Physics Letters B 802 (2020) 135264

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

High-precision four-loop mass and wave function renormalization in OED

Stefano Laporta

Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova, Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 January 2020 Received in revised form 27 January 2020 Accepted 28 January 2020 Available online 31 January 2020 Editor: G.F. Giudice

Keywords: Quantum electrodynamics Quantum chromodynamics Renormalization Feynman diagram Master integral

ABSTRACT

The 4-loop QED mass and wave function renormalization constants Z_2 and Z_m have been evaluated in the on-shell subtraction scheme with 1100 digits of precision. We also worked out the coefficients of the five color structures of the QCD renormalization constants Z_2^{OS} and Z_m^{OS} which can be obtained from QED-like diagrams. The results agree with lower precision results available in the literature. Analytical fits were also obtained for all these quantities.

© 2020 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

The 4-loop QED contribution to the electron g-2 was calculated with 1100 digits of precision in Ref. [1]. In that paper high-precision numerical and analytical fits were also obtained for all the master integrals of the 4-loop self-mass QED diagrams. Therefore, other 4-loop quantities that can be expressed in terms of the same master integrals can be known with such precision. In Ref. [2] we used these results to obtain a high-precision value and an analytical fit of the 4-loop first derivative of the Dirac form factor $F'_1(0)$.

In this third paper, following the same approach as in Ref. [1,2], we calculate high-precision numerical values and analytical expression of the 4-loop QED wave function and mass renormalization constants Z_2 and Z_m , in the on-shell subtraction scheme. We infer also the values of the coefficients of five color structures of the QCD renormalization constants Z_2^{OS} and Z_m^{OS} which can be obtained from the QED result.

2. Definitions

The wave function renormalization constant Z_2 is defined as $\psi_0 = \sqrt{Z_2}\psi$, where ψ_0 and ψ are the bare and the renormalized electron field, respectively. The mass renormalization constant Z_m is defined as $m_0 = Z_m m$, where m_0 and m are the bare and the physical electron mass, respectively. Two-loop QED and QCD analytical results for on-shell Z_2 and Z_m were obtained in Ref. [3]; three-loop analytical results were obtained in Refs. [4,5].

In QCD $m_0 = Z_m^{OS} m^{OS} = Z_m^{\overline{MS}} m^{\overline{MS}}$. Being $Z_m^{\overline{MS}}$ known to sufficiently high degree of perturbative expansion [6–8], the ratio $m^{OS}/m^{\overline{MS}}$ can be used to determine the on-shell Z_m^{OS} ; the ratio $m^{OS}/m^{\overline{MS}}$ is known at two loops analytically [9], and at three loops it was obtained in numerical form in Ref. [10,11] and in analytical form in Ref. [5,12].

Four-loop QED and QCD numerical results of Z_2 were obtained in Ref. [13–15]; the four-loop numerical result of Z_m can be worked out from the QCD results for z_m and Z_m^{OS} of Ref. [13,16,17]; see also Ref. [18–20]. Both results have a precision of a few digits; see section 3.2 for more details.

0370-2693/© 2020 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.







E-mail address: stefano.laporta@pd.infn.it.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135264



Fig. 1. A selection of some of 4-loop self-mass diagrams, with the indication of the part of Eq. (2) to which the diagram contributes. The numbering of the diagrams follows Ref. [1,2].

 Z_2 and Z_m are gauge parameter independent (for Z_2 see Ref. [21–23]); in order to simplify the calculations, we choose the Feynman gauge.

We expand Z_2 and Z_m in power series of the bare coupling constant α_0 :

$$Z_2 = 1 + \sum_i \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{\pi}\right)^i \left(\Gamma(1+\epsilon)(4\pi)^\epsilon m^{-2\epsilon}\right)^i Z_2^{(i)}, \qquad Z_m = 1 + \sum_i \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{\pi}\right)^i \left(\Gamma(1+\epsilon)(4\pi)^\epsilon m^{-2\epsilon}\right)^i Z_m^{(i)}. \tag{1}$$

We decompose the 4-loop coefficients $Z_2^{(4)}$ and $Z_m^{(4)}$ by considering QED with n_h different leptons of mass m; in this way we separate the contributions coming from diagrams with different number of insertions of vacuum polarizations and light-light scattering diagrams. We use the notation n_h in order to adapt to the usual QCD convention of calling the number of massive fermions n_h and the number of massless fermions n_l . In this paper we do not consider diagrams with massless leptons. Therefore

$$Z_{2}^{(4)}(n_{h}) = Z_{2}^{(4,0)} + n_{h}Z_{2}^{(4,1)} + n_{h}^{2}Z_{2}^{(4,2)} + n_{h}^{3}Z_{2}^{(4,3)} + n_{h}Z_{2}^{(4,l-l)} ,$$

$$Z_{m}^{(4)}(n_{h}) = Z_{m}^{(4,0)} + n_{h}Z_{m}^{(4,1)} + n_{h}^{2}Z_{m}^{(4,2)} + n_{h}^{3}Z_{m}^{(4,3)} + n_{h}Z_{m}^{(4,l-l)} .$$
(2)

Clearly

$$Z_2^{(4)}(n_h = 1) = Z_2^{(4)}, \qquad Z_m^{(4)}(n_h = 1) = Z_m^{(4)}.$$
 (3)

In Fig. 1 we show a selection of some of the 4-loop self-mass diagrams, with the indication of the part of Eq. (2) to which the diagram contributes. The complete set of 104 diagrams is shown in Ref. [1,2].

2.1. Method

We briefly describe here the method used to obtain our results. At 4-loop level there are 104 QED self-mass diagrams. The contribution to $Z_2^{(4)}$ and $Z_m^{(4)}$ from each self-mass diagram is extracted by using projectors and taking traces with a FORM [24,25] program; it is a linear combination of Feynman integrals. Then, for each self-mass diagram a system of integration-by-parts (I.B.P.) identities [26,27] is build and solved [28] in order to reduce the Feynman integrals to linear combinations of master integrals. We used the systems of I.B.P. identities generated in Ref. [1] for the 4-loop *g*-2. Counterterms were added where needed, excluding vacuum polarizations, since we expand in the bare coupling constant α_0 . The renormalization constants are reduced to linear combinations of the 4-loop *g*-2 master integrals:

$$Z_{2}^{(4)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{2,i}(\epsilon) M_{i}(\epsilon) , \qquad \qquad Z_{m}^{(4)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{m,i}(\epsilon) M_{i}(\epsilon) , \qquad (4)$$

where $C_{2,i}$ and $C_{m,i}$ are rational functions in ϵ , N = 334. We use the numerical values and analytical fits of the master integrals M_i worked out in Ref. [1]. As a simple consistency check, we extracted $Z_1^{(4)}$ from the 891 4-loop vertex diagrams, and we checked numerically and analytically the Ward identity $Z_1^{(4)} = Z_2^{(4)}$.

