^{\prime} *}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)\left(\vec{q}_{2 \perp} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{k}_{\perp}}\right) \Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\gamma_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~L}}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}, Q^{2}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\sigma(\bar{\sigma})$ denotes the (anti)quark polarization, and in what follows we will represent the helicities $\pm \sigma / 2$ and $\pm \bar{\sigma} / 2$ by $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$. The fine structure constant is $\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}=e^{2} /(4 \pi), e_{f}$ is the electric charge of quark with flavour $f$ and with mass $m_{f}$. The derivative operator $\left(\vec{q}_{2} \perp \cdot \nabla_{\vec{k}_{\perp}}\right.$ ) is acting on the LFWF of the transverse $\Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\gamma_{T}}$ or longitudinal $\Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\gamma_{L}}$ photon, we do not explicitly display the photon polarization $\lambda$.

The explicit form of the photon LFWFs reads (see e.g. ref. [22])

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\gamma_{T}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}, Q^{2}\right)=\sqrt{z(1-z)} \frac{\delta_{\sigma,-\bar{\sigma}}\left(\vec{e}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)\left(2(1-z) \delta_{\bar{\sigma}, \lambda}-2 z \delta_{\sigma, \lambda}\right)+\delta_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}} \delta_{\sigma \lambda} \sqrt{2} m_{f}}{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}+m_{f}^{2}+z(1-z) Q^{2}},  \tag{2.2}\\
& \Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\gamma_{L}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}, Q^{2}\right)=(\sqrt{z(1-z)})^{3} \frac{2 Q \delta_{\sigma,-\bar{\sigma}}}{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}+m_{f}^{2}+z(1-z) Q^{2}}, \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{f}$ is (anti)quark mass, and $z=k^{+} / q^{+}$is the light front momentum fraction of photon carried by the quark and $(1-z)$ by the antiquark. Here, we defined $\varepsilon^{2}=m_{f}^{2}+$ $z(1-z) Q^{2}$. Inserting the photon LFWFs into eq. (2.1), we obtain for the transverse photon with helicity $\lambda=+1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\chi_{c J}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right| J_{+}(0)\left|\gamma_{T}^{*}\left(+1, Q^{2}\right)\right\rangle= \\
& -2 q_{1+} \sqrt{N_{c}} e^{2} e_{f}^{2} \int \frac{d z d^{2} \vec{k}_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 16 \pi^{3}}\left\{\Psi_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{\lambda^{\prime} *}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right) \frac{2 \sqrt{2} m_{f}\left(\vec{q}_{2 \perp} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)}{\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(2 z \Psi_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{\lambda^{\prime} *}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)-2(1-z) \Psi_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\lambda^{\prime} *}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)\right)\left(\frac{\vec{e}_{\perp}(+) \cdot \vec{q}_{2 \perp}}{\vec{k}_{\perp}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}-\frac{2\left(\vec{q}_{2 \perp} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)\left(\vec{e}_{\perp}(+) \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)}{\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and for the incoming longitudinal photon

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\chi_{c J}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right| J_{+}(0)\left|\gamma_{L}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right\rangle= & -2 q_{1+} \sqrt{N_{c}} e^{2} e_{f}^{2} 2 Q \int \frac{d z d^{2} \vec{k}_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 16 \pi^{3}} z(1-z) \frac{2 \vec{q}_{2 \perp} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}}{\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left(\Psi_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{\lambda^{\prime} *}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)+\Psi_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\prime^{\prime} *}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we wish to perform the azimuthal angle integration. To this end, we note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vec{e}_{\perp}(+) \cdot \vec{q}_{2 \perp}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(q_{2 x}+i q_{2 y}\right)=-\frac{q_{2 \perp}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i \varphi_{q}}, \vec{e}_{\perp}(+) \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}=-\frac{k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i \varphi} \\
\vec{q}_{2 \perp} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}=q_{2 \perp} k_{\perp} \cos \left(\varphi_{q}-\varphi\right)=q_{2 \perp} k_{\perp} \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \varphi_{q}} e^{-i \varphi}+e^{-i \varphi_{q}} e^{i \varphi}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

In addition to these angular dependencies, also the LFWF depends on the azimuthal angle $\varphi$ of $\vec{k}_{\perp}$. Indeed, our LFWFs are eigenfunctions of the LF-Spin operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{J}_{z}=\hat{S}_{z}+\hat{L}_{z} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which acts on the WFs as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{J}_{z} \Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)=\lambda^{\prime} \Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)=\left(\frac{\sigma+\bar{\sigma}}{2}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\right) \Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we can isolate the $\varphi$ dependence as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right) e^{i L_{z} \varphi}, \quad \text { with } \quad L_{z}=\lambda^{\prime}-S_{z} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result,

$$
\Psi_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{\lambda_{\uparrow}^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right) e^{i\left(\lambda^{\prime}-1\right) \varphi}, \Psi_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{\prime}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right) e^{i \lambda^{\prime} \varphi}, \Psi_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\lambda_{\uparrow}^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right) e^{i \lambda^{\prime} \varphi} .
$$

We can now straightforwardly perform the angular integration:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\chi_{c J}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right| J_{+}(0)\left|\gamma_{T}^{*}\left(+1, Q^{2}\right)\right\rangle= & -2 q_{1+} \sqrt{2 N_{c}} e^{2} e_{f}^{2}\left\{\left(q_{2 x}+i q_{2 y}\right) \delta_{\lambda^{\prime}, 0} \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\left[k_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\right. \\
& \times\left[m_{f} k_{\perp} \tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{\lambda_{\uparrow}^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)-\varepsilon^{2}\left(z \tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{\prime}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)-(1-z) \tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\prime}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\left(q_{2 x}-i q_{2 y}\right) \delta_{\lambda^{\prime}, 2} \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\left[k_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}} \\
& \left.\times\left[m_{f} k_{\perp} \tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{\lambda_{\uparrow}^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+k_{\perp}^{2}\left(z \tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow_{\downarrow}}^{\prime}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)-(1-z) \tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same manner, we obtain for the transitions of the longitudinal photon:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\chi_{c J}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right| J_{+}(0)\left|\gamma_{L}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right\rangle= & -2 q_{1+} \sqrt{N_{c}} e^{2} e_{f}^{2} 2 Q\left(\left(q_{2 x}+i q_{2 y}\right) \delta_{\lambda^{\prime},-1}+\left(q_{2 x}-i q_{2 y}\right) \delta_{\lambda^{\prime},+1}\right) \\
& \times \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}} \frac{z(1-z) k_{\perp}}{\left[k_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{\lambda_{\uparrow}^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\chi_{\uparrow}^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The procedure for obtaining the LFWFs for the spin-two state is described in appendix A.

