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#### Abstract

We show how to use the worldline-instanton formalism to calculate the momentum spectrum of the electron-positron pairs produced by an electric field that depends on both space and time. Using the Lehmann-Symanzik-Zimmermann (LSZ) reduction formula with a worldline representation for the propagator in a spacetime field, we make use of the saddle-point method to obtain a semiclassical approximation of the pairproduction spectrum. To check the final result, we integrate the spectrum and compare with the results obtained using a previous instanton method for the imaginary part of the effective action.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The creation of particle pairs in the presence of a strong electric field is a theoretical prediction of quantum electrodynamics (QED) which was first suggested by Sauter [1]. Schwinger [2] calculated the rate of pair production at one loop level in a constant electric field. Today, this process is also commonly referred to as Schwinger pair production. The key feature is that the exponential scaling with respect to the electric field strength ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P} \sim e^{-\frac{\pi}{E}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that this is a nonperturbative effect, as (1) does not have an expansion in powers of $E$ when $E \rightarrow 0$. Due to the exponential scaling with respect to the electric field strength, and the critical field being of the order of $10^{18} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}$, to this day it has not been possible to observe this effect experimentally.

There are very few exactly solvable field shapes (see e.g. $[3,4]$ ), so one has either to turn to a full numerical treatment or to approximate methods in order to deal with nonconstant fields. However, it is challenging to obtain numerical results for spacetime dependent fields ${ }^{2}$ and for the physically

[^0]relevant regime $E \ll 1$. On the other hand, for $E \ll 1$ we can instead turn to semiclassical approximations. Timedependent fields have been studied in [7-11]. The semiclassical approximation can in principle be obtained by directly solving the Dirac equation using a Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) approach. However, while this has been done for time-dependent fields, there is so far no WKB method that can be used for general spacetime dependent fields; see [12] for the most recent study. A more promising approach is the worldline instanton method [10,11,13-15]. It is at this point a well developed method for obtaining the total/integrated probability from the imaginary part of the effective action. Indeed, a numerical code based on discretized instantons ${ }^{3}$ was developed in $[17,18]$ that can be used for general fields. As an example, in [18] it was applied to the $e$-dipole field [19], which is an exact solution to Maxwell's equations that is localized in all four spacetime coordinates. The only other method that has been able to deal with such a complicated field [20] is the locally constant-field approximation (LCFA), where one takes the constant-field result and replaces the volume factor with a spacetime integral, $V^{4} \mathbb{P}\left(F_{\mu \nu}\right) \rightarrow \int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \mathbb{P}(F(x))$. For fields below the Schwinger limit, one can perform the $\mathrm{d}^{4} x$ integral with the saddle-point method, and then the result agrees with the slowly varying-field limit of the instanton approximation. Thus, the instanton approximation contains physics beyond the LCFA ${ }^{4}$ but can still be used for realistic

[^1]four-dimensional (4D) fields. Our main goal is therefore to develop the instanton method so that we can also obtain the momentum spectrum and not just the total probability.

Starting from the Lehmann-Symanzik-Zimmermann (LSZ) reduction formula, the key feature is the representation of the exact propagator as a particle path integral [21-23] with an integration over proper time [2]. In a previous paper [24] we showed how to use the worldline instanton method to compute, on the amplitude level, the spectrum of pair production in a time-dependent background field. Unlike the method used in $[10,11,14,17,18]$, which uses periodic (closed) instantons $x^{\mu}(0)=x^{\mu}(1)$ with the topology of the circle, our instantons have open boundary conditions and describe free particles asymptotically. Thus, our instantons are complex near the tunneling region but approach real particle trajectories at asymptotic times. Schwinger pair production by a constant electric field has been studied with open worldlines in $[25,26]$.

For a time-dependent field it was possible to obtain simple analytic expressions for the full amplitude using saddle-point approximations for the worldline integrals. In particular, the final prefactor is trivial because all the contributions cancel each other out. Not surprisingly, this is no longer true for more general fields. In the present work we focus on linearly polarized fields which depend on time and one space variable $A(z, t)$ such that $E(z, t) \rightarrow 0$ asymptotically. In particular, the $z$ dependence breaks the translation symmetry along the $z$ axis, and therefore the momentum along said direction is no longer conserved. In practice, as we shall see, this means that $p_{3}+p_{3}^{\prime} \neq 0$ is allowed, where $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are, respectively, the electron and positron momenta. We will show that the particle momenta are related to the asymptotic conditions of the instantons.

In the "closed-loop" method, the starting point is the effective action in the worldline representation [27-29], i.e., in terms of a path integral over all closed spacetime trajectories. Using unitarity, one obtains the total probability of pair production. The main advantage is that the initial expression is simpler, and there is no need to worry about external states or amputations. However, this method only gives the probability summed over all possible external momenta. The method we show here provides the momentum spectrum, and performing all the momentum integrals with the saddle-point method gives agreement with the closed-loop method.

We use the saddle-point approximation in order to compute the integrals analytically. However, we find the saddle points of the path integral numerically, also known as "instantons," which are solutions to the Lorentz force equation with asymptotic conditions determined by the momenta.

Although the solutions themselves depend on the parametrization, the final probability does not. We show that one can for example choose a simple parametrization tilted in the complex plane or a nontrivial one, such that the instantons are parallel to the real axis asymptotically. In Sec. II we give some basic definitions. In Sec. III we apply
the Gelfand-Yaglom method to compute the path integral prefactor. In Sec. IV we calculate the ordinary integrals over the spacetime coordinates and proper time. It is eventually possible to obtain a simple expression of this contribution in the asymptotic time limit. In Sec. V we calculate the spin sum, putting everything together in Sec. VI. We explain the procedure to find the instantons numerically in Sec. VII. Once we have all the contributions to the spectrum, we make use once again of the saddle-point method to determine the widths of the spectrum, in addition to the integrated/total probability, in Secs. VIII and IX. We also show the agreement with the discrete instanton method for the effective action used in [17,18]. Finally, in Sec. X we consider the limit where the spatial dependence becomes very slow compared to the time dependence, which gives a regularized volume factor rather than the infinite volume factor one finds if one starts with a purely time-dependent field. This is done by expanding the instantons in a suitable way.

## II. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Our starting point is the worldline representation [23] of the dressed fermion propagator ${ }^{5}$ in a background field $A_{\mu}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)= & \left(i \not D_{x}+m\right) \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} T \int_{q(0)=x^{\prime}}^{q(1)=x} \mathcal{D} q \exp \left\{-i\left[\frac{T m^{2}}{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau\left(\frac{\dot{q}^{2}}{2 T}+A(q) \dot{q}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \times \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{-i \frac{T}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau \sigma^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}\right\}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+i A_{\mu}, \quad F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}, \quad \mathcal{P}$ means proper-time ordering, and $\sigma^{\mu \nu}=\frac{i}{2}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right]$. Note that (2) holds for an arbitrary electromagnetic field. We obtain the amplitude by amputating using the LSZ reduction formula ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{align*}
M= & \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{t^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} e^{i p_{j} x^{j}} \bar{u}_{r}^{(\text {asymp })}(t, \mathbf{p}) \gamma^{0} S\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times \gamma^{0} e^{i p_{j}^{\prime} x^{\prime j}} v_{r^{\prime}}^{(\text {asymp })}\left(t^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{j} x^{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{j} x^{j}$, and the asymptotic states can be replaced by their WKB/adiabatic approximations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{U}_{r}(t, \mathbf{q}) & =\left(\gamma^{0} \pi_{0}+\gamma^{i} \pi_{i}+1\right) \mathrm{G}^{+}(t, \mathbf{q}) R_{r} \\
\mathrm{~V}_{r}(t,-\mathbf{q}) & =\left(-\gamma^{0} \pi_{0}+\gamma^{i} \pi_{i}+1\right) \mathrm{G}^{-}(t, \mathbf{q}) R_{r} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(t_{r} \in \mathbb{R}\right.$ is a constant)

[^2]$\mathbf{G}^{ \pm}(t, \mathbf{q})=\left[2 \pi_{0}\left(\pi_{0} \pm \pi_{3}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[\mp i \int_{t_{r}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime} \pi_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right]$,
and the spin basis is chosen according to $\gamma^{0} \gamma^{3} R_{s}=R_{s}, s=1$, 2 , and $\pi_{\perp}=q_{\perp}, \pi_{3}(t)=q_{3}-A(t), \pi_{0}=\sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+\pi_{3}^{2}(t)}$, $m_{\perp}=\sqrt{1+q_{\perp}^{2}}$. (Recall, we work with units where $m=1$.) These nontrivial solutions are in general needed for fields with $A(t=-\infty) \neq A(t=+\infty)$. However, in this paper we will focus on fields that also depend on $z$, such as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}=\frac{E}{\omega} \tanh (\omega t) \operatorname{sech}^{2}(k z), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and, although $A(t=-\infty) \neq A(t=+\infty)$, the worldline starts and ends at $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, so we have $A_{3} \rightarrow 0$ asymptotically. So, for any nonzero $k$ we have a rather different case compared to if one starts with $k=0$. We will refer to the field in (6) as "the Sauter pulse," since $E(t, z)=$ $E \operatorname{sech}^{2}(\omega t) \operatorname{sech}^{2}(k z)$ is the product of a Sauter pulse in $t$ and a Sauter pulse in $z$.