3. Results

3.1. Numerical results

By substituting the numerical values of $M_i(\epsilon)$ in Eq. (4), we have obtained 1100-digits numerical values for $Z_2^{(4,x)}$ and $Z_m^{(4,x)}$. We show here results truncated to 40 digits for the sake of space. Full-precision results are available from the author. The numerical value of the coefficients of the powers of n_h in Eq. (2) are:

$Z_2^{(4,0)} = 0.01318359375\epsilon^{-4} + 0.08349609375\epsilon^{-3} - 0.1261401703408252765467615072316270440815\epsilon^{-2}$	
$-2.218829553807290472156162364826322487455\epsilon^{-1}$	
$-3.572910387812835300654933535440420039948 + O(\epsilon)$,	(5)
$Z_2^{(4,1)} = 0.0703125\epsilon^{-4} + 0.255859375\epsilon^{-3} - 0.8863236793443281079737642171893946198198\epsilon^{-2}$	
$- 4.529505177334142374400047352337054775442 \epsilon^{-1}$	
$-3.084249643446330546559819112760212296306 + O\left(\epsilon ight)$,	(6)
$Z_2^{(4,2)} = 0.09375\epsilon^{-4} + 0.2109375\epsilon^{-3} - 0.6375168808614659083936734252065216879251\epsilon^{-2}$	
$-\ 1.118089921229380504506879422676331183925\epsilon^{-1}$	
$-6.170238285159180066980183430726643994320 + O(\epsilon)$,	(7)
$Z_2^{(4,3)} = 0.0277777777777777777777777777777777777$	
+0.047453703703703703703703703703703703703703703	
$+0.08219673321229348679616766668042765163181\epsilon^{-2}$	
$+0.1653060637464923649096813755300430397436\epsilon^{-1}$	
$+ 0.2373760011149585346199605381719332090858 + O(\epsilon)$,	(8)
$Z_2^{(4,l-l)} = -0.125\epsilon^{-1} + 0.1053076031438024383339691487092823499443 + O(\epsilon) ,$	(9)
$Z_m^{(4,0)} = 0.01318359375\epsilon^{-4} + 0.05712890625\epsilon^{-3} + 0.2149248550926856846841693020449834317223\epsilon^{-2}$	
$-0.6311216133387257157783705667342740710866\epsilon^{-1}$	
$- 6.640775996670789293945443649244052753483 + O\left(\epsilon ight)$,	(10)
$Z_m^{(4,1)} = 0.03515625\epsilon^{-4} + 0.0171875\epsilon^{-3} + 0.2255475542195506536638492553197210259614\epsilon^{-2}$	
$-3.655124674567472080082766471095472176020\epsilon^{-1}$	
$-1.052445900250388864170227694635348345572 + O(\epsilon)$,	(11)
$Z_m^{(4,2)} = 0.028645833333333333333333333333333333333333$	
$+0.02125506230176173571324393225701890093679\epsilon^{-2}$	
$-1.803410367796313396577956494832032506831\epsilon^{-1}$	
$+ 1.933766152908452159688148893799688168422 + O(\epsilon)$,	(12)
$Z_m^{(4,3)} = 0.00694444444444444444444444444444444444$	
+0.054398148148148148148148148148148148148148148	
$+0.002035866606146743398083833340213825815905\epsilon^{-2}$	
$+0.4351198769462674456781824350266903384281\epsilon^{-1}$	
$- 0.8481242413000520746932873847887178671417 + O(\epsilon)$,	(13)
$Z_m^{(4,l-l)} = 0.5009641733560598212811272632084921831710\epsilon^{-1}$	
$-3.947552272425901748117750000830748333155 + O(\epsilon)$.	(14)
From Eq. (3) we obtain the values of the renormalization constants:	
$Z_2^{(4)} = 0.2050238715277777777777777777777777777777777777$	
+0.597746672453703703703703703703703703703703703703703	
$-1.567783997334325806118031482947115700194\epsilon^{-2}$	
$-7.826118588624320986153407764309665407079\epsilon^{-1}$	

- 12.48471471215958494124100639204606077154 + 0(ϵ),

3

(15)

Table 1					
Comparison between o	our values of δZ_2	and the QED	and QCD	results of Ref.	[14].

	ϵ^{-4}	ϵ^{-3}	ϵ^{-2}	ϵ^{-1}	ϵ^0
$\delta Z_2^{(4)}$	0.205023871527777	0.597746672453703	-0.893282495748014	-6.188211339005751	-17.26913874640770
$\delta Z_2^{(4)}$ [14]	0.20500(37)	0.5980(27)	-0.895(21)	-6.18(17)	-17.4(16)
$\delta Z_{2}^{(4,0)}$	0.01318359375	0.08349609375	-0.082767885375100	-1.965268322191886	-4.036104196851619
δZ_2^{FFFF} [14]	0.01317(25)	0.0836(19)	-0.084(71)	-1.96(16)	-4.1(15)
$\delta Z_2^{(4,l-l)}$	0	0	0	-0.125	0.105307603143802
δZ_2^{dFFH} [14]	-0.00001(23)	0.0001(15)	-0.001(11)	-0.120(76)	0.10(50)
$\delta Z_{2}^{(4,1)}$	0.0703125	0.255859375	-0.655004826193796	-3.800454407485363	-5.953609261982407
δZ_2^{FFFH} [14]	0.070313(23)	0.255860(93)	-0.65497(55)	-3.8002(36)	-5.953(19)
$\delta Z_{2}^{(4,2)}$	0.09375	0.2109375	-0.329091743327423	-0.574390474672734	-7.996856441249995
δZ_2^{FFHH} [14]	0.0937498(14)	0.2109378(59)	-0.329095(35)	-0.57438(13)	-7.99681(79)
$\delta Z_{2}^{(4,3)}$	0.02777777777777777	0.047453703703703	0.173581959148306	0.276901865344232	0.612123550532518
δZ_2^{FHHH} [14]	0.0277778	0.047454	0.173582	0.276902	0.61212

 $+ 0.4552770543981481481481481481481481481481481481481\epsilon^{-3}$

 $+ 0.4637633382201448174593463229619371844364\epsilon^{-2}$

 $-5.153572605400183925479783834426596232339\epsilon^{-1}$

 $-10.55513225773867982123855983569917913093 + O(\epsilon)$. (16)