## 3 Form factors for $\gamma \gamma^{*} \rightarrow 2^{++}$

Now, we wish to express our results for the transition amplitudes in the Drell-Yan frame through the invariant transition form factors commonly used in the literature. For definiteness, here we use the form factors introduced in ref. [23], while for different conventions, see e.g. refs. [24, 25].

We start from the parametrization of the covariant amplitude for the process $\gamma^{*}\left(q_{1}\right) \gamma\left(q_{2}\right) \rightarrow 2^{++}:^{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha_{e m}} \mathcal{M}_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}= & \delta_{\mu \nu}^{\perp}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)_{\alpha}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)_{\beta} F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\left(Q^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{\mu \alpha}^{\perp} \delta_{\nu \beta}^{\perp}+\delta_{\nu \alpha}^{\perp} \delta_{\mu \beta}^{\perp}-\delta_{\mu \nu}^{\perp} \delta_{\alpha \beta}^{\perp}\right) F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}\left(Q^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(q_{1 \mu}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{q_{1} \cdot q_{2}} q_{2 \mu}\right) \delta_{\nu \alpha}^{\perp}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)_{\beta} F_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(Q^{2}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mu \nu}^{\perp}=g_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{\left(q_{1} \cdot q_{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\left(q_{1} \cdot q_{2}\right)\left(q_{2 \mu} q_{1 \nu}+q_{1 \mu} q_{2 \nu}\right)-q_{1}^{2} q_{2 \mu} q_{2 \nu}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the four momenta of photons satisfy $q_{1}^{2}=-Q^{2}, Q^{2} \geq 0$, and $q_{2}^{2}=0$.
We now match the form factors defined above to the transition amplitudes calculated in the LF formalism by expressing them as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}\left(\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{\prime}\right)=e_{\mu}(\lambda) n_{\nu}^{-} \mathcal{M}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} E_{\alpha \beta}^{*}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Introducing the light-like vectors $n_{\mu}^{ \pm}$, which full fill conditions $n^{+} \cdot n^{+}=n^{-} \cdot n^{-}=0$ and $n^{+} \cdot n^{-}=1$, we write the photon momentum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1 \mu}=q_{1}^{+} n_{\mu}^{+}-\frac{Q^{2}}{2 q_{1}^{+}} n_{\mu}^{-} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we define the polarization of the incoming photon and outgoing meson in the LF notation, for the photon:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mu}(0)=\frac{1}{Q} q_{1, \mu}+\frac{Q}{q_{1}^{+}} n_{\mu}^{-}, \quad e_{\mu}(\lambda)=e_{\mu}^{\perp}(\lambda), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the tensor meson:

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\alpha \beta}( \pm 2) & =E^{\alpha}( \pm 1) E^{\beta}( \pm 1) \\
E^{\alpha \beta}( \pm 1) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(E^{\alpha}( \pm 1) E^{\beta}(0)+E^{\alpha}(0) E^{\beta}( \pm 1)\right) \\
E^{\alpha \beta}(0) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(E^{\alpha}(+1) E^{\beta}(-1)+E^{\alpha}(-1) E^{\beta}(+1)+2 E^{\alpha}(0) E^{\beta}(0)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\alpha}(0)=\frac{1}{M} P^{\alpha}-\frac{M}{P_{+}} n_{-}^{\alpha}, \quad E^{\alpha}(\lambda)=e_{\perp}^{\alpha}(\lambda)-\frac{e_{\perp}(\lambda) \cdot P}{P_{+}} n_{-}^{\alpha} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have denoted the four-momentum of the tensor meson as $P_{\mu}=q_{1 \mu}+q_{2 \mu}$, and notice, that $P_{+}=q_{1}^{+}$. Above $M$ denotes the mass of the tensor meson, and $P^{2}=M^{2}$.

Now, we can move to the transition amplitudes in the Drell-Yan frame (see figure 1).

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}(+1 \rightarrow 0) & =2 q_{1}^{+} e^{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\perp}(+1) \cdot \vec{q}_{2 \perp}\right) \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{M^{2}+Q^{2}}{M^{2}} F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\left(Q^{2}\right), \\
\mathcal{M}(+1 \rightarrow+2) & =-2 q_{1}^{+} e^{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\perp}^{*}(+1) \cdot \vec{q}_{2 \perp}\right) \frac{1}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}\left(Q^{2}\right), \\
\mathcal{M}(0 \rightarrow+1) & =2 q_{1}^{+} e^{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\perp}^{*}(+1) \cdot \vec{q}_{2 \perp}\right) \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2} M} F_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(Q^{2}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining these expressions with our results for the matrix elements, we obtain the three independent transition form factors:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\left(Q^{2}\right)= & \sqrt{6 N_{c}} e_{f}^{2} \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\left[k_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\left[m_{f} k_{\perp} \tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right. \\
- & \left.\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left((2 z-1)\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)+\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)-\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)\right)\right] \\
= & \sqrt{6 N_{c}} e_{f}^{2} \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\left[k_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\left[m_{f} k_{\perp} \tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}(2 z-1)\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)\right]  \tag{3.9}\\
F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}\left(Q^{2}\right)= & -2 \sqrt{N_{c}} e_{f}^{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\left[k_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\left[m_{f} k_{\perp} \tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \uparrow}^{+2}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{2}\left((2 z-1)\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{+2}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{+2}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)+\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{+2}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)-\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{+2}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)\right)\right],  \tag{3.10}\\
F_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)= & 4 \sqrt{N_{c}} e_{f}^{2} M \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}} \frac{z(1-z) k_{\perp}}{\left[k_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{+1}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{+1}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From this representation of the transition form factors we can distinguish the ingredients related to spin-singlet $\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)-\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)$, as well as spin-triplet $\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{\lambda_{\uparrow}^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)$. The former one, which is a relativistic correction, vanishes for the longitudinal tensor meson for symmetry reasons. Using the formulas given in the table in appendix A1 of ref. [23], these form factors can be also related to helicity amplitudes in the $\gamma^{*} \gamma$ c.m. frame.