## III. PATH INTEGRAL AND GELFAND-YAGLOM

We start by performing the path integral. Expanding around the instanton (i.e. the saddle point) gives us a quadratic path integral that we can perform using the Gelfand-Yaglom method [11]. We change variables and notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\mu}(\tau) \rightarrow q_{\mu}(\tau)+\delta q_{\mu}(\tau), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that from now on $q_{\mu}(\tau)$ is the instanton and $\delta q_{\mu}(\tau)$ is the integration variable. The part of the exponent in (2) with $\sigma^{\mu \nu}$ scales as $T F_{\mu \nu} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(E^{0}\right)$, compared to the other terms which scale as $1 / E \gg 1$. One can compare this with the following integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} x p(x) \exp \left(\frac{f(x)}{E}+g(x)\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The saddle point for this integral is determined by $f^{\prime}\left(x_{s}\right)=0$. After a change of variable from $x=$ $x_{s}+\sqrt{E} \delta x$ to $\delta x$, one can expand the integrand in a series in $E \ll 1$, which to leading order gives
$(8) \approx \sqrt{E} p\left(x_{s}\right) \exp \left(\frac{f\left(x_{s}\right)}{E}+g\left(x_{s}\right)\right) \int \mathrm{d}(\delta x) e^{\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{s}\right)}{2} \delta x^{2}}$.

The $\sigma^{\mu \nu}$ term corresponds to $g(x)$, so the saddle point, i.e. the instanton, does not depend on the spin. The instantons are therefore determined by the Lorentz-force equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{q}^{\mu}=T F^{\mu \nu} \dot{q}_{\nu} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the class of fields that we consider in this paper we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{t}=T E(t, z) \dot{z}, \quad \ddot{z}=T E(t, z) \dot{t}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(t, z)=\partial A_{3}(t, z) / \partial t$. Since we now use $t(\tau)$ and $z(\tau)$ for the instanton, and since it is usually convenient to suppress the argument $\tau$, we rename $t, t^{\prime}, z$, and $z^{\prime}$ in (3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{\prime} \rightarrow t_{0}=t(\tau=0), \quad t \rightarrow t_{1}=t(\tau=1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime} \rightarrow z_{0}=z(\tau=0), \quad z \rightarrow z_{1}=z(\tau=1) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will often be convenient to change the proper-time variable from $\tau$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=T(\tau-\sigma), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a constant such that the instanton passes through the field around $u \sim 0$. For a symmetric instanton it would be convenient to choose $\sigma=1 / 2$, but, in general, different choices of $\sigma$ just correspond to arbitrary shifts of the $u$ variable. The start and end points, $\tau=0$ and $\tau=1$, are now denoted

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=-T \sigma, \quad u_{1}=T(1-\sigma) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the asymptotic limit $t_{0}, t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$, we also have $T \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $u_{0} \rightarrow-\infty$ and $u_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$.

The $\delta q$ integrand is given by

$$
\exp \left\{-\frac{i}{2 T} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\delta t & \delta z \tag{16}
\end{array}\right) \Lambda\binom{\delta t}{\delta z}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\Lambda=T\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{T} \partial_{\tau}^{2}+A_{t t} \dot{z} & A_{t z} \dot{z}+A_{t} \partial_{\tau}  \tag{17}\\
A_{t z} \dot{z}-\partial_{\tau} A_{t} & \frac{1}{T} \partial_{\tau}^{2}-A_{t z} \dot{t}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $A_{t z}=\partial^{2} A_{3} / \partial t \partial z$, etc., and $\partial_{\tau} A_{t}=A_{t t} \dot{t}+A_{t z} \dot{z}+A_{t} \partial_{\tau}$. The path integral can be performed using the GelfandYaglom method, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \Lambda=\left.\left(\phi_{1}^{(1)} \phi_{2}^{(2)}-\phi_{2}^{(1)} \phi_{1}^{(2)}\right)\right|_{\tau=1}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of two solutions, $\phi^{(1)}$ and $\phi^{(2)}$, of the Jacobi equation [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \phi=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(1)}(0)=\phi^{(2)}(0)=\binom{0}{0} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\phi}^{(1)}(0)=\binom{1}{0}, \quad \dot{\phi}^{(2)}(0)=\binom{0}{1} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (19) can (after being multiplied by $\sigma_{3}$ ) also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\partial_{u}^{2}+E(t, z) \sigma_{1} \partial_{u}+\left\{z^{\prime}(u), t^{\prime}(u)\right\} \nabla E(t, z)\right] \phi=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}$ is one of the Pauli matrices and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla E=\left\{A_{t t}, A_{t z}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the normalization we use the free part ( $\mathcal{D} \delta q=$ $\left.\mathcal{D} \delta q_{0} \mathcal{D} \delta q_{1} \mathcal{D} \delta q_{2} \mathcal{D} \delta q_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta q(0)=0}^{\delta q(1)=0} \mathcal{D} \delta q \exp \left\{-i \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau \frac{\delta \dot{q}^{2}}{2 T}\right\}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi T)^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the nontrivial part is given by $\sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Lambda_{\text {free }}} / \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Lambda}=$ $1 / \sqrt{\operatorname{det} \Lambda}$.

Our instantons have nontrivial behavior in the region where $|E|$ is significantly nonzero and straight lines in the asymptotic regions, i.e. outside the field. While (19) can be solved numerically as it stands, it is better to separate out the asymptotic parts. This will allow us to show analytically that $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$ drop out in the $t_{0}, t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

Before the instanton enters the field region we have (" $\approx$ " could be replaced by " $=$ " for a field with finite support)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(1)} \approx\binom{\tau}{0}, \quad \phi^{(2)} \approx\binom{0}{\tau} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We follow this solution to a point $\tilde{u}_{0}$ where $E\left(t\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right), z\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)\right)$ starts to be significantly nonzero, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{u-u_{0}}{T} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point we start the numerical computation with initial conditions
$\phi^{(1)}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right) \approx\binom{\left(\tilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right) / T}{0}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi^{(1)}}{\mathrm{d} u}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right) \approx\binom{1 / T}{0}$
and similarly for $\phi^{(2)}$. For $t_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\left(t^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} t / \mathrm{d} u\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}=\int_{t_{0}}^{\tilde{t}_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t^{\prime}}=\frac{t_{0}}{p_{0}^{\prime}}+\mathcal{O}(1) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have anticipated that $t^{\prime} \rightarrow-p_{0}^{\prime}$ at $u_{0}$. In general, we can already at this point anticipate the values of the saddle points for all integration variables and substitute them into $\Lambda$ and $T$ in (16). We will show below that the saddle-point value of $T$ is, in the asymptotic limit, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{t_{0}}{p_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{t_{1}}{p_{0}}+\mathcal{O}(1) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $T$ is on the same order of magnitude as $t_{0}, t_{1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(1)}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right) \approx\binom{t_{0} /\left(T p_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{0}+\mathcal{O}(1 / T) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point it might thus seem like we could approximate $\frac{\mathrm{d} \phi^{(1)}}{\mathrm{d} u}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right) \approx\{0,0\}$, but we will show that we need to keep this derivative equal to $\{1 / T, 0\}$. Instead we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(j)}=\frac{t_{0}}{T p_{0}^{\prime}} \phi_{d}^{(j)}+\frac{1}{T} \phi_{n}^{(j)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{d}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=\binom{1}{0}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi_{d}^{(1)}}{\mathrm{d} u}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=\binom{0}{0},  \tag{32}\\
& \phi_{n}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=\binom{0}{0}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi_{n}^{(1)}}{\mathrm{d} u}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=\binom{1}{0}, \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly for $\phi_{d}^{(2)}$ and $\phi_{n}^{(2)}$.
We always have one solution to $\Lambda \phi=0$ given by $\phi=\left\{t^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right\}$. Since $\left\{t^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right\}=0$ outside the field, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{d}^{(1)}(u)=c_{1}\left\{t^{\prime}(u), z^{\prime}(u)\right\}-c_{2} \varphi(u), \\
& \phi_{d}^{(2)}(u)=c_{2}\left\{t^{\prime}(u), z^{\prime}(u)\right\}+c_{1} \varphi(u), \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where the two constants are given by
$c_{1}=\frac{t^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)}{t^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)+z^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)}, \quad c_{2}=\frac{z^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)}{t^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)+z^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)}$,
and where $\varphi$ is a second independent solution, with orthogonal initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=\binom{-z^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)}{t^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} \varphi}{\mathrm{~d} u}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=\binom{0}{0} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution to (18) involving products of $\phi_{d}^{(j)}$ and no $\phi_{n}^{(j)}$ can now be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1}(u) & :=\left(\frac{t_{0}}{T p_{0}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left(\phi_{d 1}^{(1)} \phi_{d 2}^{(2)}-\phi_{d 2}^{(1)} \phi_{d 1}^{(2)}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{t_{0}}{T p_{0}^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \frac{t^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{2}(u)-z^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{1}(u)}{t^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)+z^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