3.2. Comparisons

3.2.1. QED wave function renormalization constant

Now we compare our results Eqs. (5)-(10) and Eqs. (15)-(16) with the numerical results of Ref. [14]; In Ref. [14] Z₂ is written as:

$$Z_2 = 1 + \sum_i \left(\frac{\alpha_0(\mu)}{\pi}\right)^i \left((4\pi)^\epsilon e^{-\gamma\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right)^\epsilon\right)^i \delta Z_2^{(i)} \,. \tag{17}$$

The relation between $\delta Z_2^{(4)}$ and our $Z_2^{(4)}$ for $\mu = 1$ is

$$\delta Z_2^{(4)} = \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma\epsilon}}{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}\right)^4 Z_2^{(4)} \,. \tag{18}$$

In the first row of Table 1 we show our high-precision numerical values of the coefficients of the powers of ϵ of $\delta Z_2^{(4)}$, truncated to 15 digits for reason of space, and the corresponding values from Ref. [14]. They are in good agreement, the worst error being 0.1σ . In the next subsection we will need to decompose δZ_2 in terms with different n_h ,

$$\delta Z_2^{(4)} = \delta Z_2^{(4,0)} + n_h \delta Z_2^{(4,1)} + n_h^2 \delta Z_2^{(4,2)} + n_h^3 \delta Z_2^{(4,3)} + n_h \delta Z_2^{(4,l-l)};$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

our numerical values of $\delta Z_2^{(4)}$ are listed in Table 1; preliminar values were presented in Ref. [29].

3.2.2. QCD wave function renormalization constant We consider now the QCD renormalization constant Z_2^{QCD} in the OS renormalization scheme. In the notation of Ref. [14] Z_2^{QCD} is decomposed in 23 color structures:

$$\delta Z_2^{QCD} = C_F^4 \delta Z_2^{FFFF} + C_F^3 T n_h \delta Z_2^{FFFH} + C_F^2 T^2 n_h^2 \delta Z_2^{FFHH} + C_F T^3 n_h^3 \delta Z_2^{FHHH} + n_h \frac{d_F^{abcd} d_F^{abcd}}{N_c} \delta Z_2^{d_{FF}H} + \dots$$
(20)

The coefficients $Z_2^{(4,x)}$ of Eq. (19) must coincide with the corresponding coefficients of the color structures which can be obtained from QED-like diagrams:

$$\delta Z_2^{4,0} = \delta Z_2^{FFFF} , \quad \delta Z_2^{4,1} = \delta Z_2^{FFFH} , \quad \delta Z_2^{4,2} = \delta Z_2^{FFHH} , \qquad \delta Z_2^{4,3} = \delta Z_2^{FHHH} , \quad \delta Z_2^{4,l-l} = \delta Z_2^{d_{FF}H} . \tag{21}$$

In Table 1 we compare our high-precision results and the corresponding ones from Ref. [14]. They are in good agreement, the worst error being 0.08σ .

3.2.3. QCD mass renormalization constant

Now we consider the ratio between the QCD mass renormalization constants in the OS and $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme:

$$z_m(\mu) = \frac{Z_m^{\rm OS}}{Z_m^{\rm MS}} = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi}\right)^n z_m^{(n)}(\mu) ;$$
(22)

Using the notation of Ref. [17], z_m is decomposed in 23 color structures, and as above we can infer the coefficients of the five structures which involve only QED-like diagrams.

$$z_m^{(4)} = C_F^4 z_m^{FFFF} + C_F^3 T n_h z_m^{FFFH} + C_F^2 T^2 n_h^2 z_m^{FFHH} + C_F T^3 n_h^3 z_m^{FHHH} + n_h \frac{d_F^{abcd} d_F^{abcd}}{N_c} z_m^{d_FFH} + \dots$$
(23)

Our high-precision values are

$$\begin{split} z_m^{FFFF} &= -6.943004942063674366729783085730127607323 \,, \\ z_m^{FFFH} &= -1.364670155556599206268818327382565081078 \,, \\ z_m^{FFHH} &= 1.657513434712808758859954030175433075697 \,, \\ z_m^{FHHH} &= -0.1490239616711777449135125845999523299403 \,, \\ z_m^{d_{FF}H} &= -3.947552272425901748117750000830748333155 \,. \end{split}$$

The results of Ref. [17]

$$z_m^{FFFF} = -6.983(805) ,$$

$$z_m^{FFFH} = -1.3625(132) ,$$

$$z_m^{FFHH} = 1.65752(31) ,$$

$$z_m^{FHHH} = -0.14902 ,$$

$$z_m^{dFFH} = -3.924(642) ,$$
(25)

are in agreement with ours at the level of 0.16σ at worst.

3.3. Analytical fits

By substituting the analytical fits of $M_i(\epsilon)$ in Eq. (4), we have obtained the following analytical expressions:

$$\begin{split} Z_2^{(4)} &= \frac{3779}{18432\epsilon^4} + \frac{33053}{55296\epsilon^3} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{515315}{73728} - \frac{7205}{768} \zeta(2) - \frac{131}{64} \zeta(3) + \frac{131}{16} \zeta(2) \ln 2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{19571293}{663552} + \frac{154747}{2160} \zeta(2) - \frac{11521}{2304} \zeta(3) - \frac{29539}{192} \zeta(2) \ln 2 + \frac{3115}{64} \zeta(4) - \frac{215}{8} \zeta(2) \ln^2 2 - \frac{19}{4} t_4 - \frac{5}{64} \zeta(5) - \frac{21}{16} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{9565004502941}{87787929600} + \frac{1535743349}{691200} \zeta(2) + \frac{12128503957}{25401600} \zeta(3) + \frac{10500647}{17280} \zeta(2) \ln 2 \\ &- \frac{535034261}{1451520} \zeta(4) + \frac{5631023}{9072} \zeta(2) \ln^2 2 + \frac{7932313}{24} t_4 - \frac{2050259}{17280} \zeta(5) \\ &+ \frac{9266423}{8640} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) - \frac{609737}{480} \zeta(4) \ln 2 + \frac{1739}{24} \zeta(2) \ln^3 2 - \frac{2671}{30} t_5 - \frac{715229459}{62208} \zeta(6) \\ &- \frac{4006421}{11520} \zeta^2(3) + \frac{1780957}{240} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \ln 2 - \frac{4689809}{720} \zeta(4) \ln^2 2 - \frac{9674}{45} t_{61} + \frac{10276}{45} t_{62} + \frac{642767}{90} t_{63} \\ &+ \frac{1495323863}{580608} \zeta(7) - \frac{5775661427}{161280} \zeta(5) \zeta(2) - \frac{7455877}{10752} \zeta(4) \zeta(3) + \frac{2153119}{128} \zeta(6) \ln 2 \\ &- \frac{561331}{126} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \ln^2 2 - \frac{19454}{63} t_{71} + \frac{9144}{7} t_{72} + \frac{4306}{7} t_4 \zeta(3) + \frac{553037}{15} t_5 \zeta(2) + \frac{135039}{5} t_{73} \\ &+ \sqrt{3} \left(\frac{15489}{320} \text{Cl}_4 \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) - \frac{1311089}{960} \zeta(2) \text{Cl}_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) + \frac{3071}{60} v_{61} + \frac{2109}{50} v_{62} + \frac{7472227}{34320} v_{63} - \frac{8978057}{6480} v_{64} \right) \\ &+ \frac{5797}{16} v_{65} + \frac{115735}{96} \zeta(2) \text{Cl}_2^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) + 18v_{71} + 6v_{72} - 36\zeta(2) \text{Cl}_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right) - \frac{12606}{5} \zeta(2) \text{Cl}_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 \\ &+ \sqrt{3}\pi \left(-\frac{10163659}{230400} B_3 + \frac{224075873}{6220800} C_3 - \frac{11863}{7776} f_2(0, 0, 1) + \frac{56207}{23328} e_{51} - \frac{14615}{1728} e_{52} - \frac{45499}{20736} e_{61} \\ &+ \frac{30961}{41472} e_{62} \right) + \zeta(2) \left(-\frac{2354}{243} f_1(0, 0, 1) - \frac{30961}{3456} e_{53} - \frac{673}{162} e_{54} \right) \end{split}$$

