# Symmetries of celestial amplitudes

Stephan Stieberger [a,*], Tomasz R. Taylor [b]

[a] *Max–Planck–Institut für Physik, Werner–Heisenberg–Institut, 80805 München, Germany*
[b] *Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA*

A R T I C L E   I N F O

A B S T R A C T

Celestial amplitudes provide holographic imprints of four-dimensional scattering processes in terms of conformal correlation functions on a two-dimensional sphere describing Minkowski space at null infinity. We construct the generators of Poincaré and conformal groups in the celestial representation and discuss how these symmetries are manifest in the amplitudes.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

Celestial amplitudes provide holographic imprints of four-dimensional scattering processes in terms of conformal correlation functions on a two-dimensional celestial sphere describing Minkowski space at null infinity [1]. In these amplitudes, Lorentz symmetry is realized as the $SL(2,C)$ conformal symmetry of the celestial sphere. They are particularly interesting in the soft limit, when one or more particles carry zero energy. In this limit, the well-known soft theorems can be interpreted as Ward identities of 2D CFT currents associated to asymptotic symmetries of four-dimensional spacetime [2–4]. Beyond the soft limit, several examples of celestial amplitudes have been recently discussed in [5–7]. The underlying 2D CFT may be very complicated but it is worth studying because it could lead to a holographic description of gauge theories and of (at least) some aspects of perturbative quantum gravity in asymptotically flat spacetimes. In the present work, we address the question how four-dimensional Poincaré and conformal symmetries are realized at the level of 2D celestial amplitudes. We construct the symmetry generators in the celestial representation. One of their interesting features is the presence of operators that shift conformal dimensions. In particular, the momentum (spacetime translation) operators involve such shifts.

We first review the steps leading from "old-fashioned" to celestial amplitudes. We will be considering the scattering processes involving massless gauge bosons and gravitons. Their asymptotic momenta, long before or after gauge/gravitational interactions take place, can be parametrized as

$$p_\mu = \omega q_\mu , \quad \text{with } q_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+|z|^2, z+\bar{z}, -i(z-\bar{z}), 1-|z|^2\right),$$ (1)

where $\omega$ are the (light-cone) energies and $(z,\bar{z})$ are complex kinematic variables that determine momentum directions. On the other hand, the celestial sphere describing Minkowski spacetime at null infinity, from where these particles emerge and to where they head after they interact, is a Riemann sphere parameterized by complex coordinates. The starting point for constructing celestial amplitudes is the identification of kinematic variables $(z,\bar{z})$ of Eq. (1) as the coordinates of points on celestial sphere. It follows that four-dimensional Lorentz group is realized as $SL(2,C)$ conformal symmetry of celestial sphere,

$$z \to \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \qquad (ad - bc = 1) .$$ (2)

In this framework, it is natural to replace the asymptotic **in** and **out** plane wave functions by the so-called conformal wave packets characterized by $(z,\bar{z})$ and two-dimensional conformal weights $(h,\bar{h})$, with the conformal spin $J = h - \bar{h}$ identified as the helicity of the particle [8]. Their dimensions $\Delta = h + \bar{h}$ are restricted by the requirement of normalizability to the so-called principal series with $\Delta = 1 + i\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

At the level of scattering amplitudes, the change of asymptotic **in** and **out** basis from plane waves to conformal packets is accomplished by Mellin transformations with respect to the energies:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\{h_n,\bar{h}_n\}}(z_n,\bar{z}_n) = \left(\prod_{n=1}^N \int_0^\infty \omega_n^{\Delta_n-1}d\omega_n\right) \delta^{(4)}(\omega_1 q_1 + \omega_2 q_2$$
$$- \sum_{k=3}^N \omega_k q_k) \, \mathcal{M}(\omega_n, z_n, \bar{z}_n) ,$$ (3)

with the dimensions $\Delta_n = h_n + \bar{h}_n$ dual to $\omega_n$. Here, $\mathcal{M}$ are the $N$-particle invariant matrix elements describing particles 1 and 2

* Corresponding author.
*E-mail address:* stephan.stieberger@mpp.mpg.de (S. Stieberger).

scattering into $N-2$ final particles.[1] They depend on all quantum numbers, including internal gauge charges, and may contain some group-dependent (a.k.a. color) factors. In this case, we will be extracting purely kinematic "partial" (or "stripped") amplitudes associated to individual Chan-Paton trace factors. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(i, j, k, \dots)$ denotes the celestial amplitude associated to $\text{Tr}(T^{a_i} T^{a_j} T^{a_k} \cdots)$ [9].