In the end we only need the asymptotic value of $D_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)\left(u_{1}\right.$ corresponds to $\tau=1$ ). Since $\varphi$ grows linearly in $u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) \approx\left(u_{1}-\tilde{u}_{1}\right) D_{1}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{u}_{1}$ is chosen such that, for $u>\tilde{u}_{1}$, the instanton has left the region where the field is significantly nonzero. In the asymptotic limit we have [cf. (28)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}-\tilde{u}_{1}=\frac{t_{1}}{p_{0}}+\mathcal{O}(1 / T) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) \approx \frac{t_{0} t_{1}}{T p_{0}^{\prime} p_{0}} \frac{t_{0}}{T p_{0}^{\prime}} h\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(u)=\frac{t^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{2}^{\prime}(u)-z^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{1}^{\prime}(u)}{t^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)+z^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

A second contribution is given by cross terms between $\phi_{d}^{(j)}$ and $\phi_{n}^{(j)}$,
$D_{2}:=\frac{t_{0}}{T^{2} p_{0}^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{d 1}^{(1)} \phi_{n 2}^{(2)}+\phi_{n 1}^{(1)} \phi_{d 2}^{(2)}-\phi_{d 2}^{(1)} \phi_{n 1}^{(2)}-\phi_{n 2}^{(1)} \phi_{d 1}^{(2)}\right)$.

In the asymptotic limit, $D_{2}(u)$ grows quadratically in $u$, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}\left(u_{1}\right) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(u_{1}-\tilde{u}_{1}\right)^{2} D_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the derivatives and throwing away terms with $\phi^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime \prime}$, or $z^{\prime \prime}$, which anyway vanish asymptotically, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}\left(u_{1}\right) \approx \frac{t_{0} t_{1}}{T p_{0}^{\prime} p_{0}} \frac{t_{1}}{T p_{0}} g\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g(u)= & c_{1}\left(\phi_{n 1}^{(1) \prime}(u) \varphi_{2}^{\prime}(u)-\phi_{n 2}^{(1) \prime}(u) \varphi_{1}^{\prime}(u)\right) \\
& +c_{2}\left(\phi_{n 1}^{(2) \prime}(u) \varphi_{2}^{\prime}(u)-\phi_{n 2}^{(2) \prime}(u) \varphi_{1}^{\prime}(u)\right) . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

$D_{1}(u)$ and $D_{2}(u)$ were introduced as functions of $u$ (which in the end we only need to evaluate at $u_{1}$ ). Now we
have introduced two other functions of $u, h(u)$, and $g(u)$. The reason for doing this is that $h\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)=g\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)$ and we can prove this by showing that we in fact also have $h(u)=g(u)$, which we in turn can show by considering $h^{\prime}(u)$ and $g^{\prime}(u)$. In contrast, $D_{1}(u)$ and $D_{2}(u)$ are not proportional; they have different behavior at finite $u$.

To simplify $h^{\prime}(u)$ and $g^{\prime}(u)$, we first note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\left(t^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-z^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any solution to $\Lambda \phi=0$. This gives us a constant of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(\phi)=t^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-z^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the initial conditions at $\tilde{u}_{0}$ we find $\nu(\varphi)=0$, $\nu\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)=t^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)$, and $\nu\left(\phi^{(2)}\right)=-z^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)$. Second, we also note that for any two solutions, $\phi$ and $\tilde{\phi}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{\phi}_{2}^{\prime}-\phi_{2}^{\prime} \tilde{\phi}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\nabla E \cdot(\nu(\phi) \tilde{\phi}-\nu(\tilde{\phi}) \phi) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u}\left(t^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-z^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)=m_{\perp}^{2} \nabla E \cdot \phi \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these relations we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(u)=\frac{m_{\perp}^{2}}{t^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)+z^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)} \nabla E \cdot \varphi=g^{\prime}(u) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=g\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=0$, we therefore have $h(u)=g(u)$.
The third contribution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{3}=\frac{1}{T^{2}}\left(\phi_{n 1}^{(1)} \phi_{n 2}^{(2)}-\phi_{n 2}^{(1)} \phi_{n 1}^{(2)}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

is negligible, because, asymptotically, $D_{3}(u)$ grows quadratically in $u$, which makes $D_{3} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, while $D_{1} \sim D_{2} \sim \mathcal{O}(T)$.

Thus, together with (29) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \Lambda \approx D_{1}+D_{2} \approx \frac{t_{0} t_{1}}{T p_{0}^{\prime} p_{0}} h\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that these approximate signs become exact in the asymptotic limit $t_{0}, t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$, which we will always take in the end. Remarkably, we see from (41) that we only need to find one solution to $\Lambda \phi=0$, namely $\phi=\varphi$.

We can simplify further by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}(u)=\frac{\varphi(u)}{t^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)+z^{\prime 2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then we note that any solution can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(u)= & \left\{t^{\prime}(u), z^{\prime}(u)\right\} \chi(u) \\
& +\left\{-z^{\prime}(u), t^{\prime}(u)\right\} \frac{\eta(u)}{t^{\prime 2}(u)+z^{12}(u)}, \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

where we thus use $\chi$ and $\eta$ rather than $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ to represent the 2 degrees of freedom. From (47) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\perp}^{2} x^{\prime}=\nu+E \frac{m_{\perp}^{4}-4 t^{\prime 2} z^{\prime 2}}{\left(t^{\prime 2}+z^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \eta+\frac{2 t^{\prime} z^{\prime}}{t^{\prime 2}+z^{\prime 2}} \eta^{\prime}, \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows us to write (49) solely in terms of $\eta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime \prime}-\left(E^{2}+\nabla E \cdot\left\{z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}\right) \eta+\nu E=0 . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is useful because [cf. (41)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{-z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\} \cdot \phi^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}+\left(m_{\perp}^{2} \chi-\frac{2 t^{\prime} z^{\prime}}{t^{\prime 2}+z^{\prime 2}} \eta\right) E, \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

so in the asymptotic limit this quantity also only involves $\eta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{-z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\} \cdot \phi \rightarrow \eta^{\prime} . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using this in (41) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)=\eta^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right), \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is the solution of (56) with $\nu=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=1, \quad \eta^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

To summarize, we started with an expression for $\operatorname{det} \Lambda$ in terms of two two-component solutions, $\phi^{(1)}$ and $\phi^{(2)}$; separated out the factors of $t_{0}, t_{1}$ by expressing $\operatorname{det} \Lambda$ in terms of three two-component solutions, $\varphi, \phi_{n}^{(1)}$, and $\phi_{n}^{(2)}$; then showed that only $\varphi$ is needed; and finally we have now showed that we need to find only one one-component solution, $\eta$ from (56) with initial conditions as in (60). Thus, the calculation of the functional determinant (52) is greatly simplified.

## IV. ORDINARY INTEGRALS

We begin with the perpendicular integrals, which are trivial relative to the other integrals. We make a shift in the path integration variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\perp}(\tau) \rightarrow x_{\perp}^{\prime}+\tau\left(x_{\perp}-x_{\perp}^{\prime}\right)+q_{\perp}(\tau), \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the new $q_{\perp}(\tau)$ has boundary conditions $q_{\perp}(0)=q_{\perp}(1)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{2 T} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau \dot{q}_{\perp}^{2} \rightarrow \frac{i}{2 T}\left(x_{\perp}-x_{\perp}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{i}{2 T} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau \dot{q}_{\perp}^{2} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $q_{\perp}$ integral is just the free one [which gives one factor of $1 / 2 \pi T$ in (24)]. With $x_{\perp}^{\prime}=\varphi_{\perp}-\theta_{\perp} / 2$ and $x_{\perp}=\varphi_{\perp}+\theta_{\perp} / 2$, the $\varphi_{\perp}$ integral gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \pi)^{2} \delta^{2}\left(p_{\perp}+p_{\perp}^{\prime}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Gaussian $\theta_{\perp}$ integral gives a factor of