(24)

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{507}{80} \zeta_{81a} - 26 \zeta_{81b} + \frac{11}{2} \zeta_{81c} - \frac{1057}{320} \zeta_{83a} + \frac{91}{24} \zeta_{83b} + \frac{7}{2} \zeta_{83c} + 0(\epsilon) , \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$Z_m^{(4)} &= \frac{1547}{18432\epsilon^4} + \frac{25175}{55296\epsilon^3} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{202951}{73728} - \frac{2737}{768} \zeta(2) - \frac{71}{128} \zeta(3) + \frac{119}{32} \zeta(2) \ln 2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{27750271}{1990656} + \frac{132763}{5760} \zeta(2) - \frac{1625}{768} \zeta(3) - \frac{10807}{192} \zeta(2) \ln 2 + \frac{6173}{384} \zeta(4) - \frac{553}{48} \zeta(2) \ln^2 2 + \frac{35}{24} t_4 - \frac{5}{8} \zeta(5) + \frac{21}{32} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \right) + \frac{1885204711}{23887872} + \frac{5804091169}{6220800} \zeta(2) + \frac{169571}{768} \zeta(3) + \frac{87397}{5760} \zeta(2) \ln 2 - \frac{1866527}{6912} \zeta(4) + \frac{74477}{288} \zeta(2) \ln^2 2 + \frac{62645}{144} t_4 - \frac{15459}{256} \zeta(5) + \frac{20351}{48} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) - \frac{33367}{64} \zeta(4) \ln 2 + \frac{357}{16} \zeta(2) \ln^3 2 + \frac{35}{4} t_5 - \frac{8229601}{1728} \zeta(6) - \frac{5605}{32} \zeta^2(3) + \frac{12297}{4} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \ln 2 - \frac{10975}{4} \zeta(4) \ln^2 2 + 3040 t_{63} - \frac{161}{128} \zeta(7) - \frac{27786101}{1920} \zeta(5) \zeta(2) - \frac{272627}{384} \zeta(4) \zeta(3) + \frac{252105}{32} \zeta(6) \ln 2 - \frac{3675}{25} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \ln^2 2 + \frac{74368}{5} t_5 \zeta(2) + \frac{53368}{55} t_{73} + \sqrt{3} \left(45Cl_4 \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{12757}{24} \zeta(2)Cl_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \frac{98}{5} v_{62} - \frac{4949}{9} v_{64} \right) + 180v_{65} + \frac{993}{2} \zeta(2)Cl_2^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - 1008\zeta(2)Cl_2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 + \sqrt{3}\pi \left(-\frac{754571}{46080} B_3 + \frac{17787301}{1244160} C_3 - \frac{503}{1728} f_2(0, 0, 1) + \frac{895}{324} e_{51} - \frac{1295}{216} e_{52} - \frac{1493}{1728} e_{61} + \frac{671}{3456} e_{62} \right) + \zeta(2) \left(-\frac{100}{27} f_1(0, 0, 1) - \frac{671}{288} e_{53} - \frac{346}{27} e_{54} \right) - \frac{21}{8} C_{81a} - 10C_{81b} - \frac{21}{16} C_{83a} + \frac{5}{3} C_{83b} + 0(\epsilon) . \tag{27}$$

The analytical expressions of the separate contributions of Eq. (2) are:

$$\begin{split} Z_{2}^{(4,0)} &= \frac{27}{2048\epsilon^{4}} + \frac{171}{1048\epsilon^{3}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(\frac{5835}{8192} - \frac{351}{25} \xi(2) - \frac{27}{64} \xi(3) + \frac{27}{16} \xi(2) \ln 2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{23865}{8192} + \frac{4171}{128} \xi(2) \right) \\ &+ \frac{2527}{255} \xi(3) - \frac{4713}{64} \xi(2) \ln 2 + \frac{909}{64} \xi(4) - \frac{8}{8} \xi(2) \ln^{2} 2 + \frac{57}{144} - \frac{25}{64} \xi(5) - \frac{9}{16} \xi(3) \xi(2) \right) + \frac{2033213}{98304} \\ &+ \frac{6966313}{15360} \xi(2) + \frac{352489}{11520} \xi(3) + \frac{642189}{640} \xi(2) \ln 2 + \frac{9612919}{23040} \xi(4) + \frac{574357}{1440} \xi(2) \ln^{2} 2 + \frac{2341}{720} t_{4} \\ &- \frac{1149787}{1560} \xi(5) + \frac{108635}{192} \xi(3) \xi(2) - \frac{83371}{160} \xi(4) \ln 2 + \frac{161}{8} \xi(2) \ln^{2} 2 + \frac{1727}{10} t_{5} - \frac{3891047}{5184} \xi(6) \\ &- \frac{756779}{11520} \xi^{2}(3) + \frac{414137}{120} \xi(3) \xi(2) \ln 2 - \frac{1227247}{360} \xi(4) \ln^{2} 2 - \frac{19994}{45} t_{61} + \frac{25156}{45} t_{62} + \frac{24104}{9} t_{63} \\ &- \frac{9389399}{5120} \xi^{2}(3) - \frac{1}{3} t_{4} \xi(3) + \frac{50705}{3} t_{5} \xi(2) + 12331 t_{73} + \sqrt{3} \left(-\frac{6949}{192} C1_{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) - \frac{563899}{576} \xi(2) C1_{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) \\ &+ \frac{3071}{60} v_{61} + \frac{2109}{510} v_{62} + \frac{7472227}{34320} v_{63} - \frac{8978057}{6480} v_{64} \right) + \frac{26125}{322} \xi(2) C1_{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) - \frac{1995}{16} v_{65} - 18v_{71} - 6v_{72} \\ &+ \sqrt{3}\pi \left(-\frac{32021}{25600} B_{3} + \frac{3656149}{230400} C_{3} - \frac{4775}{1296} f_{2}(0, 0, 1) - \frac{965143}{23328} v_{51} + \frac{32885}{576} v_{52} - \frac{10705}{6912} v_{61} + \frac{585}{512} v_{62} \right) \\ &+ \xi(2) \left(-\frac{2414}{244} f_{1}(0, 0, 1) - \frac{1755}{128} v_{53} + \frac{355}{54} v_{54} \right) + O(\epsilon) , \end{split}$$
(28)
 $Z_{2}^{(4,1)} = -\frac{1}{8\epsilon} - \frac{205}{128} + \frac{2563}{2} \xi(2) + \frac{35933}{192} \zeta(3) + \frac{1419}{2} \xi(2) \ln 2 - \frac{15155}{192} \xi(4) - \frac{679}{3} \xi(2) \ln^{2} 2 + \frac{628}{3} t_{4} - \frac{51259}{192} \xi(5) + \frac{95239}{160} \xi(3) \xi(2) - \frac{20975}{16} \xi(4) \ln 2 - 16t_{5} - \frac{56584517}{10368} \xi(6) - \frac{541547}{1920} \xi^{2}(3) + \frac{4507}{10} \xi(3) \xi(2) \ln 2 - \frac{16522}{16} \xi(4) \ln 2 - \frac{15152}{152} \xi(2) \ln^{2} - \frac{34722885}{2} \xi(5) \xi(2) + \frac{2628}{3} t_{6} - \frac{992}{120} \xi(5) \xi(2) + \frac{26325}{132} \xi(6) \ln 2 - \frac{15563}{152} \xi(3) \xi(2) \ln^{2} 2 - \frac{528}{3} \xi(6) \xi(2) - \frac{263}{3} \xi(2) \xi(2)$