The celestial amplitudes defined in Eq. (3) transform under conformal $SL(2, C)$ transformations like the correlation functions of $N$ conformal primary fields with weights $(h_n, \bar{h}_n)$. It is clear that Lorentz invariance of underlying amplitudes must be reflected in conformal Ward identities [10]. This helps in identifying the Lorentz generators

$$L_1 \equiv M_{23} + iM_{10} = (1 - z^2)\partial_z - 2zh, \qquad -M_{23} + iM_{10} = \bar{L}_1$$
$$L_2 \equiv M_{20} + iM_{13} = (1 + z^2)\partial_z + 2zh, \qquad -M_{20} + iM_{13} = \bar{L}_2$$
$$L_3 \equiv M_{21} + iM_{03} = 2(z\partial_z + h), \qquad -M_{21} + iM_{03} = \bar{L}_3,$$
$$(4)$$

which obey the usual $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ commutation relations

$$[L_1, L_2] = 2L_3$$
$$[L_2, L_3] = 2L_1 \qquad (5)$$
$$[L_3, L_1] = -2L_2.$$

Indeed, as a consequence of conformal Ward identities, any $N$-point celestial amplitude satisfies the requirements of Lorentz invariance

$$\mathcal{L}_I \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_N = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_I \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_N = 0, \qquad \mathcal{L}_I = \sum_{n=1}^{N} L_{I,n}, \qquad (6)$$

where $L_{I,n}$, $I = 1, 2, 3$, are the Lorentz transformations (4) acting on the coordinates of $n$th particle.

In standard amplitudes, the momentum operator acts as multiplication by $p_\mu$ written in Eq. (1). Multiplication by an $\omega$ energy factor yields a shift of conformal weights: $(h, \bar{h}) \to (h + 1/2, \bar{h} + 1/2)$, cf. Eq. (3). Hence the momentum generators are realized as

$$P_0 = (1 + |z|^2)e^{(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2}$$
$$P_1 = (z + \bar{z})e^{(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2}$$
$$P_2 = -i(z - \bar{z})e^{(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2} \qquad (7)$$
$$P_3 = (1 - |z|^2)e^{(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2}$$

and the momentum conservation reads

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_N = 0, \qquad \mathcal{P}_\mu = P_{\mu,1} + P_{\mu,2} - \sum_{n=3}^{N} P_{\mu,n}, \qquad (8)$$

where $P_{\mu,n}$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, act on the coordinates of $n$th particle. The operators (4) and (7) generate the Poincaré group. It is easy to check that all celestial amplitudes written explicitly in Refs. [5–7] are Poincaré invariant; they satisfy Eqs. (6) and (8). More details are given in the Appendix.

While shifting conformal weights may seem as a trivial operation, it affects the ultra-violet behavior of Mellin transforms. Yang-Mills amplitudes are "marginally" convergent, with the overall energy scale integral [5–7]

$$\int_0^\infty \omega^{(\sum_{n=1}^N \Delta_n - N - 1)} d\omega = 2\pi \delta\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n\right) \qquad (9)$$

(recall that $\Delta_n = 1 + i\lambda_n$). A shift of conformal dimension $\Delta_n \to \Delta_n + 1$ induced by the momentum operator $P_{\mu,n}$ results in a linearly divergent integral. As shown in Ref. [7], such divergences can be avoided by treating the amplitudes as $\alpha' \to 0$ limits of superstring amplitudes. This works because superstring theory is "supersoft" in the ultra-violet: all scattering amplitudes are exponentially suppressed at high energies. In Ref. [7], some four-point gravitational amplitudes have been discussed by using such a superstring embedding. Each power of the gravitational coupling constant (with mass dimension $-1$) brings an energy factor hence at the level of celestial amplitudes, it has the same effect as the momentum operator. Seen in this way, celestial gravitational amplitudes appear as Yang-Mills amplitudes translated in space-time. As an example, the well-known relation [11] between the Einstein-Yang-Mills amplitude with a single graviton $G$ and pure gauge amplitudes can be written as

$$g\,\widetilde{A}(1, 2, \dots, N, G^{\pm\pm})$$
$$= \kappa \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} (\epsilon_G^{\pm\mu} \mathcal{X}_{\mu,l}) \widetilde{A}(1, 2, \dots, l, G^\pm, l+1, \dots, N), \qquad (10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mu,l} = P_{\mu,1} + P_{\mu,2} - \sum_{n=3}^{l} P_{\mu,n}, \qquad (11)$$