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi T \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the prefactor.
Next we turn to the integrals over $z_{0}=z(\tau=0)$, $z_{1}=z(\tau=1)$, and $T$, which we will also perform using the saddle-point method. We obtain the saddle-point equations by differentiating the exponent in (2), where $q_{\mu}$ is now the instanton solution. At this point in the calculation, $q_{\mu}$ depends on $z_{0}, z_{1}$, and $T$. If we make a variation in $z_{0}, z_{1}$, or $T$, then that leads to a variation in $q_{\mu}$, which we denote $\delta^{\prime} q$. ${ }^{7}$ The corresponding variation of the exponent is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{\prime} i & \left\{p_{3} z_{1}+p_{3}^{\prime} z_{0}-\frac{T m_{\perp}^{2}}{2}-\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau\left(\frac{\dot{q}^{2}}{2 T}+A(q) \dot{q}\right)\right\} \\
= & -\frac{i}{2}\left(m_{\perp}^{2}-a^{2}\right) \delta^{\prime} T+i p_{3} \delta^{\prime} z_{1}+i p_{3}^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} z_{0} \\
& -\left.i\left(\frac{\dot{q}}{T}+A\right) \delta^{\prime} q\right|_{0} ^{1}, \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\frac{\dot{t}^{2}-\dot{z}^{2}}{T^{2}}=t^{2}-z^{2} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a constant of motion. Thus, with $\{\cdots\}$ denoting the curly brackets in (65),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0}} i\{\cdots\}=i\left[p_{3}^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right],  \tag{67}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} i\{\cdots\}=i\left[p_{3}+z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right], \tag{68}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial T} i\{\cdots\}=\frac{i}{2}\left(a^{2}-m_{\perp}^{2}\right) . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The saddle points, $z_{0}^{s}\left(p_{3}^{\prime}, p_{3}, m_{\perp}\right)$, etc., are determined by setting (67)-(69) to zero. These equations might look rather complicated since they involve the instanton solution,

[^3]which we have not found yet and which we in general can only find numerically. Fortunately, these equations simplify considerably in the asymptotic limit $t_{0}, t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$. We have
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{0}=\tilde{z}_{0}+\int_{\tilde{t}_{0}}^{t_{0}} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{z^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}}=-\frac{t_{0} z^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{a^{2}+z^{\prime 2}\left(u_{0}\right)}}+\mathcal{O}(1)  \tag{70}\\
& z_{1}=\tilde{z}_{1}+\int_{\tilde{t}_{1}}^{t_{1}} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{z^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}}=\frac{t_{1} z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{a^{2}+z^{\prime 2}\left(u_{1}\right)}}+\mathcal{O}(1) \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and
$T=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t^{\prime}}=\frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{a^{2}+z^{\prime 2}\left(u_{1}\right)}}+\frac{t_{0}}{\sqrt{a^{2}+z^{\prime 2}\left(u_{0}\right)}}+\mathcal{O}(1)$,
where we have used $t^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=\sqrt{a^{2}+z^{\prime 2}\left(u_{1}\right)}$ and $t^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=$ $-\sqrt{a^{2}+z^{\prime 2}\left(u_{1}\right)}$, which follows from (66). We can now solve (70)-(72),

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=-\frac{z_{0}}{T}\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{t_{1}^{2}-z_{1}^{2}}}{\sqrt{t_{0}^{2}-z_{0}^{2}}}\right)  \tag{73}\\
& z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=\frac{z_{1}}{T}\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{t_{0}^{2}-z_{0}^{2}}}{\sqrt{t_{1}^{2}-z_{1}^{2}}}\right) \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\frac{1}{T^{2}}\left(\sqrt{t_{0}^{2}-z_{0}^{2}}+\sqrt{t_{1}^{2}-z_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting these into (67)-(69) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}^{s}=-\frac{p_{3}^{\prime} t_{0}}{\sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+p_{3}^{\prime 2}}}, \quad z_{1}^{s}=-\frac{p_{3} t_{1}}{\sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}}} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s}=\frac{t_{0}}{\sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+p_{3}^{\prime 2}}}+\frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}}} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $p_{0}^{\prime}=\sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+p_{3}^{\prime 2}}$ and $p_{0}=\sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}}$ we have thus proven (29), which we anticipated in the calculation of the functional determinant. We will show below that the dominant contribution comes from $p_{3}^{\prime} \sim-p_{3}$, so $z_{0}^{s}$ and $z_{1}^{s}$ have different signs; i.e. the instanton starts and ends on opposite sides of the field, or, in other words, the electron and positron end up at opposite sides.

It is now also straightforward to calculate the Hessian matrix $\mathbf{H}$ by differentiating (67)-(69). This gives us a Gaussian integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{X} \exp \{-\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{X}\} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}=\left\{\delta z_{0}, \delta z_{1}, \delta T\right\}$ with $\delta z_{0}=z_{0}-z_{0}^{s}$, etc. The expression for $\mathbf{H}$ is not particularly illuminating, but its determinant is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathbf{H}=\frac{i p_{0}^{3} p_{0}^{3}}{8 m_{\perp}^{2} t_{0} t_{1} T} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the contribution from the ordinary integrals is actually quite simple. We can now see that the factors of $t_{0}, t_{1}$ cancel when combining (52) and (79).

## V. SPIN PART

Now we turn to the spin part of the prefactor. Using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u} \ln \left[t^{\prime}(u) \pm z^{\prime}(u)\right]= \pm E(t, z) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can actually perform the proper time integral analytically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{i T}{4} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau F_{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{0} \gamma^{3} \ln \rho \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{t^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)+z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)}{t^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)+z^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)}=-\frac{p_{0}-p_{3}}{p_{0}^{\prime}-p_{3}^{\prime}} . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this does not depend on the field. For the $\left(i \not D_{x}+1\right)$ part in (2) we can perform partial integration in $x$, and in the asymptotic limit we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i \not D_{x}+1\right) \rightarrow \not p+1 . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the calculation of the spin part reduces to

$$
S=\bar{R}_{s}(\not p+1) \gamma^{0}(\not p+1) \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\rho}}\left(\rho\left[1+\gamma^{0} \gamma^{3}\right]+1-\gamma^{0} \gamma^{3}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \gamma^{0}\left(-\not p^{\prime}+1\right) R_{s^{\prime}} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is field independent. At this point $S$ does perhaps not look symmetric in $p \leftrightarrow p^{\prime}$, but after some algebra we find that the amplitude is proportional to $\delta_{s s^{\prime}}$, so summing over spins gives a trivial factor of 2 , and in the end we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s s^{\prime}}|S|^{2}=8 p_{0} p_{0}^{\prime} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for the fields we consider here, the spin factor is rather simple. But when applying these methods to more general spacetime dependent fields, e.g. with magnetic components, one could study both the momentum and the spin dependence of the probability.

## VI. FINAL FORMULA FOR THE GENERAL CASE

Combining all the separate contributions we finally find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P} & =\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} p}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} p^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{3}}(85)\left|\frac{1}{2}(24)(63)(64) \frac{\pi^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{(79)}} \frac{e^{\cdots}}{\sqrt{(52)}}\right|^{2} \\
& =V_{\perp} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} p_{\perp}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p_{3}}{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p_{3}^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \frac{4 \pi m_{\perp}^{2}}{p_{0} p_{0}^{\prime}\left|h\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)\right|} e^{-\mathcal{A}}, \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{\perp}=V_{1} V_{2}$ is a perpendicular volume factor, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=-2 \operatorname{Re} i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} u q^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d} q^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} u} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factors of $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$ have canceled, and we can now take $t_{0}, t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$.

## VII. INSTANTONS AND THE CHOICE OF EINBEIN

We have calculated (86) without actually having to find any instantons. However, in contrast to the purely timedependent case [24], to evaluate (86) for a spacetime dependent field we do need to find the instanton. When writing "the" instanton, one should keep in mind the point made in [24], i.e. that different choices of complex einbeins lead to different trajectories. Two instantons that can be (continuously) deformed to each other by deforming the einbein are equivalent and give the same results, but some choices of einbeins might be more convenient to work with and others might facilitate a physical interpretation.

Before turning to einbeins, we first note that when expressed in terms of $E, \gamma_{\omega}=\frac{\omega}{E}$, and $\gamma_{k}=\frac{k}{E}$, the instantons do not have any nontrivial dependence on $E$. This follows from the fact that $E \ll 1$ is the expansion parameter (i.e. one should not expect to see any complicated functions of $E)$ and the final result has a simple dependence on $E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}=E^{a} \mathcal{F}\left(\gamma_{\omega}, \gamma_{k}\right) \exp \left\{-\frac{\mathcal{G}\left(\gamma_{\omega}, \gamma_{k}\right)}{E}\right\} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a constant. This is the leading order in a $E \ll 1$ expansion. Corrections are suppressed with higher powers of $E$.