$$\begin{aligned} &+36v_{11}+12v_{12}-36\zeta(2)C_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-\frac{12206}{5}\zeta(2)C_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+\sqrt{3\pi}\left(-\frac{15299}{425}B_{3}+\frac{1477}{192}C_{5}+\frac{857}{85}f_{2}(0,0,1)\right.\\ &+\frac{39785}{972}c_{51}-\frac{12235}{216}c_{52}-\frac{1673}{2592}c_{61}-\frac{2053}{5184}c_{62}\right)+\zeta(2)\left(\frac{28}{81}f_{1}(0,0,1)+\frac{2053}{432}c_{53}-\frac{5684}{81}c_{54}\right)\\ &-\frac{507}{80}C_{816}-266_{18}+\frac{12}{12}C_{817}-\frac{1357}{250}C_{88}+\frac{91}{24}C_{89}+\frac{7}{2}C_{85}+0(c). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{split} Z_{m}^{(4,1)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{1}{16} + \frac{15}{32} \zeta(3) \right) - \frac{135}{128} + 555 \zeta(2) + \frac{1862}{192} \zeta(3) + 48 \zeta(2) \ln 2 - \frac{803}{64} \zeta(4) - 20 \zeta(2) \ln^{2} 2 + 80t_{4} \\ &- \frac{7205}{96} \zeta(5) + \frac{1273}{4} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) - 603 \zeta(4) \ln 2 - \frac{2134769}{864} \zeta(6) - \frac{1453}{8} \zeta^{2}(3) + 2086 \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \ln 2 + 2384 t_{63} \\ &- 1490 \zeta(4) \ln^{2} 2 + \frac{2117}{128} \zeta(7) - \frac{877789}{768} \zeta(4) \zeta(3) - \frac{30694567}{3840} \zeta(5) \zeta(2) + \frac{36015}{8} \zeta(6) \ln 2 - 1050 \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \ln^{2} 2 \\ &+ \frac{40878}{5} t_{5} \zeta(2) + \frac{2887}{5} t_{73} + \sqrt{3} \left(45C4 \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) - 129 \zeta(2) Cl_{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) \right) + 126 \zeta(2) Cl_{2}^{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) + 180 v_{65} \\ &- 1008 \zeta(2) Cl_{2}^{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \sqrt{3} \pi \left(-\frac{2859}{160} B_{3} + \frac{1253}{480} C_{3} + \frac{299}{216} f_{7}(0, 0, 1) + \frac{1450}{81} e_{51} - \frac{725}{27} e_{52} - \frac{823}{3456} e_{61} \\ &- \frac{1883}{6912} e_{62} \right) + \zeta(2) \left(\frac{1883}{576} e_{53} - \frac{1081}{27} e_{54} \right) - \frac{21}{8} \zeta_{81a} - 10C_{81b} - \frac{21}{16} \zeta_{83a} + \frac{5}{3} \zeta_{83b} + 0(\epsilon) , \\ (34) \\ Z_{m}^{(4,1)} &= \frac{9}{256\epsilon^{4}} + \frac{11}{64\epsilon^{3}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(\frac{2507}{3072} - \frac{93}{64} \zeta(2) - \frac{9}{32} \zeta(3) + \frac{15}{8} \zeta(2) \ln 2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{4363}{1024} + \frac{91}{6} \zeta(2) \\ &+ \frac{51}{27} \zeta(3) - 35 \zeta(2) \ln 2 + \frac{71}{8} \zeta(4) - \frac{25}{4} \zeta(2) \ln^{2} 2 + \frac{5}{2} t_{4} - \frac{5}{3} \zeta(5) - \frac{3}{8} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \right) + \frac{1273135}{36864} \\ &+ \frac{1312775}{6912} \zeta(2) + \frac{87181}{768} \zeta(3) - \frac{3187}{8} \zeta(2) \ln 2 - \frac{2063917}{6912} \zeta(4) + 133 \zeta(2) \ln^{2} 2 + 320t_{4} \\ &+ \frac{1567}{27} \zeta(3) \zeta(2) \ln 2 + \frac{54}{45} \zeta(4) \ln^{2} 2 - 362t_{63} - \frac{89}{3} \sqrt{3} \zeta(2) Cl_{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) + 33 \zeta(2) Cl_{2}^{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right) \\ &+ \sqrt{3}\pi \left(\frac{3599}{1280} B_{3} + \frac{97783}{34560} C_{3} - \frac{227}{648} f_{2}(0, 0, 1) + \frac{85}{81} e_{51} - \frac{85}{54} e_{52} \right) + 0(\epsilon) , \\ Z_{m}^{(4,2)} &= \frac{11}{384\epsilon^{4}} + \frac{11}{64\epsilon^{4}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(\frac{1555}{156} - \frac{5}{4} \zeta(2) - \frac{1}{16} \zeta(3) + \zeta(2) \ln 2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{3343}{332} - \frac{329}{32} \zeta(3) - \frac{97}{32} \zeta(3) \\ &+ \frac{51}{2} \zeta(2) \ln 2 + \frac{4329}{172} \zeta(4) + \frac{135}{18} \zeta(2) \ln^{2} 2 - \frac{20}{3} t_{4} \right) - \frac{18577}{17040} \zeta(2) + \frac{7043}{3} \zeta(2) - \frac{97}{32} \zeta(3) \\ &+ \frac{$$