Some comments on the large- $Q^{2}$ asymptotics of the transition form factors are in order. At very large $Q^{2}$, one expects an approach based on meson distribution amplitudes to be applicable. As will be shown in section 6 , our form factors enter the $\gamma^{*} \gamma$-cross section at large $Q^{2}$ with prefactors $Q^{4} F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}, F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}, Q^{3} F_{\mathrm{LT}}$. Now we immediately see that out of these for large $Q^{2}$ only $Q^{4} F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0} \rightarrow$ const, while $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2} \sim 1 / Q^{2}$ and $Q^{3} F_{\mathrm{LT}} \sim 1 / Q$. This is in full agreement with the analysis in refs. [26, 27], where it was concluded that only the transition form factor for the longitudinal tensor meson is of leading twist. Then, for asymptotically large $Q^{2}$, neglecting quark masses, we obtain, again in full agreement with refs. [26, 27]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{4} F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\left(Q^{2}\right)=e_{f}^{2} f_{\chi_{c 2}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z}{z(1-z)}(1-2 z) \varphi_{2}\left(z, Q^{2}\right)=e_{f}^{2} f_{\chi c 2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z}{z} \varphi_{2}\left(z, Q^{2}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The light-front wave function representation of the leading twist distribution amplitude (DA) $\varphi_{2}\left(z, \mu^{2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\chi_{c 2}} \varphi_{2}\left(z, \mu^{2}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{6 N_{c}}}{8 \pi^{2} \sqrt{z(1-z)}} \int_{0}^{\mu} d k_{\perp} k_{\perp}\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+\tilde{\psi}_{\downarrow \uparrow}^{0}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\chi_{c 2}}$ is the so-called meson decay constant. The DA is normalized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} d z(2 z-1) \varphi_{2}\left(z, \mu^{2}\right)=1, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have already used above, that it is odd under exchange of $z \leftrightarrow 1-z$. We refrain from a further analysis of this asymptotics, as our previous analysis of $\eta_{c}$ [9] showed, that it is of rather little practical relevance for heavy quarks. Instead, we will show our results for form factors in the full form as given in eqs. (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11). In figure 2 we present transition form factors $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\left(Q^{2}\right), F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}\left(Q^{2}\right), F_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ for one real and one virtual photon as a function of the photon virtuality. In the numerical calculation, we use light-front wave functions obtained for different $c \bar{c}$ potentials from the literature as in ref. [12] or [28]. There is a relatively large spread of the results, similar to what was observed for $\gamma^{*} \gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c 1}$ [12]. We also show results for BLFQ wave functions from ref. [20] obtained with running QCD coupling. For the BLFQ case, we also show an uncertainty band reflecting the basis size dependence. We calculate it as recommended by the authors in [29]. We take the central value from the results for the basis size $N_{\max }=8$. The difference to the $N_{\max }=16$ result is taken to obtain the uncertainty band.

### 3.1 NRQCD limit

It is instructive to derive the transition form factors in the limit of nonrelativistic (NR) motion of quarks in the bound state. To reach the NR limit, we should expand the integrand around the $z=1 / 2$ and $\vec{k}_{\perp}=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{1}{2}-\xi, \quad 1-z=\frac{1}{2}+\xi, \quad \xi \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Three transition form factors within the LFWF approach: on the l.h.s. - $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\left(Q^{2}\right)$, on the r.h.s. - $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}\left(Q^{2}\right)$, in the middle - $F_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)$. Here the line denoted as BLFQ is a result obtained with the light front wave function from the database [20], the uncertainty band is calculated as recommended in ref. [29].
thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(1-z)=\frac{1}{4}-\xi^{2}, \quad\left(\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}+m_{f}^{2}+z(1-z) Q^{2}\right)^{2} \rightarrow\left(m_{f}^{2}+Q^{2} / 4\right)^{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Melosh transform formalism described in appendix A, the LFWF can be related to the NR radial WF, $u_{1}(k)$. After the NR expansion, all FFs will be proportional to the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d k k^{2} u_{1}(k) \propto R^{\prime}(0) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{\prime}(0)$ is the derivative of the (spatial) radial WF at the origin, which we obtain as in ref. [10]. As a result, the transition form factors take the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\left(Q^{2}\right)=e_{f}^{2}(-4) \sqrt{\frac{3 N_{c} M}{\pi}} \frac{Q^{2}}{\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{3}} R^{\prime}(0)  \tag{3.18}\\
& F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}\left(Q^{2}\right)=e_{f}^{2} 8 \sqrt{\frac{3 N_{c} M}{\pi}} \frac{1}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} R^{\prime}(0) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3. The three transition form factors in the non-relativistic limit (with $M=2 m_{f}$ ): on the l.h.s. $-F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\left(Q^{2}\right)$, on the r.h.s. $-F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}\left(Q^{2}\right)$, in the middle $-F_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)=e_{f}^{2}(-8) \sqrt{\frac{3 N_{c} M}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}} R^{\prime}(0) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Above, $M$ stands for the mass of $\chi_{c 2}(1 \mathrm{P})$, and $N_{c}$ is the number of colors. In the NR limit, the mass of the meson should be understood as $M=2 m_{f}$. These results fully agree with those obtained previously in [24, 25].