To remove the trivial $E$ dependence from the instantons we first write the instanton equations (11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{\prime \prime}=E(t, z) z^{\prime}, \quad z^{\prime \prime}=E(t, z) t^{\prime} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the field can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t, z)=E F(\omega t, k z) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we see that we should rescale

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \rightarrow \frac{q}{E} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the arguments become $F\left(\gamma_{\omega} t, \gamma_{k} z\right)$. To remove the remaining $E$ from (89) we have to rescale

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \rightarrow \frac{u}{E} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rescaled instanton equations are thus given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{\prime \prime}=F\left(\gamma_{\omega} t, \gamma_{k} z\right) z^{\prime}, \quad z^{\prime \prime}=F\left(\gamma_{\omega} t, \gamma_{k} z\right) t^{\prime} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (87) we also see that this gives an exponent on the form (88). For the prefactor, the only nontrivial contribution in (86) comes from $h$. From (56) with $\nu=0$ and initial conditions (60) we see that $\eta$ is not rescaled with $E$, so from (59) we see that $h=E \mathcal{H}\left(\gamma_{\omega}, \gamma_{k}\right)$. Thus, the prefactor in (88) scales as $1 / E$, i.e. $a=-1$, for the momentum spectrum. As we will show below, each of the four momentum integrals can be performed with the saddle-point method, so each of them gives a factor of $\sqrt{E}$ to the prefactor. Thus, the prefactor for the total/integrated probability scales as $E$, i.e. $a=1$.

We now turn to the choice of einbein. In [15] one can find a representation of the effective action with an additional path integral over an einbein field, together with a gauge-fixing functional. However, for our purposes, we simply note that one is free to make contour deformations for the $u$ integral, and so by different einbeins we simply mean different complex, integration contours. We parametrize the complex contour using a real parameter $r$, and, rather than specifying $u(r)$ directly, we specify the velocity along the contour as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} r}=f(r) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $f(0)=e^{-i \theta}$ and $f(r) \rightarrow 1$ asymptotically by simply defining a weighted combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=1+\left(e^{-i \theta}-1\right) \psi(r) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1. $\psi(r)$ for parameters $L=1.6$ and $W=0.3$.


FIG. 2. Real and imaginary parts of $t$ and $z$ of the physical instantons, with $W=0.1$ and $L=1.1636$. The field parameters are $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{\omega}=1$.
where $\psi(r)$ is some normalized bump function with $\psi(r) \sim 1$ when $r \sim 0$ and $\psi(r) \rightarrow 0$ when $r$ becomes large. As an example, we can take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\tanh \left[\frac{r+L}{W}\right]+\tanh \left[\frac{-r+L}{W}\right]\right) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is illustrated in Fig. 1. The parameters $L$ and $W$ can be chosen such that the bump becomes larger and steeper at will.

The parametrization is arbitrary, and all physical quantities are of course independent of this choice. It is possible to choose a parametrization such that $t(r)$ runs parallel to the imaginary axis from the turning point, then turns, and becomes purely real. At the momentum saddle point, Eq. (121) implies that $z$ is also real. We have therefore complex tunneling trajectories at $r \sim 0$ and real particles asymptotically, since both components are real. Such an einbein can be found by setting $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and tuning the other two parameters until we have the desired result. The resulting instantons are shown in Fig. 2. Note that the instantons still satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} q}{\mathrm{~d} u}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

because we have merely changed the contour into $u(r)$. Of course, if we write it in terms of the real parameter $r$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} q}{\mathrm{~d} r}\right)^{2}=f^{2}(r) \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, while tuning the einbein such that the instanton goes along the real axis asymptotically may seem natural, from a practical point of view this is actually a great deal of unnecessary work, since we would need to re-tune the einbein whenever we change the parameters of the field or the particle momenta. So, for computational convenience
we used a simple tilted parametrization as $u(r)=e^{-i \theta} r$ instead, with one fixed value of $\theta$. With the einbein (95), we expect the instantons to deviate from the ones with the tilted parametrization only when $\psi$ drops to zero, and this is indeed what happens. Instantons for a tilted parametrization are shown in Fig. 3.

To find the instantons it is convenient to use "initial" conditions in the middle of the instanton, at $u=0$, and then vary the position and velocity, $q_{\mu}(0)$ and $q_{\mu}^{\prime}(0)$, until we find a solution with the correct asymptotic momenta at $u \rightarrow \pm \infty$. In the symmetric case we can choose $z(0)=0$ and $t^{\prime}(0)=0$, which implies $z^{\prime}(0)^{2}=-m_{\perp}^{2}$, and then different choices of $t(0)$ lead to different asymptotic momenta. $t(0)$ is in general complex. We can determine it using the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right) \stackrel{!}{=} p . \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this equation represents two real conditions; one for $\operatorname{Re}\left(z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)$ and another for $\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)$. Equation (99) allows us to consider a generic momentum $p$, and then we


FIG. 3. Instanton plots for $\gamma_{\omega}=1$ and different values of $\gamma_{k}$. The parametrization is given by $u=e^{-i \theta} r$ with $\theta=0.2 \pi$.
can vary $p$ to find the saddle point $p_{s}$. However, for $p=p_{s}$, $t(0)$ turns out to be purely imaginary, so then we only need one real condition to determine $t(0)$. We have found that one possible condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the momentum $p_{s}$ does not enter (100), so we can actually find the dominant instanton without first determining $p_{s}$. Once we have found this instanton, we can obtain $p_{s}$ by simply evaluating $p_{s}=z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)$. This is significantly faster than using the condition (99).

Another thing that can be crucial for finding instantons is to use a numerical continuation [18], where we start with some parameter values that lead to a simple instanton and then we gradually change the parameters, which leads to a gradual change in the instanton. This idea was used in [18] to find discretized, closed-loop instantons for the imaginary part of the effective action. Here we do not discretize the instanton and our instantons are open lines, but we have still found numerical continuation to be very useful. In particular, if we know the instanton for some value of $\gamma_{k}$,


FIG. 4. Exponential part of the probability $\mathcal{A}$ from (87) (without the overall factor of $1 / E$ ) as a function of $\gamma_{k}$ for various values of $\gamma_{\omega}$. The dots are obtained with the discrete-instanton code from $[17,18]$. The number of points used for the discrete instantons varies depending on the $\gamma_{\omega}$ value, from $N=500$ for $\gamma_{\omega}=2$ to $N=2000$ for $\gamma_{\omega}=\frac{1}{4}$. The $\gamma_{k} \rightarrow 0$ limit is given by the known analytical result (102).
then we use the value of $t(0)$ as a starting point for the numerical root finding of $t(0)$ for $\gamma_{k}+\Delta \gamma_{k}$. If each step $\Delta \gamma_{k}$ is sufficiently small, then the root finding converges fast. For a purely time-dependent Sauter pulse we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(0)=\frac{i}{\gamma_{\omega}} \arctan \left(\gamma_{\omega}\right) \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore we use this at the initial point $\gamma_{k}=0$. Without this numerical continuation it is difficult to find the instantons at larger $\gamma_{k}$.

Having obtained the instantons, we can now immediately obtain the results for the exponential part of the probability using (87). The results are shown in Fig. 4. In the limit $\gamma_{k} \rightarrow 0$, where the field has a very slow spatial dependence, we find agreement with the analytical result for a purely time-dependent field $[8,10]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \frac{1}{E} \frac{2 \pi}{1+\sqrt{1+\gamma_{\omega}^{2}}} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also shown are the results obtained using the discreteinstanton code from $[17,18]$. We have perfect agreement. For $\gamma_{\omega} \sim 1 / 4$ we see a significant increase in the exponent as $\gamma_{k}$ increases. In contrast, for $\gamma_{\omega} \sim 2$ the exponent is quite flat; i.e. it is quite insensitive to the spatial width of the field.

## VIII. PERPENDICULAR MOMENTUM INTEGRAL

To obtain the total probability we can perform the momentum integrals in (86) with the saddle-point method. When doing so we actually obtain the relevant information for the shape of the spectrum near the dominant peaks too, as we will now explain.

We start with the perpendicular momentum integrals. Since a nonzero $p_{\perp}$ basically means making the fermions heavier, we have a saddle point at $p_{\perp}=0$. Around this point the spectrum is Gaussian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(p_{\perp}\right) \propto \exp \left\{-\frac{p_{\perp}^{2}}{d_{\perp}^{2}}\right\} . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the width we go back and express the exponent as in (3), but with the integration variables replaced by their saddle-point values. Since this is already a function of $p_{\perp}^{2}$ rather than $p_{\perp}$, we just have to make a linear expansion. Since the partial derivatives with respect to the previous integration variables vanish at the saddle point, we simply find

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\perp}^{-2}=-\lim _{-u_{0}, u_{1} \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} i\left(\frac{t\left(u_{1}\right)}{p_{0}}+\frac{t\left(u_{0}\right)}{p_{0}^{\prime}}-u_{1}+u_{0}\right) . \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can see that this limit is finite by noting that the derivatives with respect to $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ vanish outside the


FIG. 5. $\quad d_{\perp}\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$ (without the overall factor of $\sqrt{E}$ ) for different values of $\gamma_{\omega}$, calculated using (104). The $\gamma_{k} \rightarrow 0$ limit agrees with the known analytical result (105).
field. We can therefore choose any $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ that are large enough so that the instanton at these points is outside the field. Interestingly, note that, by using (104), we obtain the transverse width $d_{\perp}$ from instantons with $p_{\perp}=0$; i.e. we do not need to find any instantons with $p_{\perp} \neq 0$ for this. From (91), (92), and (104) we see that $d_{\perp} \propto \sqrt{E}$.