$$Z_m^{(4,3)} = \frac{1}{144\epsilon^4} + \frac{47}{864\epsilon^3} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{317}{576} - \frac{1}{3}\zeta(2) \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{103963}{31104} - \frac{83}{45}\zeta(2) - \frac{43}{24}\zeta(3) + 2\zeta(2)\ln 2 \right) \\ + \frac{3579989}{186624} - \frac{7622}{675}\zeta(2) - \frac{1529}{144}\zeta(3) + \frac{166}{15}\zeta(2)\ln 2 + \frac{347}{48}\zeta(4) - \frac{8}{3}\zeta(2)\ln^2 2 - \frac{40}{3}t_4 + O(\epsilon) .$$
(37)

In the above expressions we use these combinations of constants:

$$t_4 = a_4 + \frac{1}{24} \ln^4 2$$
, $t_5 = a_5 + \frac{1}{12} \zeta(2) \ln^3 2 - \frac{1}{120} \ln^5 2$, (38)

$$t_{61} = b_6 - a_5 \ln 2 + \zeta(5) \ln 2 + \frac{1}{6} \zeta(3) \ln^3 2 - \frac{1}{12} \zeta(2) \ln^4 2 + \frac{1}{144} \ln^6 2, \qquad (39)$$

$$t_{62} = a_6 - \frac{1}{48}\zeta(2)\ln^4 2 + \frac{1}{720}\ln^6 2, \qquad (40)$$

$$t_{71} = d_7 - 2b_6 \ln 2 + 4a_6 \ln 2 + 2a_5 \ln^2 2 - \frac{49}{32} \zeta^2(3) \ln 2 - \frac{95}{32} \zeta(5) \ln^2 2 + \frac{1}{8} \zeta(4) \ln^3 2$$

$$\frac{1}{32} \zeta(2) \ln^4 2 + \frac{1}{32} \zeta(2) \ln^5 2 - \frac{1}{32} \ln^7 2$$
(41)

$$-\frac{1}{3}\zeta(3)\ln^4 2 + \frac{1}{12}\zeta(2)\ln^5 2 - \frac{1}{120}\ln^7 2, \qquad (41)$$

$$t_{72} = b_7 - 3a_7 - a_6 \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(5) \ln^2 2 + \frac{1}{48}\zeta(4) \ln^3 2 - \frac{1}{24}\zeta(3) \ln^4 2 + \frac{1}{120}\zeta(2) \ln^5 2 - \frac{1}{1680} \ln^7 2,$$
(42)

$$t_{73} = \left(a_4 - \frac{1}{4}\zeta(2)\ln^2 2 + \frac{7}{16}\zeta(3)\ln 2 + \frac{1}{24}\ln^4 2\right)\zeta(2)\ln 2,$$
(43)

$$v_{61} = \operatorname{Im}H_{0,0,0,1,-1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) + \operatorname{Im}H_{0,0,0,1,-1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) + \operatorname{Im}H_{0,0,0,1,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) + \frac{27}{26}\operatorname{Im}H_{0,0,1,0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) + \frac{207}{104}\operatorname{Im}H_{0,0,0,1,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) + \frac{10}{3}a_4\operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \frac{7}{4}\zeta(3)\operatorname{Im}H_{0,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) + \frac{21}{8}\zeta(3)\operatorname{Im}H_{0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) - \frac{5}{72}\zeta(3)\zeta(2)\pi - \frac{5}{6}\operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\zeta(2)\ln^2 2 + \frac{5}{36}\operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\ln^4 2 - \frac{27413}{67392}\zeta(5)\pi + \frac{4975}{11583}\zeta(4)\operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right),$$
(44)

$$v_{62} = \zeta(2) \left(\operatorname{Im}H_{0,1,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Im}H_{0,1,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) - \frac{1}{6}\zeta(3)\pi + \frac{1}{108}\zeta(2)\pi \ln 2 - \frac{5}{2} \operatorname{Im}H_{0,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) \ln 2 \right)$$

$$-\frac{15}{4} \operatorname{Im} H_{0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) \ln 2 + \frac{25}{12} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \ln^2 2 - \frac{661}{1188} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \zeta(2)\right),\tag{45}$$

$$v_{63} = \operatorname{Cl}_{6}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{3}{4}\zeta(4)\operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \quad v_{64} = \operatorname{Cl}_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\zeta(2) - \frac{91}{66}\zeta(4)\operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \quad (46)$$

$$v_{65} = \operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,0,1,0,1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) + \operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\operatorname{Cl}_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right), \quad (47)$$

$$=\operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,0,1,0,1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) + \operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\operatorname{Cl}_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right),\tag{47}$$

$$\nu_{71} = \operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,0,1,0,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) + 4\operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,0,0,1,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) - \frac{27}{8}\operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,1,0,0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) - \frac{135}{16}\operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,0,1,0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) - \frac{27}{2}\operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,0,0,1,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) + \operatorname{Im}H_{0,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right)\operatorname{Cl}_4\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\operatorname{Im}H_{0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right)\operatorname{Cl}_4\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \frac{145}{132}\operatorname{Cl}_6\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\pi,$$
(48)

$$v_{72} = \zeta(2) \left(\operatorname{Re}H_{0,1,0,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) + 2\operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,1,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) + \frac{9}{4}\operatorname{Re}H_{0,1,0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) + \frac{9}{2}\operatorname{Re}H_{0,0,1,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) \right)$$

$$+ \operatorname{Im} H_{0,1,-1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\right) \operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Im} H_{0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}\right) \operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right), \tag{49}$$

$$v_{73} = \zeta(2) \left(\operatorname{Re} H_{0,1,0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}\right) + \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \operatorname{Im} H_{0,1,1}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Cl}_4\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \pi + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Cl}_2^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \ln 2 \right),$$
(50)

$$e_{51} = f_2(0, 2, 0) - \frac{9}{4} f_2(0, 0, 1) \ln 2, \qquad e_{52} = f_2(0, 1, 1) - \frac{3}{8} f_2(0, 0, 2) - \frac{3}{2} f_2(0, 0, 1) \ln 2, \qquad (51)$$