In figure 3, we present similar results for the non-relativistic approach with $M=2 m_{f}$, see eqs. (3.18)-(3.20). We hope that in the near future, such form factors will be extracted by the Belle collaboration. So far, only $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ as defined by the Belle collaboration was measured.

## $4 \quad 2^{++} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay width

The radiative decay width is described by two contributions from $J_{z}=2\left(F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}\right)$, and $J_{z}=0\left(F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}\right):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 2}\right)=\left(4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{\left|F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}(0)\right|^{2} \cdot M_{\chi_{c 2}}^{3}}{120 \pi}+\frac{\left|F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}(0)\right|^{2}}{80 \pi M_{\chi_{c 2}}}\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | LFWF |  |  | NRQCD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}$ | $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}$ | $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}$ | $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}$ |
| potential type | $m_{c}$ | $\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}\right]$ | $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ |
|  | $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1.84 | $3.43 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | -0.13 | -0.29 |
| Cornell | $5.84 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | -0.18 | -0.23 | -0.30 |  |
| logarithmic | 1.5 | $5.90_{f}$ |  |  |  |
| Buchmüller-Tye | 1.48 | $5.91 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | -0.19 | -0.23 | -0.31 |
| power-like | 1.334 | $7.20 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | -0.22 | -0.21 | -0.31 |
| harmonic osc. | 1.4 | $5.28 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | -0.19 | -0.16 | -0.22 |
| BLFQ [20] | 1.57 | $(2.27 \pm 0.06) \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $-(0.21 \pm 0.01)$ |  |  |

Table 1. Transition form factors for $\chi_{c 2}(1 \mathrm{P})$ at the on-shell point with corresponding $c$-quark mass.

|  |  | LFWF |  |  | NRQCD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $M=M_{\chi_{c 2}}$ | $M=2 m_{f}$ |  |
|  | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda=0)$ | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda= \pm 2)$ | $\frac{\Gamma(\lambda=0)}{\Gamma(\lambda= \pm 2)}$ | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}$ | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda= \pm 2)$ | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda= \pm 2)$ |
|  | $[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $[\mathrm{keV}]$ |  | $[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $[\mathrm{keV}]$ |
| Cornell | $1.18 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.15 | $0.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.15 | 0.79 | 0.69 |
| logarithmic | $3.37 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.32 | $0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.98 |
| Buchmüller-Tye | $3.36 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.34 | $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.34 | 0.51 | 1.052 |
| power like | $5.18 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.47 | $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.47 | 0.40 | 1.25 |
| harmonic osc. | $2.80 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.33 | $0.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.60 |
| BLFQ | $(5.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.39 \pm 0.01$ | $(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.39 \pm 0.01$ |  |  |

Table 2. Helicity decomposition of the two-photon decay width of $\chi_{c 2}(1 \mathrm{P})$.

Therefore, we can neglect the $J_{z}= \pm 1$ contribution related to $F_{\mathrm{LT}}$ in no-tag mode. Nevertheless, one would expect the cross-section $\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}}\left(J_{z}=0\right)$ to be considerably smaller than $\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}}\left(J_{z}= \pm 2\right)$. In further calculation we take $M_{\chi_{c 2}}=3.556 \mathrm{GeV}[30]$.

The form factor at the on-shell point $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}(0)$ in the non-relativistic limit leads to the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}(0)=8 e_{f}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{3 N_{c}}{\pi M^{3}}} R^{\prime}(0) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, as can be seen from eq. (3.18), in the NR limit we have $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}(0)=0$, so that we need to consider only the contribution from $J_{z}= \pm 2$ for the radiative decay width:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda= \pm 2)=\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^{2} e_{f}^{4} \frac{3^{2} 2^{6}}{5 M^{4}}\left|R^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}=\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^{2} e_{f}^{4} \frac{36}{5 m_{f}^{4}}\left|R^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In table 1 we show the values of transition form factors $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}$ and $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}$ for $Q^{2}=0$. In the fully relativistic calculation, we find that at $Q^{2}=0$ the $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}$ does not vanish, but gives a negligibly small contribution. The corresponding widths $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda=0)$ and $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda= \pm 2)$ in keV are shown in table 2 . Indeed, the decay width for $\lambda=0$ is three orders of magnitude smaller than that for $\lambda= \pm 2$. We also show the ratios of the different helicity contributions to the width. For the NR limit, where the $\lambda=0$ contribution vanishes, we show the result for $\lambda= \pm 2$ for two different approximations.

The BES III Collaboration measured the ratio between two-photon partial widths, for the $\chi_{c 2}$ helicity $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda= \pm 2$ [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda=0)}{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}(\lambda= \pm 2)}=(0.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a straightforward confirmation that the helicity-zero component is strongly suppressed. We predict the ratio of the order of $10^{-3}$. The BES III precision is not sufficient to measure the small ratios predicted in this work.

## 5 Form factor for $\gamma \gamma^{*} \rightarrow 0^{++}$

We now want to compare the two-photon decay width for $0^{++}$and $2^{++}$states. To make the comparison more transparent we reformulate the results of [10] using the same setup in the Drell-Yan frame as in section 2. Now we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\chi_{c 0}\right| J_{+}(0)\left|\gamma_{T}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right\rangle= & 2 q_{1}^{+} \sqrt{N_{c}} e^{2} e_{f}^{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\perp}(\lambda) \cdot \vec{q}_{2 \perp}\right) 2 \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}} \\
& \times\left\{\frac{m_{f} k_{\perp} \tilde{\psi}_{++}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)}{\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\left(-z \tilde{\psi}_{+-}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+(1-z) \tilde{\psi}_{-+}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)\right\} . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The helicity amplitude with the transverse photon polarization $e_{\mu}^{T}=\left(0,0, \vec{e}_{\perp}(\lambda)\right)$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} n_{\nu}^{-} \mathcal{M}^{\mu \nu}=e_{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} n_{\nu}^{-}\left(g_{\mu \nu}-\frac{q_{1 \nu} q_{2 \mu}}{q_{1} \cdot q_{2}}\right) 4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}} F_{\mathrm{TT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)=2 q_{1}^{+} \frac{\vec{e}_{\perp}(\lambda) \cdot \vec{q}_{2 \perp}}{M^{2}+Q^{2}} 4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}} F_{\mathrm{TT}}\left(Q^{2}\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F_{\mathrm{TT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ is a function invariant under Lorentz transformation.