The results are shown in Fig. 5. We see that both the exponent in Fig. 4 and $d_{\perp}$ in Fig. 5 are, for $\gamma_{\omega} \gtrsim 1$, quite insensitive to $\gamma_{k}$. As for the exponent, in the $\gamma_{k} \rightarrow 0$ limit we find agreement with the analytical result [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\perp}^{-2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{E} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_{\omega}^{2}}} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IX. LONGITUDINAL MOMENTUM INTEGRAL FOR SYMMETRIC FIELDS

For a space-independent field we would have a delta function $\delta\left(p_{3}+p_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, which we do not have for the spacetime dependent fields we now consider. However, for symmetric fields we still have a saddle point at $p_{3}+p_{3}^{\prime}=0$. We therefore change variables from

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{3}=-P+\frac{\Delta p}{2}, \quad p_{3}^{\prime}=P+\frac{\Delta p}{2} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

to $P$ and $\Delta p$. It follows from the symmetry that there is a saddle point at $\Delta p=0$, regardless of the value of $P$.

## A. The component with trivial saddle point

To obtain the Gaussian width $d_{\Delta}$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(\Delta p) \propto \exp \left\{-\frac{\Delta p^{2}}{d_{\Delta}^{2}}\right\}, \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

we start with the exponent expressed as in (3), where all the integration variables have been replaced by their saddlepoint values. Since the partial derivatives of the exponent with respect to the integration variables vanish at the saddle point, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial p_{3}}=-2 \operatorname{Re} i\left[z\left(u_{1}\right)+\frac{p_{3}}{p_{0}} t\left(u_{1}\right)\right] \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial p_{3}^{\prime}}=-2 \operatorname{Re} i\left[z\left(u_{0}\right)+\frac{p_{3}^{\prime}}{p_{0}^{\prime}} t\left(u_{0}\right)\right] \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the width is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Delta}^{-2}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}(\Delta p=0) \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain it by expanding (108) and (109) to linear order in $\Delta p$. So, we only need the first-order variation of the instanton,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\mu}(u) \rightarrow q_{\mu}(u)+\Delta p \delta q_{\mu}(u) \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (67) and (68) that
$-t^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=t^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=p_{0}, \quad z^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=P$,
$\delta t^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\delta t^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=-\frac{P}{2 p_{0}}, \quad \delta z^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=-\delta z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$,
where $p_{0}=\sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+P^{2}}$. The expansion of the Lorentz force equation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta t^{\prime \prime}=\nabla E \cdot\{\delta t, \delta z\} z^{\prime}+E \delta z^{\prime}, \\
& \delta z^{\prime \prime}=\nabla E \cdot\{\delta t, \delta z\} t^{\prime}+E \delta t^{\prime} \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

From (113) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{\Delta}^{-2} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}(\Delta p=0) \\
& =\left.\operatorname{Re} i\left\{-\frac{m_{\perp}^{2}}{2 p_{0}^{3}} t+\frac{P}{p_{0}} \delta t-\delta z\right\}\right|_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 6. $d_{\Delta}\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$ (without the overall factor of $\sqrt{E}$ ) for different values of $\gamma_{\omega}$, calculated using (116) or (115). Here $d_{\Delta}=\gamma_{k} \hat{d}_{\Delta}$.

We can express this in terms of $\eta=t^{\prime} \delta z-z^{\prime} \delta t$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Delta}^{-2}=\left.\operatorname{Re} \frac{i m_{\perp}^{2}}{2 p_{0}^{2}}\left(\eta_{a}-\frac{t}{p_{0}}\right)\right|_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=-\frac{m_{\perp}^{2}}{2 p_{0}} \eta_{a} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution to (56) with $\nu=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\eta_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=1 \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\eta_{a}$ is therefore antisymmetric in $u$. When rescaling as in (91) and (92), we see from (118) that $\eta_{a} \propto 1 / E$, and so from (116) it follows that $d_{\Delta} \propto \sqrt{E}$.

The results are shown in Fig. 6. In contrast to Figs. 4 and 5, Fig. 6 shows that $d_{\Delta}$ is much more sensitive to the value of $\gamma_{k}$.

## B. The component with nontrivial saddle point

Now that the $\Delta p$ integral has been performed we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{3}=-P, \quad p_{3}^{\prime}=P . \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the width in $P$ we can use the same method as for $\Delta p$. We write $P \rightarrow P+\delta P$, where afterwards $P$ now
denotes the saddle-point value, which is nontrivial, and $\delta P$ is the new integration variable. We again expand the instanton to linear order

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\mu}(u) \rightarrow q_{\mu}(u)+\delta P \delta q_{\mu}(u) \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations of motion for $\delta q_{\mu}$ are the same, i.e. (114), and we can still use (108) and (109). The difference is the boundary/initial conditions,
$\delta z^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\delta z^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=1, \quad-\delta t^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\delta t^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=\frac{P}{p_{0}}$.

So this time $\delta t$ is symmetric, while $\delta z$ is antisymmetric.
The saddle point for $P$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{\prime}(P)=-4 \operatorname{Re} i\left[\frac{P}{p_{0}} t\left(u_{1}\right)-z\left(u_{1}\right)\right] \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the symmetry to set $t\left(u_{0}\right)=t\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $z\left(u_{0}\right)=-z\left(u_{1}\right)$. This is independent of $u_{1}$ (as long as it is chosen sufficiently large), which follows from the asymptotic values of $t^{\prime}$ and $z^{\prime}$.
$P$ for the spacetime Sauter pulse is plotted in Fig. 7. We see that $P$ decreases as $\gamma_{k}$ grows, since the pairs are more likely to be produced with smaller momenta when the size of the field gets smaller in the $z$ direction.

Using the asymptotic boundary conditions in (121) we find
$d_{P}^{-2}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}(\delta P=0)=-\left.2 \operatorname{Re} i\left\{\frac{m_{\perp}^{2}}{p_{0}^{3}} t+\frac{P}{p_{0}} \delta t-\delta z\right\}\right|_{u \rightarrow \infty}$.

This too can be written in terms of $\eta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{P}^{-2}=\left.\operatorname{Re} \frac{2 i m_{\perp}^{2}}{p_{0}^{2}}\left(\eta_{s}-\frac{t}{p_{0}}\right)\right|_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 7. Normalized saddle-point value of $P$ for fixed $\gamma_{\omega}$ as function of $\gamma_{k}$. We always have $P(0)=1 / \gamma_{\omega}$.


FIG. 8. $\quad d_{P}\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$ (without the overall factor of $\sqrt{E}$ ) for different values of $\gamma_{\omega}$, calculated using (124) or (123). The $\gamma_{k} \rightarrow 0$ limit agrees with the known analytical result (127).
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{m_{\perp}^{2}}{p_{0}} \eta_{s} \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution to (56) with $\nu=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\eta_{s}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\eta_{s}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=1 \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\eta_{s}$ is therefore symmetric in $u$. When rescaling as in (91) and (92), we see from (126) that $\eta_{s} \propto 1 / E$, and so from (124) it follows that $d_{P} \propto \sqrt{E}$.

The results for $d_{P}$ are shown in Fig. 8. As for the exponent and $d_{\perp}$, in the $\gamma_{k} \rightarrow 0$ limit we find agreement with the analytical result [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{P}^{-2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{E} \frac{\pi \gamma_{\omega}^{2}}{\left(1+\gamma_{\omega}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

## C. $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ solutions

Note that we have now expressed the contribution from the functional determinant [see (59)], $d_{\Delta}$ (116) and $d_{P}$ (124) in terms of solutions of (56) with $\nu=0$. The difference between these three contributions is the initial/ boundary conditions in (60), (118), and (126). Since there
are only two linearly independent solutions to (56), we can write e.g. the $\eta$ solution with (60) as a superposition of the symmetric and antisymmetric solutions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(u)=c_{a} \eta_{a}(u)+c_{s} \eta_{s}(u) . \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (60), (118), and (126) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{a}=c_{s}=\frac{1}{\eta_{s}\left(u_{1}\right)-\eta_{a}\left(u_{1}\right)} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that we can write the contribution from the functional determinant in terms of the same combinations that appear in (116) and (124) for $d_{\Delta}$ and $d_{P}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(u_{1}\right)=\left.2\left[\left(\eta_{s}-\frac{t}{p_{0}}\right)-\left(\eta_{a}-\frac{t}{p_{0}}\right)\right]^{-1}\right|_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, once we have found one solution to (56) ( $\eta_{a}$ say), then the other one $\left(\eta_{s}\right)$ can be obtained using Abel's identity, as explained in the Appendix.

## X. THE $\boldsymbol{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ LIMIT

In this section we will consider the limit where the field depends very slowly on $z$, i.e. $k \rightarrow 0$. In some contributions to the probability we can simply set $k=0$. However, for a space-independent field we would have a delta function in $\delta\left(p_{3}+p_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, so we expect that the Gaussian width for $\Delta p$ should become increasingly narrow, i.e. $d_{\Delta} \rightarrow 0$. We also find that $h \rightarrow 0$ [the contribution from the path integral; see (86)]. Thus for $d_{\Delta}$ and $h$ we have to derive nontrivial $k \ll 1$ approximations in order to obtain the probability to leading order in $k \ll 1$.