$$e_{53} = f_1(1,0,1) - f_1(0,1,1) + \frac{1}{4}f_1(0,0,2), \qquad e_{54} = e_{51} - \frac{3}{2}e_{52}, \qquad (52)$$

$$e_{61} = f_2(2, 1, 0) + \frac{7}{3} f_2(1, 2, 0) - 2f_2(1, 1, 1) + \frac{40}{27} f_2(0, 3, 0) - \frac{7}{3} f_2(0, 2, 1) + f_2(0, 1, 2) - 30e_{54} \ln 2,$$
(53)

$$e_{62} = f_2(2,0,1) + \frac{14}{3} f_2(1,2,0) - 2f_2(1,1,1) - 2f_2(1,0,2) - \frac{370}{27} f_2(0,3,0) + \frac{85}{3} f_2(0,2,1) - 22f_2(0,1,2) + 7f_2(0,0,3) + 11\zeta(2)f_2(0,0,1) - 20e_{54}\ln 2.$$
(54)

In the above expressions $\zeta(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-n}$, $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} i^{-n}$, $b_6 = H_{0,0,0,0,1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$, $b_7 = H_{0,0,0,0,0,1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$, $d_7 = H_{0,0,0,0,1,-1,-1}(1)$, $Cl_n(\theta) = ImLi_n(e^{i\theta})$. C_{8xy} are the ϵ^0 coefficients of the ϵ -expansion of six master integrals (see Ref. [1]). $H_{i_1,i_2,...}(x)$ are the harmonic polylogarithms [29–31]. The integrals f_j are defined as follows:

$$f_m(i, j, k) = \int_{1}^{9} ds \, D_1(s) \operatorname{Re}\left(\sqrt{3^{m-1}} D_m(s)\right) \left(s - \frac{9}{5}\right) \ln^i (9-s) \ln^j (s-1) \ln^k (s) , \qquad (55)$$

$$D_m(s) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{(\sqrt{s}+3)(\sqrt{s}-1)^3}} K\left(m-1-(2m-3)\frac{(\sqrt{s}-3)(\sqrt{s}+1)^3}{(\sqrt{s}+3)(\sqrt{s}-1)^3}\right);$$
(56)

 B_3 and C_3 have hypergeometric expressions [32,33]:

$$B_{3} = \frac{\pi}{27}\sqrt{3} \left(_{4}\tilde{F}_{3} \left(\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}; 1 \right) - _{4}\tilde{F}_{3} \left(\frac{5}{6} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{2}; 1 \right) \right) ,$$
(57)

$$C_{3} = \frac{\pi}{27}\sqrt{3} \left({}_{4}\tilde{F}_{3} \left({}_{6}^{\frac{1}{5}\frac{1}{3}\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{2}} \\ {}_{-\frac{1}{6}\frac{5}{6}\frac{5}{3}}; 1 \right) - {}_{4}\tilde{F}_{3} \left({}_{-\frac{7}{6}-\frac{1}{3}\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2}} \\ {}_{-\frac{5}{6}\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{3}}; 1 \right) \right) ,$$
(58)

$${}_{4}\tilde{F}_{3}\left({}^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}}_{b_{1}b_{2}b_{3}};x\right) = \frac{\Gamma(a_{1})\Gamma(a_{2})\Gamma(a_{3})\Gamma(a_{4})}{\Gamma(b_{1})\Gamma(b_{2})\Gamma(b_{3})}{}_{4}F_{3}\left({}^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}}_{b_{1}b_{2}b_{3}};x\right).$$
(59)

4. Z_2 and Z_m to three loops

For completeness we list here the analytical expressions of Z_2 and Z_m at one, two, and three loops, expanded in ϵ up to the level needed for five-loop renormalization (two powers in ϵ more than the results of Ref. [4] and one power more than Ref. [14,17]).

$$\begin{split} & \zeta_{2}^{(1)} = Z_{m}^{(1)} = -\frac{3}{4\ell} - \frac{1}{1-2\ell}, \end{split} \tag{60} \\ & Z_{2}^{(2)} = \frac{17}{32\ell^{2}} + \frac{229}{192\ell} + \frac{8453}{152} - \frac{3}{2}\zeta_{3}(3) + \xi\zeta_{2}(2) \ln 2 - \frac{55}{8}\zeta_{2}(2) + \xi\left(\frac{86797}{612} - \frac{419}{16}\zeta_{1}(2) - \frac{203}{8}\zeta_{3}(3) + \frac{93}{2}\zeta_{1}(2) \ln 2 + \frac{63}{2}\zeta_{4}(4) - 12\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - 24t_{4}\right) + \ell^{2}\left(\frac{2197589}{41472} - \frac{3393}{32}\zeta_{1}(2) - \frac{779}{8}\zeta_{3}(3) + 180\zeta_{2}(2) \ln 2 + \frac{18}{9}\zeta_{3}(3) + \frac{93}{2}\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - 186t_{4} + \frac{699}{69}\zeta_{5}(5) + 18\zeta_{3}(3)\zeta_{2}(-9) - 93\zeta_{4}(4) \ln 2 + 36\zeta_{2}(1) \ln^{2} 2 - 720t_{4} + \frac{14391}{16}\zeta_{1}(5) + \frac{169}{2}\zeta_{1}(3)\zeta_{2}(2) - \frac{2833}{4}\zeta_{1}(4) \ln 2 + 279\zeta_{2}(1) \ln^{2} 2 - 126t_{4} + \frac{569}{4}\zeta_{1}(2) \ln 2 + 526\zeta_{1}(4) - 360\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - 720t_{4} + \frac{14391}{16}\zeta_{5}(5) + \frac{159}{2}\zeta_{1}(3)\zeta_{2}(2) - \frac{2833}{4}\zeta_{1}(4) \ln 2 + 279\zeta_{2}(1) \ln^{2} 2 - 1116t_{5} + \frac{363}{4}\zeta_{1}(6) - \frac{579}{2}\zeta_{1}^{2}(3) + 300\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{2} 2 + 32\zeta_{4}(4) \ln^{2} 2 - 54\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{4} 2 - 720t_{6}(4) - 52\xi_{1}(2) - \frac{47}{4}\zeta_{1}(5) + \frac{13}{2}\xi_{1}(2) - \frac{23}{4}\xi_{1}(4) - 6\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - 12t_{4}\right) + \epsilon^{2}\left(\frac{13379}{152} - \frac{1831}{32}\zeta_{1}(2) - \frac{437}{8}\zeta_{1}(3) + 96\zeta_{2}(2) \ln 2 + 84\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - 72t_{5}\right) + 6^{3}\left(\frac{69001}{6024} - \frac{12613}{64}\zeta_{2}(2) - \frac{3115}{6}\zeta_{1}(5) + 2\zeta_{1}(3)(2) - \frac{23}{2}\zeta_{1}(4) \ln 2 + 18\zeta_{2}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - 72t_{5}\right) + 80\zeta_{1}(3) + 29\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - 72t_{5}\right) + 0(\epsilon^{4}), \tag{61} \\ + \frac{101}{1024} - \frac{12613}{64}\zeta_{1}(2) - \frac{3115}{6}\zeta_{1}(5) + 321\zeta_{2}(2) \ln 2 + 259\zeta_{1}(4) - 192\zeta_{2}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - 72t_{5}\right) + \epsilon^{3}\left(\frac{69001}{6024} - \frac{12613}{64}\zeta_{1}(2) - \frac{3115}{6}\zeta_{1}(5) + 2\zeta_{1}(1) \ln 2 + 18\zeta_{2}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - \frac{383}{7}\zeta_{1}(3) + 96\zeta_{1}(3) + 192\zeta_{1}(1) \ln 2 + 18\zeta_{1}(2) \ln^{2} 2 - \frac{37}{8}\zeta_{1}(3) + 96\zeta_{1}(3) + 192\zeta_{1}(3) + 1$$