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\mathrm{TT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)= & e_{f}^{2} \sqrt{N_{c}} 2\left(M_{\chi c 0}^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \int \frac{d z k_{\perp} d k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)} 8 \pi^{2}}\left\{\frac{m_{f} k_{\perp} \tilde{\psi}_{++}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)}{\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{m_{f}^{2}+z(1-z) Q^{2}}{2\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right]^{2}}\left((2 z-1)\left(\tilde{\psi}_{+-}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)+\tilde{\psi}_{-+}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)+\left(\tilde{\psi}_{+-}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)-\tilde{\psi}_{-+}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right)\right)\right\} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For further use of LFWF calculated via the potential model and Melosh spin rotation transformation [10], we can find the relation between the so-called "radial" part of the light-front wave function $\psi\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)$ as defined in [10] and $\tilde{\psi}_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{*}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\psi}_{++}^{*}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right) \equiv \frac{k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \psi\left(z, k_{\perp}\right), \quad \tilde{\psi}_{+-}^{*}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{-+}^{*}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right) \equiv \frac{m_{f}(1-2 z)}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \psi\left(z, k_{\perp}\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, radiative decay width can be found from the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 0}\right)=\frac{\pi \alpha_{e m}^{2}}{M_{\chi_{c 0}}}\left|F_{\mathrm{TT}}(0)\right|^{2} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we take $M_{\chi_{c 0}}=3.41 \mathrm{GeV}$ for the meson mass [30]. We recall some well-known relations from the early years of quarkonium physics (see the review [31] and references therein). Namely in the NR limit we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 0}\right)=\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^{2} e_{f}^{4} \frac{2^{4} \cdot 9 \cdot N_{c}}{M^{4}}\left|R^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 0}\right)[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 2}\right)[\mathrm{keV}]$ | $\mathcal{R}=\frac{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 2}\right)}{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 0}\right)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornell | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.34 |
| logarithmic | 0.91 | 0.32 | 0.35 |
| Buchmüller-Tye | 0.96 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
| power-like | 1.32 | 0.46 | 0.35 |
| harmonic oscillator | 0.98 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
| BLFQ | $1.70 \pm 0.33$ | $0.39 \pm 0.02$ | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ |
| PDG [30] | $2.20 \pm 0.15$ | $0.56 \pm 0.03$ | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ |
| BES III [6] | $2.03 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.13$ | $0.60 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.04$ | $0.295 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.027$ |
| Belle [3] |  | $0.653 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| CLEO [7] | $2.36 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.22$ | $0.66 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.06$ | $0.278 \pm 0.050 \pm 0.036$ |

Table 3. Radiative decay widths obtained in the LFWF approach and the ratio $\mathcal{R}=$ $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 2}\right) / \Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 0}\right)$.
and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left({ }^{3} P_{2}\right)}{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left({ }^{3} P_{0}\right)}=\frac{4}{15} \simeq 0.27 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In table 3, we present results for $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 0}\right), \Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 2}\right)$ and for their ratio (last column) for different $c \bar{c}$ potentials. In contrast to individual widths we get rather stable ratio $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 2}\right) / \Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}\left(\chi_{c 0}\right) \sim 0.34-0.35$. For comparison using BLFQ wave functions from the database [20]. In this case, the ratio is significantly smaller. For completeness, we also collected experimental results from the BESIII, Belle, and CLEO collaborations. We wish to note here that the power-like potential gave the best description of the width as well as the $Q^{2}$-dependence of the form factor for $\eta_{c}$ [9].

## $6 \quad \gamma^{*} \gamma$ cross-section and off-shell width

Now, we wish to compare the $Q^{2}$-dependence of our form factors to the sparse data available from single-tag experiments.

The definition of off-shell widths that we were using comes from writing the $\gamma^{*} \gamma$ crosssection for photons as $(i, j \in T, L)$ [32]

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{i j} & =\frac{32 \pi}{N_{i} N_{j}}(2 J+1) \frac{W^{2}}{2 \sqrt{X}} \frac{\Gamma \Gamma_{i j}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{\left(W^{2}-M^{2}\right)^{2}+M^{2} \Gamma^{2}} \\
& =\frac{32 \pi}{N_{i} N_{j}}(2 J+1) \frac{W^{2}}{2 M \sqrt{X}} \mathrm{BW}\left(W^{2}, M^{2}\right) \Gamma_{i j}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right) . \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

For the case of one off-shell photon, we have that the kinematical factor $\sqrt{X}=\frac{1}{2}\left(M^{2}+Q^{2}\right)$. Further, $N_{T}=2, N_{L}=1$, and $J$ is the spin of the resonance of mass $M$ and total decay width $\Gamma$. By $\mathrm{BW}\left(\mathrm{W}^{2}, \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ we denote the Breit-Wigner distribution, which in the narrow width limit becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BW}\left(W^{2}, M^{2}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2 M} \delta(W-M) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4. Off-shell decay width $\Gamma^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ for $\chi_{c 0}$ (on the l.h.s.) and $\chi_{c 2}$ (on the r.h.s.) compared to the Belle data [2]. For the $\chi_{c 2}$ we took $\epsilon_{0}=1$ in eq. (6.10). In the NRQCD approach, we took $M=M_{\chi_{c}}$.