We have found that we can obtain such approximations by making a power-series expansion in $k^{2}$. We obtain $h$ from (56) with $\nu=0$ going up to next-to-leading order of the expansion of $\eta$ in $k^{2}$. The limit of $d_{\Delta}$ follows immediately after we have found $h$. To obtain this we first need to find the first two terms in the $k^{2}$ expansion of the instanton.

## A. Instanton

It turns out that the instanton can be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\mu} \approx q_{(0)}^{\mu}+k^{2} q_{(1)}^{\mu} \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

To zeroth order we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{(0)}^{\prime}= \pm \sqrt{m_{\perp}^{2}+A_{(0)}^{2}}, \quad z_{(0)}^{\prime}=A_{(0)} \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{(0)}\left(t_{(0)}\right)=A(k \rightarrow 0)$. For a Sauter pulse we have $A_{(0)}\left(t_{(0)}\right)=(E / \omega) \tanh \left(\omega t_{(0)}\right)$. This gives an implicit equation for $t_{(0)}^{\prime}$ in terms of $t_{(0)}$, but at the turning point
$t_{(0)}^{\prime}(0)=0$ we find an explicit expression for $t_{(0)}(0)$. Setting $p_{\perp}=0$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{(0)}(0)=\frac{i}{\omega} \arctan \left(\gamma_{\omega}\right) \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees with (101) after the rescaling in (91). The asymptotic momentum of this is fixed, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=z_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\gamma_{\omega}} \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

so for this to be consistent with (112) we see that the saddle-point value of the longitudinal momentum has to scale as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \approx \frac{1}{\gamma_{\omega}}-c k^{2} \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \ll 1$, and where $c$ is a constant. The leading order, $1 / \gamma_{\omega}$, agrees with the numerical results in Fig. 7. Thus, the boundary conditions for the next-to-leading order is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{(1)}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=z_{(1)}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=-c \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equations of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{(1)}^{\prime \prime}=E_{(0)} z_{(1)}^{\prime}+\left(E_{(0)}^{\prime} t_{(1)}-\zeta E_{(0)} z_{(0)}^{2}\right) z_{(0)}^{\prime} \\
& z_{(1)}^{\prime \prime}=E_{(0)} t_{(1)}^{\prime}+\left(E_{(0)}^{\prime} t_{(1)}-\zeta E_{(0)} z_{(0)}^{2}\right) t_{(0)}^{\prime}, \tag{137}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{(0)}\left(t_{(0)}\right)=E(k \rightarrow 0), E_{(0)}^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} E_{(0)} / \mathrm{d} t$, and $\zeta$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t, z)=E_{(0)}(t)\left(1-\zeta(k z)^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left([k z]^{4}\right)\right) \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a Sauter pulse we have $E_{(0)}(t)=E \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\omega t_{(0)}\right)$ and $\zeta=1$. Similar to what we did in Sec. VII, we can actually solve for $q_{(1)}$ without knowing the constant $c$ in (136). We do this by setting the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{(1)}(0)=z_{(1)}^{\prime}(0)=t_{(1)}^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

and vary the purely imaginary value $t(0)$ until

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} z_{(1)}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

After we have found the solution, we can find $c$ by simply evaluating $c=-z_{(1)}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)$.

## B. Functional determinant and $\boldsymbol{d}_{\Delta}$

To obtain the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit of the Gelfand-Yaglom determinant (59) we perform a Taylor expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(u) \rightarrow \eta_{(0)}+k^{2} \eta_{(1)} \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observe that the equations for these two terms are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{(0)}^{\prime \prime}= & \left(E_{(0)}^{2}\left(t_{(0)}\right)+E_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(t_{(0)}\right) z_{(0)}^{\prime}\right) \eta_{(0)} \\
\eta_{(1)}^{\prime \prime}= & \left(E_{(0)}^{2}\left(t_{(0)}\right)+z_{(0)}^{\prime} E_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(t_{(0)}\right)\right) \eta_{(1)} \\
& +\left(2 E_{(0)}\left(t_{(0)}\right)\left[E_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(t_{(0)}\right) t_{(1)}-\zeta E_{(0)}\left(t_{(0)}\right) z_{(0)}^{2}\right]\right. \\
& -2 \zeta z_{(0)} E_{(0)}\left(t_{(0)}\right) t_{(0)}^{\prime}+E_{(0)}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{(0)}\right) t_{(1)} z_{(0)}^{\prime} \\
& \left.+E_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(t_{(0)}\right) z_{(1)}^{\prime}-\zeta z_{(0)}^{2} E_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(t_{(0)}\right) z_{(0)}^{\prime}\right) \eta_{(0)} . \tag{142}
\end{align*}
$$

With the initial conditions (60) we immediately find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{(0)}(u)=-\frac{t_{(0)}^{\prime}}{p_{0}} \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\eta_{(1)}$ we solve (142) with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{(1)}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=\eta_{(1)}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=0 \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\eta_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)=0$, the limit $k \rightarrow 0$ of $h\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)$ is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right) \approx k^{2} \eta_{(1)}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right) \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for $d_{\Delta}$, the simplest way to obtain it is from (116) using (130). Since $d_{P}$ is finite when $k \rightarrow 0$ we simply have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Delta}^{-2}=\operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma_{\omega}^{2}}\right) h\left(\tilde{u}_{1}\right)} \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we see that $d_{\Delta} \propto k$ indeed goes to zero as $k \rightarrow 0$.

## C. Total prefactor

In summary, in the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit we have $d_{\Delta}=k \hat{d}_{\Delta}$ and $h=k^{2} \hat{h}$, so the total prefactor scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(p) \propto \frac{1}{2 \pi k^{2} \hat{h}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\Delta p^{2}}{k^{2} \hat{d}_{\Delta}^{2}}\right\} \rightarrow \frac{\hat{d}_{\Delta}}{2 \sqrt{\pi} k \hat{h}} \delta(\Delta p) \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

This factor would be $V_{z} \delta(\Delta p)$ had we started with $k=0$, where $V_{z}$ is a volume factor. Here we find a regularized volume factor proportional to $1 / k$.

We plot the normalized probability without the factor of $1 / k$ by dividing by the leading order contribution as $\gamma_{k} \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pref}_{0}(k):=\lim _{k \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Pref}(k) \propto \frac{1}{k} \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results for the Sauter pulse are shown in Fig. 9. The plot also demonstrates perfect agreement with the results


FIG. 9. Prefactor $\operatorname{Pref}\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$ (without the overall factor of $E$ ) for different values of $\gamma_{\omega}$. We have divided by either $1 / \gamma_{k}$ or $\operatorname{Pref}_{0}\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$ to remove the $1 / k$ scaling. The dots are obtained with the code in $[17,18]$. The number of points used for the discrete instantons varies depending on the $\gamma_{\omega}$ value from $N=2000$ for $\gamma_{\omega}=2$ to $N=4000$ for $\gamma_{\omega}=\frac{1}{4}$.
obtained with the instanton code from [17,18], which deals with closed instantons for the imaginary part of the effective action. This prefactor is the product of several different contributions in our new approach, it is in particular a product of the widths of the spectrum, so this agreement is not just a check for the integrated probability but also for the spectrum, which one cannot obtain with the closed-instanton approach.

## XI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we developed a method that makes use of worldline instantons with open lines to obtain the pairproduction spectrum in the presence of a background field which depends not only on time, as in previous works, but also on one space coordinate. To do so we made use of the LSZ reduction formula with free asymptotic states and the internal propagator expressed in its worldline representation with a particle path integral. From the spectrum, we showed how the maximum changes with the field shape. In particular, as one might expect, when the spatial extension of the field gets smaller, it is more likely to produce particles with smaller momenta. Since the field depends on one spatial dimension, the momentum is not conserved
along that direction. Nonetheless, the spectrum is symmetric under electron/positron exchange.

From the integrated spectrum we could also obtain the total probability, finding perfect agreement with results obtained using the discrete-instanton code from [17,18].

This method should also work for fields which depend on more spatial directions and have magnetic components. For example, an interesting and more realistic field is the $e$-dipole pulse [19], which is a solution to Maxwell's field equations localized in all four spacetime coordinates. This field was considered in [18] using the closed-instanton approach to obtain the total probability. Now with our new, open-instanton approach we could also study the corresponding momentum spectrum or the spin dependence.

We showed in [24] how to use open instantons for nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair production and nonlinear Compton scattering in a time-dependent field. We expect that these methods can also be used for such processes in spacetime dependent fields.