$$-\frac{6911609}{86400}\zeta(2) - \frac{240973}{4320}\zeta(3) + \frac{41969}{90}\zeta(2)\ln 2 - \frac{15215}{288}\zeta(4) - \frac{2011}{18}\zeta(2)\ln^2 2 - \frac{1756}{9}t_4 - \frac{6985}{96}\zeta(5) + \frac{91}{8}\zeta(3)\zeta(2) + \frac{51}{8}\zeta(4)\ln 2 - \frac{51}{2}\zeta(2)\ln^3 2 + 46t_5 - 25\zeta(6) - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2(3) + \frac{63}{4}\zeta(3)\zeta(2)\ln 2 - \frac{45}{4}\zeta(4)\ln^2 2 + 18t_{63}\right) + \epsilon^2 \left(-\frac{52076602061}{223948800} - \frac{1249645817}{2592000}\zeta(2) - \frac{69525299}{129600}\zeta(3) + \frac{2040043}{675}\zeta(2)\ln 2 + \frac{553243}{432}\zeta(4) - \frac{166889}{54}\zeta(2)\ln^2 2 - \frac{397312}{135}t_4 + \frac{109385}{72}\zeta(5) + \frac{42119}{96}\zeta(3)\zeta(2) - \frac{3421}{6}\zeta(4)\ln 2 + 673\zeta(2)\ln^3 2 - 2408t_5 - \frac{57055}{96}\zeta(6) + \frac{2745}{16}\zeta^2(3) + \frac{235}{4}\zeta(3)\zeta(2)\ln 2 - \frac{509}{4}\zeta(4)\ln^2 2 + 230t_{61} - 184t_{62} + 150t_{63} + \frac{531}{4}\zeta(7) - 447\zeta(4)\zeta(3) - \frac{219}{2}\zeta(5)\zeta(2) - \frac{945}{8}\zeta(6)\ln 2 - 12\zeta(3)\zeta(2)\ln^2 2 + \frac{153}{4}\zeta(2)\ln^4 2 - 24t_{71} + 96t_{72} + 48t_4\zeta(3) + 516t_5\zeta(2) + 516t_{73}\right) + O(\epsilon^3).$$
(64)

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Supporting TAlent in ReSearch at Padova University (UniPD STARS Grant 2017 "Diagrammalgebra").

I wish to thank Pierpaolo Mastrolia for the encouragement and the support. I wish to thank Thomas Gehrmann for providing me the access to the computing facilities of the Institute for Theoretical Physics of Zurich.

References

- [1] S. Laporta, Phys. Lett. B 772 (2017) 232.
- [2] S. Laporta, Phys. Lett. B 800 (2020) 135137.
- [3] D.J. Broadhurst, N. Gray, K. Schilcher, Z. Phys. C 52 (1991) 111.
- [4] K. Melnikov, T. van Ritbergen, Nucl. Phys. B 591 (2000) 515.
- [5] P. Marquard, L. Mihaila, J.H. Piclum, M. Steinhauser, Nucl. Phys. B 773 (2007) 1.
- [6] K.G. Chetyrkin, A. Retey, Nucl. Phys. B 583 (2000) 3.
- [7] T. Luthe, A. Maier, P. Marquard, Y. Schröder, J. High Energy Phys. 1701 (2017) 081.
- [8] P.A. Baikov, K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn, J. High Energy Phys. 1704 (2017) 119.
- [9] N. Gray, D.J. Broadhurst, W. Grafe, K. Schilcher, Z. Phys. C 48 (1990) 673.
- [10] K.G. Chetyrkin, M. Steinhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4001.
- [11] K.G. Chetyrkin, M. Steinhauser, Nucl. Phys. B 573 (2000) 617.
- [12] K. Melnikov, T.v. Ritbergen, Phys. Lett. B 482 (2000) 99.
- [13] R. Lee, P. Marquard, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser, J. High Energy Phys. 1303 (2013) 162.
- [14] P. Marquard, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 054032.
- [15] P. Marquard, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser, PoS RADCOR2017 (2018) 029.
- [16] P. Marquard, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 142002.
- [17] P. Marquard, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser, D. Wellmann, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 074025.
- [18] A.L. Kataev, V.S. Molokoedov, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 131 (2016) 271.
- [19] A.L. Kataev, V.S. Molokoedov, Theor. Math. Phys. 200 (2019) 1374.
- [20] A.L. Kataev, V.S. Molokoedov, arXiv:1807.05406 [hep-ph].
- [21] L.D. Landau, I.M. Khalatnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP 2 (1956) 69.
- [22] K. Johnson, B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3 (1959) 351.
- [23] T. Fukuda, R. Kubo, K.i. Yokoyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 63 (1980) 1384.
- [24] J.A.M. Vermaseren, arXiv:math-ph/0010025.
- [25] J. Kuipers, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren, J. Vollinga, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 1453.
- [26] K.G. Chetyrkin, F.V. Tkachov, Nucl. Phys. B 192 (1981) 159.
- [27] F.V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B 100 (1981) 65.
- [28] S. Laporta, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 5087.
- [29] S. Laporta, PoS LL2018 (2018) 073, https://doi.org/10.22323/1.303.0073.
- [30] E. Remiddi, J.A.M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 725.
- [31] J. Ablinger, J. Blumlein, C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011) 102301.
- [32] S. Laporta, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 23 (2008) 5007.
- [33] Y. Zhou, arXiv:1801.02182 [math.CA].