Now, the TT and LT cross sections are obtained from the c.m.-frame helicity amplitudes as [33]

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}} & =\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{X}}\left(\mathcal{M}^{*}(++) \mathcal{M}(++)+\mathcal{M}^{*}(+-) \mathcal{M}(+-)\right) \mathrm{BW}\left(W^{2}, M^{2}\right), \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{LT}} & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{X}} \mathcal{M}^{*}(0+) \mathcal{M}(0+) \mathrm{BW}\left(W^{2}, M^{2}\right) . \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the formulas in ref. [23], we relate our FFs to the helicity amplitudes, and obtain for the TT case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}}=\frac{\left(4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}}\right)^{2}}{4 \sqrt{X}}\left\{F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{4} M^{4} F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{BW}\left(W^{2}, M^{2}\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for LT:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{LT}}=\frac{Q^{2} \sqrt{X}}{W^{2}}\left(4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}}\right)^{2} F_{\mathrm{LT}}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right) B W\left(W^{2}, M^{2}\right) . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing to eq. (6.1), with $N_{T}=2, J=2, W=M$, we derive the off-shell widths

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{TT}}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\left(4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}}\right)^{2}\left\{\frac{F_{\mathrm{TT} 2}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{80 \pi M}+\frac{M^{3} F_{\mathrm{TTT} 0}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{120 \pi}\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{4}\right\} . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $Q^{2}=0$ this agrees with the formula for the two-photon decay width; see eq. (4.1). For the LT case, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{LT}}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\left(4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{160 \pi}\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{2} M Q^{2} F_{\mathrm{LT}}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now turn to the $Q^{2}$-dependence of the single-tag cross-section, which we write as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d Q^{2}}=2 \int d W \frac{d L}{d W d Q^{2}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}}\left(W^{2}, Q^{2}\right)+\epsilon_{0} \sigma_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(W^{2}, Q^{2}\right)\right) . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor two appears because each of the lepton can emit the off-shell photon. In the narrow-width approximation, we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d Q^{2}}=\left.4 \pi^{2} \frac{(2 J+1)}{M^{2}}\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{-1} \frac{2 d L}{d W d Q^{2}}\right|_{W=M} \Gamma_{\gamma^{*} \gamma}\left(Q^{2}\right), \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the effective off-shell width defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\gamma^{*} \gamma}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\Gamma_{\mathrm{TT}}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)+\epsilon_{0} 2 \Gamma_{\mathrm{LT}}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right) . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Off-shell widths are convention-dependent, and to compare to the experimental data from ref. [2], we note that the Belle collaboration writes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d Q^{2}}=\left.4 \pi^{2} \frac{(2 J+1)}{M^{2}}\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right) \frac{2 d L}{d W d Q^{2}}\right|_{W=M} \Gamma_{\gamma^{*} \gamma}^{\text {Bele }}\left(Q^{2}\right), \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\gamma^{*} \gamma}^{\mathrm{Belle}}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{-2} \Gamma_{\gamma^{*} \gamma}\left(Q^{2}\right) \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the cross-section for $\chi_{c 2}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d Q^{2}}=\left.4 \pi^{2} \frac{(2 J+1)}{M^{2}}\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{-1} \frac{2 d L}{d W d Q^{2}}\right|_{W=M}\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{TT}}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)+\epsilon_{0} 2 \Gamma_{\mathrm{LT}}^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $\chi_{c 0}$ we have only one form factor, which has transverse contribution $F_{\text {TT }}$. According to ref. [23] the cross-section for scalar meson has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}}=\frac{\left(4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}}\right)^{2}}{4 \sqrt{X}} F_{\mathrm{TT}}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right) . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the off-shell width for $\chi_{c 0}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{\left(4 \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{em}}\right)^{2}}{16 \pi M} F_{\mathrm{TT}}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right) . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In figure 4 we present the off-shell decay width normalized to its value on-shell for $\chi_{c 0}$ (1.h.s.) and $\chi_{c 2}$ (r.h.s.). We show explicitly the factor $\left(1+\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{-2}$ on the y -axis caption due to the difference between our definition and the one used by the Belle collaboration. The experimental data are taken from figure 13 of ref. [2].

The existing data are not sufficient to judge which potential model works better. Future Belle data could provide valuable information on this issue.

In figure 5 we show our results for the off-shell widths $\Gamma_{\mathrm{TT}}^{*}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{LT}}^{*}$ in order to better highlight the differences in normalization for the different models. In figure 6 we show the total off-shell width, for $\epsilon_{0}=1$ as well as the contributions from the form factors $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}, F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}$ and $F_{\mathrm{LT}}$. Here we see, that as expected from the analysis of the asymptotics in section 3 , the leading twist form factor $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}$ dominates for very large $Q^{2}$. However in the phenomenologically important range of $Q^{2}<20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, the formally higher twist form factor $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}$ gives the largest contribution.


Figure 5. The off-shell decay width dependence on photon virtuality $Q^{2}$ for the transverse component (l.h.s.), see eq. (6.6), and longitudinal-transverse (r.h.s.), see eq. (6.7).


Figure 6. $\chi_{c 2}(1 \mathrm{P})$ off-shell width, see eq. (6.10) for two different approaches: BLFQ and LFWF obtained from $Q \bar{Q}$ Buchmüller-Tye potential model and Melosh spin-rotation transform. In case of the BLFQ model we took $N_{\max }=8$ and the difference between $N_{\max }=8$, and $N_{\max }=16$ to estimate the sensitivity band.

## 7 Conclusions

In the present paper, we have extended our light-front formulation to a formalism of photon transition form factors to the case of $\gamma \gamma^{*} \rightarrow 2^{++}$couplings (helicity form factors) in terms of the light-front quark-antiquark wave functions of the meson. We have presented detailed formulae for $F_{\mathrm{TT}, 0}, F_{\mathrm{TT}, 2}$ as well as $F_{\mathrm{LT}}$ form factors expressed in terms of the light-front wave functions. To obtain light-front wave functions, we use methods discussed previously in ref. [10] for five different $c \bar{c}$ potential models, see also appendix A. In addition, we have used the light-front wave functions from the Basis Light Front Quantization approach of [18, 20].

The two-photon decay width is smaller than the value measured by the Belle collaboration. This can be caused by too approximate $c \bar{c}$ wave functions and/or higher Fock components in the $\chi_{c 2}$ wave function and requires further studies which go beyond the scope of the present
letter. The role of higher Fock states was discussed e.g. in ref. [34] for quarkonium decay into pairs of light mesons. Recently the issue of higher Fock components was also discussed in [35] in order to obtain a renormalized $c \bar{c}$ Hamiltonian.