The instanton and the usual WKB methods should give equivalent results for the semiclassical approximation, and in the 1D cases where it has been possible to use both this has been confirmed. However, while WKB methods are more well known, and usually easier to use for 1D problems, the instanton approach seems more promising when going beyond 1D fields.
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## APPENDIX: ABEL'S IDENTITY

In this appendix we will explain how to obtain e.g. $\eta_{s}$ from $\eta_{a}$ using Abel's identity. In this case it says that, since there is no $\eta^{\prime}$ term in (56), the Wronskian is constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\eta_{s} \eta_{a}^{\prime}-\eta_{s}^{\prime} \eta_{a}=\mathrm{const}, \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved for $\eta_{s}$ in terms of $\eta_{a}$. For $u<0$ we can write the solution as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{s}(u)=\eta_{a}(u)\left(\frac{W}{\eta_{a}\left(u_{0}\right)}-1-W \int_{u_{0}}^{u} \frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\eta_{a}^{2}(v)}\right) \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The limit of (A2) as $u \rightarrow 0$ from $u<0$ remains finite despite the pole in the integrand due to $\eta_{a} \rightarrow 0$. However, we cannot directly evaluate (A2) for $u>0$, since then the
integral would go over the pole [and the overall factor of $\left.\eta_{a}(u) \neq 0\right]$. In principle this is not a big problem since $\eta_{s}$ for $u>0$ follows from the symmetry $\eta_{s}(u)=\eta_{s}(-u)$. But it is nevertheless useful to rewrite (A2) by making a partial integration as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{s}(u)=\frac{W}{\eta_{a}^{\prime}(u)}+\eta_{a}(u)\left(-1+W \int_{u_{0}}^{u} \mathrm{~d} v \frac{\eta_{a}^{\prime \prime}}{\eta_{a} \eta_{a}^{\prime 2}}\right) \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integrand no longer has a pole. We can find $W$ by demanding that $\eta_{s}^{\prime}(0)=0\left(\eta_{s}^{\prime}\right.$ is antisymmetric). We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{W}=\int_{u_{0}}^{0} \mathrm{~d} v \frac{\eta_{a}^{\prime \prime}}{\eta_{a} \eta_{a}^{\prime 2}} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this we can now write $\eta_{s}$ in a manifestly symmetric form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{s}(u)=W\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{a}^{\prime}(u)}+\eta_{a}(u) \int_{0}^{u} \mathrm{~d} v \frac{\eta_{a}^{\prime \prime}}{\eta_{a} \eta_{a}^{\prime 2}}\right) \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, at the end we actually only need $\eta_{s}\left(u_{1}\right)$ (we use $u_{0}=-u_{1}$ ), which we obtain most easily by going back to (A2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{s}\left(u_{1}\right)=\eta_{s}\left(u_{0}\right)=W+\eta_{a}\left(u_{1}\right) \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for the functional determinant we have
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$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(u_{1}\right)=\frac{2}{W} . \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have singled out $\eta_{a}$ as the solution in terms of which the other solutions are expressed. This is motivated by the fact that for $k \rightarrow 0$ it has a simple form. But for numerical purposes it might be more convenient to instead use a solution that is fixed by the value of $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ at one point, e.g. as in (60), rather than at two points as in (118), because with (60) we only have to solve (56) once, while if we find the solution with (118) by varying $\eta^{\prime}(0)$ we would have to solve (56) several times until we found the value of $\eta^{\prime}(0)$ that gives the solution. Thus, for numerical purposes it can be faster to write $\eta_{a}$ as a superposition of two solutions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{a}(u)=-\frac{\eta_{2}\left(u_{1}\right)}{1+\eta_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)} \eta_{1}(u)+\eta_{2}(u) \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{1}$ has initial conditions as in (60) while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{2}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=0, \quad \eta_{2}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)=1 \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for the cases we consider here, Eq. (56) is solved quickly regardless of which approach we use.
[10] G. V. Dunne and C. Schubert, Worldline instantons and pair production in inhomogeneous fields, Phys. Rev. D 72, 105004 (2005).
[11] G. V. Dunne, Q.h. Wang, H. Gies, and C. Schubert, Worldline instantons. II. The fluctuation prefactor, Phys. Rev. D 73, 065028 (2006).
[12] C. Kohlfürst, N. Ahmadiniaz, J. Oertel, and R. Schützhold, Sauter-Schwinger Effect for Colliding Laser Pulses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 241801 (2022).
[13] I. K. Affleck, O. Alvarez, and N. S. Manton, Pair production at strong coupling in weak external fields, Nucl. Phys. B197, 509 (1982).
[14] G. V. Dunne and Q. h. Wang, Multidimensional worldline instantons, Phys. Rev. D 74, 065015 (2006).
[15] C. K. Dumlu and G. V. Dunne, Complex worldline instantons and quantum interference in vacuum pair production, Phys. Rev. D 84, 125023 (2011).
[16] O. Gould and A. Rajantie, Thermal Schwinger pair production at arbitrary coupling, Phys. Rev. D 96, 076002 (2017).
[17] G. Torgrimsson, C. Schneider, and R. Schützhold, SauterSchwinger pair creation dynamically assisted by a plane wave, Phys. Rev. D 97, 096004 (2018).
[18] C. Schneider, G. Torgrimsson, and R. Schützhold, Discrete worldline instantons, Phys. Rev. D 98, 085009 (2018).
[19] I. Gonoskov, A. Aiello, S. Heugel, and G. Leuchs, Dipole pulse theory: Maximizing the field amplitude from $4 \pi$ focused laser pulses, Phys. Rev. A 86, 053836 (2012).
[20] A. Gonoskov, I. Gonoskov, C. Harvey, A. Ilderton, A. Kim, M. Marklund, G. Mourou, and A. M. Sergeev, Probing Nonperturbative QED with Optimally Focused Laser Pulses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 060404 (2013).
[21] R. P. Feynman, Space-time approach to nonrelativistic quantum mechanics, Rev. Mod. Phys. 20, 367 (1948).
[22] R. P. Feynman, Mathematical formulation of the quantum theory of electromagnetic interaction, Phys. Rev. 80, 440 (1950).
[23] R. P. Feynman, An operator calculus having applications in quantum electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. 84, 108 (1951).
[24] G. Degli Esposti and G. Torgrimsson, Worldline instantons for nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair production and Compton scattering, Phys. Rev. D 105, 096036 (2022).
[25] A. O. Barut and I. H. Duru, Pair production in an electric field in a time dependent gauge, Phys. Rev. D 41, 1312 (1990).
[26] K. Rajeev, Lorentzian worldline path integral approach to Schwinger effect, Phys. Rev. D 104, 105014 (2021).
[27] M. J. Strassler, Field theory without Feynman diagrams: One loop effective actions, Nucl. Phys. B385, 145 (1992).
[28] C. Schubert, Perturbative quantum field theory in the stringinspired formalism, Phys. Rep. 355, 73 (2001).
[29] J. P. Edwards and C. Schubert, Quantum mechanical path integrals in the first quantised approach to quantum field theory, arXiv:1912.10004.
[30] E. S. Fradkin and D. M. Gitman, Path integral representation for the relativistic particle propagators and BFV quantization, Phys. Rev. D 44, 3230 (1991).
[31] H. Gies and J. Hammerling, Geometry of spin-field coupling on the worldline, Phys. Rev. D 72, 065018 (2005).
[32] N. Ahmadiniaz, V. M. Banda Guzmán, F. Bastianelli, O. Corradini, J. P. Edwards, and C. Schubert, Worldline master formulas for the dressed electron propagator. Part I. Offshell amplitudes, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2020) 049.
[33] O. Corradini and G. Degli Esposti, Dressed Dirac propagator from a locally supersymmetric $N=1$ spinning particle, Nucl. Phys. B970, 115498 (2021).
[34] N. Ahmadiniaz, V. M. B. Guzman, F. Bastianelli, O. Corradini, J. P. Edwards, and C. Schubert, Worldline master formulas for the dressed electron propagator. Part 2. Onshell amplitudes, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2022) 050.
[35] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
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    ${ }^{1}$ We use units with $c=\hbar=m_{e}=1$ and absorb $e E \rightarrow E$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Progress has been made, though, in the past couple of years, and $[5,6]$ have now shown how to numerically obtain the spectrum for fields that depend on $t$ and $z$ and with components in the $x-y$ plane, with one important example being the field of two head-on colliding plane waves.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Discretized instantons were also used in [16] for pair production by a combination of a constant electric field and a thermal background.
    ${ }^{4}$ If one does not perform that $\mathrm{d}^{4} x$ integral in the LCFA with the saddle-point method, then in principle the LCFA contains physics beyond the weak-field limit, which would be relevant if one approaches the Schwinger limit.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Different representations can be found in [30-34].
    ${ }^{6}$ This version of the LSZ formula appears in textbooks such as [35] as an intermediate step in the derivation of another, perhaps more familiar version, which has a $\mathrm{d}^{4} x$ integral. The version in (3) has also been used for a constant electric field in [25].

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ We used $\delta q$ for the path integration variable.