while $g$ and $\kappa$ are the gauge and gravitational couplings, respectively. The polarization vectors are given by

$$\epsilon_{G\mu}^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(z - w)} \left(1 + \bar{z}w, w + \bar{z}, -i(w - \bar{z}), 1 - \bar{z}w\right),$$
$$\epsilon_{G\mu}^- = (\epsilon_{G\mu}^+)^*, \qquad (12)$$

where $w$ is a reference point on celestial sphere. The amplitude (10) does not depend on this point as a consequence of gauge invariance [11] which, in this case, follows from the Bern-Carrasco-Johansson relations [12]. It is remarkable that the relations (10) hold in full-fledged heterotic superstring theory, to all orders in the $\alpha'$ expansion [13].

At the tree-level, Yang-Mills theory is scale-invariant. Accordingly, the tree-level helicity amplitudes are invariant under four-dimensional conformal transformations [14]. The celestial representation of special conformal generators can be deduced in a similar way as in Ref. [14]. We find

$$K_0 = \left[\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} + (z\partial_z + 2h - 1)(\bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}} + 2\bar{h} - 1)\right]e^{-(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2}$$
$$K_1 = \left[(z\partial_z + 2h - 1)\partial_{\bar{z}} + (\bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}} + 2\bar{h} - 1)\partial_z\right]e^{-(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2}$$
$$K_2 = -i\left[(z\partial_z + 2h - 1)\partial_{\bar{z}} - (\bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}} + 2\bar{h} - 1)\partial_z\right]e^{-(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2} \qquad (13)$$
$$K_3 = \left[\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} - (z\partial_z + 2h - 1)(\bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}} + 2\bar{h} - 1)\right]e^{-(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2}.$$

After computing all commutators one finds that indeed, the operators $L_I$, $\bar{L}_I$, $P_\mu$, $K_\mu$ of Eqs. (4), (7) and (13), supplemented by the dilatation generator

$$D = -i(h + \bar{h} - 1), \qquad (14)$$

generate full conformal group [10]. The simplest way of verifying Eq. (14) is by computing $[K_1, P_1] = 2iD$.

---

[1] Our discussion applies though to all scattering channels. We omit the factor $i(2\pi)^4$. For notation, conventions and a general introduction into the subject, see [9].

Due to the complicated structure of the special conformal generators, *cf.* Eq. (13), it is a tedious, although straightforward, exercise to show that the tree-level Yang-Mills amplitudes possess the symmetry

$$\mathcal{K}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{YM}} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{K} = K_{\mu,1} + K_{\mu,2} - \sum_{n=3}^{N} K_{\mu,l}. \tag{15}$$

On the other hand, it is trivial to see that they are dilatation invariant. Since $\Delta = 1 + i\lambda$,

$$\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{YM}} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{D} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n, \tag{16}$$

due to the universal delta function (9) present in all tree-level Yang-Mills amplitudes [5–7].

In this work, we explained how Poincaré and conformal symmetries are realized in celestial amplitudes. In this formalism, gravitational amplitudes appear from space-time translations of pure gauge amplitudes, indicating that celestial CFT will be helpful in studying connections between gauge theories and gravity.

### Acknowledgements

### Appendix A

We wish to expand the argument why celestial amplitudes are invariant under translations generated by the momentum operators (7). To that end, we consider one particular generator,

$$P_+ = \frac{1}{2}(P_0 + P_3) = e^{(\partial_h + \partial_{\bar{h}})/2},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_+ = P_{+,1} + P_{+,2} - \sum_{n=3}^{N} P_{+,n}. \tag{17}$$

The net effect of $P_+$ is to shift the conformal dimension $\Delta \to \Delta + 1$ or equivalently $i\lambda \to i\lambda + 1$. Formally, $\mathcal{P}_+$ acting on the amplitude (3), introduces the factor $(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \sum_{k=3}^{N} \omega_k)$ under the Mellin integral. This factor is annihilated by the energy-conserving delta function, therefore $\mathcal{P}_+\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = 0$. More caution should be exercised however because of possible convergence problems of Mellin transforms, therefore it is a good idea to have a closer look at some specific examples.[2] One such example is the tree-level, four-gluon Yang-Mills MHV amplitude that was Mellin-transformed into a celestial form in Refs. [5–7]. In the notation of Ref. [7], it reads