We find the $\Gamma(\lambda=0) / \Gamma(\lambda= \pm 2)$ ratio of the order of $10^{-3}$, which is in agreement with the current experimental precision.

We also have shown helicity form factor results for one real and one virtual photon as a function of the photon virtuality. We have obtained a large spread of the results for different potentials. The form factor results are ready to be verified e.g. by the Belle collaboration in single-tag $e^{+} e^{-}$collisions. Furthermore, we have defined and calculated the so-called $Q^{2}$-dependent off-shell diphoton width and compared it to the Belle data. It is rather difficult to conclude on the consistency of the model with the rather low statistics of the available Belle data. Future Belle II [36] or STCF [37] high-statistics data on $\gamma^{*} \gamma \rightarrow \chi_{c 2}$ would be very useful to test the wave function and the formalism discussed in our studies.

Note added. After our work had been submitted to arXiv, the preprint [38] appeared which also discusses the two-photon couplings of $\chi_{c 2}$. This work uses a different form of relativization of the $c \bar{c}$ wave function, based on a covariant $\chi_{c 2} c \bar{c}$ vertex. This method differs from the one adopted here and leads to the tensor meson being a mixture of $p$ and $f$-waves, whereas we have presented the results for the pure $p$-wave state.
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## A LFWFs in Melosh transform approach

We first need the Melosh transform of the operator $\mathcal{O}=\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{e}$, which is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}^{\prime}=R^{\dagger}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right) \mathcal{O} R\left(1-z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see e.g. [10] for an explicit definition of $R$. Using the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{b})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a})=2(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a})-\vec{a}^{2}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{b}), \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain, using our master formula [10] that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}^{\prime}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{M_{0}\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right)}\left\{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{e}\left(2 z(1-z) M_{0}^{2}+M_{0} m_{f}\right)\right. \\
& -2 \vec{e} \cdot(\vec{n} \times \vec{k}) \vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{n} \times \vec{k})+i\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right) \vec{e} \cdot(\vec{n} \times \vec{k}) \mathbb{1} \\
& \left.-(2 z-1) M_{0}(\vec{e} \cdot \vec{k} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}-\vec{e} \cdot \vec{n} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k})\right\} . \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice, that in this section, $M_{0}$ denotes the invariant mass of the $Q \bar{Q}$ pair, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}^{2}=\frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}+m_{f}^{2}}{z(1-z)} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, it is be useful to simplify

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 z(1-z) M_{0}^{2}+M_{0} m_{f}=\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{0}\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right)-(1-2 z)^{2} M_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polarization vector can now be either longitudinal $\vec{e}=\vec{n}$, or transverse, $\vec{e}=\vec{e}_{\perp}$. Some simplifications occur in either case. Let us start with the longitudinal case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}}\left\{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right)+(2 z-1) \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right\} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we need the vertex

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(0)} & =\mathcal{O}_{0}^{\prime} i \sigma_{2}  \tag{A.7}\\
\Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{0} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right)+\frac{(2 z-1) k_{\perp}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-e^{-i \phi} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \phi}
\end{array}\right)\right\} \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$

For the transverse polarization, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}_{\perp}^{\prime}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{M_{0}\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right)}\left\{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{e}_{\perp}\left(2 z(1-z) M_{0}^{2}+M_{0} m_{f}\right)\right. \\
& -2\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}, \vec{e}_{\perp}\right]\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}, \vec{\sigma}_{\perp}\right]+i\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right)\left[\vec{k}_{\perp}, \vec{e}_{\perp}\right] \mathbb{1}  \tag{A.9}\\
& \left.-(2 z-1) M_{0} \vec{e}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have used that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a} \cdot(\vec{n} \times \vec{b})=\vec{n} \cdot(\vec{b} \times \vec{a})=\left[\vec{b}_{\perp}, \vec{a}_{\perp}\right]=b_{x} a_{y}-b_{y} a_{x} \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{e}_{\perp}(\lambda)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\lambda \vec{e}_{x}+i \vec{e}_{y}\right) \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}_{\perp}^{\prime}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{M_{0}\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right)}\left\{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{e}_{\perp} m_{f}\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right)-\sqrt{2} \lambda k_{\perp} e^{i \lambda \phi} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k_{\perp} e^{i \lambda \phi} \mathbb{1}+(2 z-1) M_{0} \lambda \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k_{\perp} e^{i \lambda \phi} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{M_{0}}\left\{m_{f} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{e}_{\perp}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k_{\perp} e^{i \lambda \phi} \mathbb{1}-\frac{\sqrt{2} k_{\perp} \lambda}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}} e^{i \lambda \phi} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}_{\perp}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{(2 z-1) M_{0} k_{\perp}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i \lambda \phi} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}\right\} . \tag{A.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Our vertex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(\lambda)}=\mathcal{O}_{\perp}^{\prime} i \sigma_{2} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(\lambda)}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(1-z)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{M_{0}}\left\{m_{f}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\lambda & 0 \\
0 & 1-\lambda
\end{array}\right)+k_{\perp}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{i \lambda \phi} \\
-e^{i \lambda \phi} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{2 k_{\perp}^{2} \lambda}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-e^{i(\lambda-1) \phi} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i(\lambda+1) \phi}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{(2 z-1) M_{0} k_{\perp}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \lambda e^{i \lambda \phi} \\
\lambda e^{i \lambda \phi} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\} . \tag{A.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can construct the LFWF for the spin-2 state. Namely, we start with the rest of the frame from the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Psi}_{\tau \bar{\tau}}^{\lambda}(\vec{k})=\sqrt{\frac{3}{8 \pi}} \xi_{\tau}^{\dagger} \sigma_{i} i \sigma_{2} \xi_{\bar{\tau}} \frac{k_{j}}{k} E_{i j}(\lambda) \frac{u_{1}(k)}{k} \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\tau, \bar{\tau}} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \vec{k} \hat{\Psi}_{\tau \bar{\tau}}^{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) \hat{\Psi}_{\tau \bar{\tau}}^{\dagger\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)}(\vec{k})=\delta_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad \int u_{1}^{2}(k) \mathrm{d} k=1 \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polarization tensor is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{i j}^{( \pm 2)} & =e_{i}( \pm 1) e_{j}( \pm 1) \\
E_{i j}^{( \pm 1)} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e_{i}( \pm 1) n_{j}+n_{i} e_{j}( \pm 1)\right) \\
E_{i j}^{(0)} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(e_{i}(+1) e_{j}(-1)+e_{i}(-1) e_{j}(+1)+2 n_{i} n_{j}\right) \tag{A.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{e}(\lambda)=\left(\vec{e}_{\perp}(\lambda), 0\right), \vec{n}=(0,0,1)$. Notice that the polarization tensor is symmetric and traceless,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j}^{(\lambda)} \delta_{i j}=0 \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the operator $\mathcal{O}_{i j}$ for ${ }^{3} P_{2}$ [14]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{i j}=\sigma_{i} i \sigma_{2} \frac{k_{j}}{k} \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{M_{0}^{2}-4 m_{f}^{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{O}(\lambda) & =\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{O}_{i j} E_{i j}^{(\lambda)}, \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{O}(\lambda) \hat{O}^{\dagger}(\lambda)\right]=1  \tag{A.20}\\
\hat{\Psi}_{\tau \bar{\tau}}^{\lambda} & =\xi_{\tau}^{\dagger} \hat{O}(\lambda) \xi_{\bar{\tau}} \frac{u_{1}(k)}{k} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4 \pi}} \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the vertex for the spin-2 meson is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{( \pm 2)} & =\mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{( \pm 1)}\left(k_{x} \pm i k_{y}\right)=\frac{\mp 1}{\sqrt{2}} \Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{( \pm 1)} k_{\perp} e^{ \pm i \phi} \\
\Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{( \pm 1)} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{( \pm 1)}(2 z-1) \frac{M_{0}}{2} \mp \Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(0)} \frac{k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{ \pm i \phi}\right) \\
\Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(0)} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(\Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(+1)} \frac{k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i \phi}-\Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(-1)} \frac{k_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i \phi}+\Gamma_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(0)}(2 z-1) M_{0}\right) . \tag{A.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the LFWF will have the form and normalization:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(\lambda)}\left(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(\lambda)} \phi\left(z, k_{\perp}\right) \frac{2}{\sqrt{M_{0}^{2}-4 m_{f}^{2}}}, \quad \int \frac{d z d^{2} \vec{k}_{\perp}}{z(1-z) 16 \pi^{3}} \sum_{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}}\left|\Psi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(\lambda)}\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right|^{2}=1,  \tag{A.23}\\
& \phi\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{J}{4 \pi}} \frac{u_{1}(k)}{k}=\pi \sqrt{M_{0}} \frac{u_{1}(k)}{k}, \quad \int \frac{d z d^{2} \vec{k}_{\perp}}{z(1-z) 16 \pi^{3}}\left|\phi\left(z, k_{\perp}\right)\right|^{2}=1,  \tag{A.24}\\
& \Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6 z(1-z)}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.\frac{k_{\perp} e^{-i \varphi}}{M_{0}}\left[m_{f}+\frac{2 k_{\perp}^{2}-(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}^{2}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right)\right] & (2 z-1)\left[\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}+\frac{1}{2} M_{0}-\frac{(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}^{2}}{2\left(M_{0}+2 m_{f}\right)}\right] \\
(2 z-1)\left[\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}+\frac{1}{2} M_{0}-\frac{(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}^{2}}{2\left(M+2 m_{f}\right)}\right] & -\frac{k_{\perp} e^{i \varphi}}{M_{0}}\left[m_{f}+\frac{2 k_{\perp}^{2}-(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}^{2}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right]
\end{array}\right) \tag{A.25}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(+1)}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{z(1-z)}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}m_{f}(2 z-1)+2 k_{\perp}^{2} \frac{(2 z-1)}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}} & k_{\perp} e^{i \varphi}\left[\frac{(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}+(z-1)\right] \\ k_{\perp} e^{i \varphi}\left[\frac{(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}-z\right] & -2 k_{\perp}^{2} e^{i 2 \varphi} \frac{(2 z-1)}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\end{array}\right)$,
$\Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(-1)}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{z(1-z)}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}-2 k_{\perp}^{2} e^{-i 2 \varphi} \frac{(2 z-1)}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}} & -k_{\perp} e^{-i \varphi}\left[\frac{(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}-z\right] \\ -k_{\perp} e^{-i \varphi}\left[\frac{(2 z-1)^{2} M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}+(z-1)\right] & m(2 z-1)+2 k_{\perp}^{2} \frac{(2 z-1)}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\end{array}\right)$,
$\Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(+2)}=\frac{-k_{\perp} e^{i \varphi}}{M_{0} \sqrt{z(1-z)}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}m_{f}+\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}} & \frac{1}{2} k_{\perp} e^{i \varphi}\left(1+\frac{(2 z-1) M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{2} k_{\perp} e^{i \varphi}\left(1-\frac{(2 z-1) M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right) & -k_{\perp}^{2} e^{i 2 \varphi} \frac{1}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\end{array}\right)$,
$\Phi_{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}^{(-2)}=\frac{k_{\perp} e^{-i \varphi}}{M_{0} \sqrt{z(1-z)}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}-k_{\perp}^{2} e^{-i 2 \varphi} \frac{1}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}} & \frac{1}{2} k_{\perp} e^{-i \varphi}\left(1-\frac{(2 z-1) M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{2} k_{\perp} e^{-i \varphi}\left(1+\frac{(2 z-1) M_{0}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\right) & m_{f}+\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{M_{0}+2 m_{f}}\end{array}\right)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We have simplified the notation in ref. [23] by introducing

    $$
    F_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\left(q_{2}^{2}-q_{1}^{2}\right) F_{\mathrm{TL}}^{\prime}-F_{\mathrm{TL}}
    $$