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(-,-,+,+) = A(z,\bar{z},\lambda) J_0(\gamma), \qquad \gamma = i\sum_{n=1}^{4} \lambda_n, \tag{18}$$

where

$$A(z,\bar{z},\lambda) = \delta(r - \bar{r}) \left(\frac{z_{24}}{\bar{z}_{13}}\right)^{i\lambda_1} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_{24}}{z_{13}}\right)^{i\lambda_3} \left(\frac{\bar{z}_{34}}{z_{12}}\right)^{i(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}$$
$$\times \left(\frac{z_{14}}{\bar{z}_{32}}\right)^{i(\lambda_2+\lambda_3)} \frac{4r^3}{\bar{z}_{12}^2 z_{34}^2}, \tag{19}$$

with $z_{ij} \equiv z_i - z_j$ and the real cross-ratio

$$r = \bar{r} = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{23}z_{41}} \tag{20}$$

constrained to the kinematic domain of $r > 1$. In Eq. (18),

$$J_0(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty \omega^{\gamma-1} d\omega, \tag{21}$$

see also Eq. (9). Acting on the amplitude (18), each momentum generator $P_+$ shifts $\gamma \to \gamma + 1$ and yields a factor rational in the $z$-coordinates. As a result,

$$\mathcal{P}_+\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(-,-,+,+) = \left[\frac{z_{24}\bar{z}_{34}}{z_{12}\bar{z}_{13}} + \frac{z_{14}\bar{z}_{34}}{z_{12}\bar{z}_{32}} - \frac{z_{14}\bar{z}_{24}}{z_{13}\bar{z}_{32}} - 1\right]$$
$$\times A(z,\bar{z},\lambda) J_0(\gamma + 1). \tag{22}$$

After simple algebraic manipulations using the reality constraint $r = \bar{r}$, one finds that the expression inside the square bracket is zero. A similar argument can be repeated for the remaining momentum components, thus demonstrating translational invariance of the celestial MHV amplitude. Furthermore, it was shown in Ref. [7] that full-fledged superstring amplitudes describing four gluons in Type I and heterotic theories are given by expressions similar to (18), with $J_0$ replaced by some more complicated functions of $\gamma$ and $r$. It is clear that the precise form of these functions does not affect Eq. (22), therefore superstring amplitudes are also translationally invariant.

### References

[1] A. Strominger, Lectures on the Infrared Structure of Gravity and Gauge Theory, Princeton University Press, 2018, arXiv:1703.05448 [hep-th].

[2] V. Lysov, S. Pasterski, A. Strominger, Low's subleading soft theorem as a symmetry of QED, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (11) (2014) 111601, arXiv:1407.3814 [hep-th].

[3] C. Cheung, A. de la Fuente, R. Sundrum, 4D scattering amplitudes and asymptotic symmetries from 2D CFT, J. High Energy Phys. 1701 (2017) 112, arXiv:1609.00732 [hep-th].

[4] E. Himwich, A. Strominger, Celestial current algebra from low's subleading soft theorem, arXiv:1901.01622 [hep-th].

[5] S. Pasterski, S.H. Shao, A. Strominger, Gluon amplitudes as 2d conformal correlators, Phys. Rev. D 96 (8) (2017) 085006, arXiv:1706.03917 [hep-th].

[6] A. Schreiber, A. Volovich, M. Zlotnikov, Tree-level gluon amplitudes on the celestial sphere, Phys. Lett. B 781 (2018) 349, arXiv:1711.08435 [hep-th].

[7] S. Stieberger, T.R. Taylor, Strings on celestial sphere, Nucl. Phys. B 935 (2018) 388, arXiv:1806.05688 [hep-th].

[8] S. Pasterski, S.H. Shao, Conformal basis for flat space amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 96 (6) (2017) 065022, arXiv:1705.01027 [hep-th].

[9] T.R. Taylor, A course in amplitudes, Phys. Rep. 691 (2017) 1, arXiv:1703.05670 [hep-th].

[10] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Sénéchal, Conformal Field Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[11] S. Stieberger, T.R. Taylor, New relations for Einstein–Yang–Mills amplitudes, Nucl. Phys. B 913 (2016) 151, arXiv:1606.09616 [hep-th].

[12] Z. Bern, J.J.M. Carrasco, H. Johansson, New relations for gauge-theory amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 085011, arXiv:0805.3993 [hep-ph].

[13] O. Schlotterer, Amplitude relations in heterotic string theory and Einstein-Yang-Mills, J. High Energy Phys. 1611 (2016) 074, arXiv:1608.00130 [hep-th].

[14] E. Witten, Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space, Commun. Math. Phys. 252 (2004) 189, arXiv:hep-th/0312171.

---

[2] We are grateful to Andy Strominger for suggesting this Appendix.