



Lepton-flavor-violating semileptonic τ decay and $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$

Xiao-Gang He^{a,b,c}, Jusak Tandean^{b,c,*}, German Valencia^d

^a Tsung-Dao Lee Institute & School of Physics and Astronomy, SKLPPC, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

^b Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

^c Physics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

^d School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC-3800, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 April 2019

Received in revised form 1 July 2019

Accepted 6 August 2019

Available online 9 August 2019

Editor: J. Hisano

ABSTRACT

We consider lepton-flavor violation in strangeness changing ($|\Delta S| = 1$) semileptonic τ -lepton decays arising from new physics encoded in a standard model effective Lagrangian. Its invariance under the standard model gauge group entails the relevance of other processes which can serve as complementary probes of the new physics operators. We show in particular that for some of them the bounds implied by current data on the rare kaon decays involving a neutrino pair, $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$, are stronger than the existing limits from direct searches for lepton-flavor-violating semileptonic τ decays. We discuss additional processes affected by the same operators and find that certain leptonic charged-meson decays also provide stricter constraints on a few more of them. Upcoming results of ongoing experiments such as Belle II and NA62 will further test the new physics parameter space.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

Interactions manifesting lepton-flavor violation (LFV) do not occur in the standard model (SM) with zero neutrino mass but are relatively common in new physics (NP) scenarios. There is a renewed interest in studying LFV for both theoretical and experimental reasons. On the theoretical side, the so-called ‘B-physics anomalies’ constitute suggestive evidence for lepton-flavor universality violation [1]. Model building to account for them often gives rise to LFV as well. On the experimental side, there are a number of ongoing and forthcoming efforts that will improve upon the existing limits on LFV. Amongst them are LHcb [1], BESIII [2], Belle II [3], and COMET [4].

In a recent paper [5] we have investigated the case of LFV in strangeness-changing ($|\Delta S| = 1$) hyperon and kaon decays, where an initial strange (anti)quark decays. In the present paper we turn our attention to τ -lepton decay where the strange quark (or antiquark) appears in the final state along with a down antiquark (or quark) and an electron or muon. This kind of semileptonic τ transition has been addressed extensively in the past [6–15], besides its strangeness-conserving counterpart [7–27], under various NP contexts.

Following our earlier work [5], here we adopt a model-independent approach that starts from the most general effective

Lagrangian involving dimension-six operators which respect the SM gauge symmetry and can generate $|\Delta S| = 1$ tau-flavor-violating interactions. The resulting operators also contribute to other processes, in particular to ones where the lepton flavor is carried by a neutrino. We explore the impact of these operators at tree level on various low-energy processes and map the constraints that can be extracted from the data available at the moment. We find that the so-called golden rare kaon decays, $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$, impose bounds on a number of the operators that are stricter by up to two orders of magnitude than the limits from direct searches for LFV in semileptonic τ decay. Likewise, certain leptonic charged-meson decays also provide stronger restrictions on a few more of the operators. The KOTO and NA62 experiments [28] can further tighten the constraints from $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ in the near future, and Belle II after achieving an integrated luminosity of 50 ab^{-1} may improve upon the current limits on τ couplings exhibiting LFV by as much as an order of magnitude [3].

1. Effective Lagrangian

The most general effective Lagrangian constructed from SM fields, including an elementary Higgs, and invariant under the SM gauge group exists in the literature [29,30]. The part of this Lagrangian containing the operators \mathcal{Q}_k pertinent to our discussion can be written as

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hexg@phys.ntu.edu.tw (X.-G. He), jtandean@phys.ntu.edu.tw (J. Tandean), german.valencia@monash.edu (G. Valencia).

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NP}} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^5 c_k^{ijxy} \mathcal{Q}_k^{ijxy} + (c_6^{ijxy} \mathcal{Q}_6^{ijxy} + \text{H.c.}) \right], \quad (1)$$

where Λ_{NP} stands for a heavy mass scale associated with the NP interactions, the coefficients $c_{1,\dots,6}^{ijxy}$ are in general complex, and the family indices $i, j, x, y = 1, 2, 3$ are implicitly summed over. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_1^{ijxy} &= \bar{q}_i \gamma^\eta q_j \bar{l}_x \gamma_\eta l_y, & \mathcal{Q}_2^{ijxy} &= \bar{q}_i \gamma^\eta \tau_\pm q_j \bar{l}_x \gamma_\eta \tau_\pm l_y, \\ \mathcal{Q}_3^{ijxy} &= \bar{d}_i \gamma^\eta d_j \bar{e}_x \gamma_\eta e_y, & \mathcal{Q}_4^{ijxy} &= \bar{d}_i \gamma^\eta d_j \bar{l}_x \gamma_\eta l_y, \\ \mathcal{Q}_5^{ijxy} &= \bar{q}_i \gamma^\eta q_j \bar{e}_x \gamma_\eta e_y, & \mathcal{Q}_6^{ijxy} &= \bar{l}_i e_j \bar{d}_x q_y. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The notation is standard and detailed in Ref. [5]. For convenience, we work in the mass basis of the down-type fermions, where

$$\begin{aligned} q_i &= P_L \left(\sum_j (\mathcal{V}_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger)_{ij} U_j \right), & l_i &= P_L \left(\sum_j (\mathcal{U}_{\text{PMNS}})_{ij} \nu_j \right), \\ e_i &= P_R E_i, & d_i &= P_R D_i, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with \mathcal{V}_{CKM} ($\mathcal{U}_{\text{PMNS}}$) being the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa quark (Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata neutrino) mixing matrix. All the fields appearing in Eq. (3) are thus mass eigenstates. The part of \mathcal{L}_{NP} containing the operators responsible for $|\Delta S| = 1$ semileptonic $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm$ transitions, with $\ell = E_{1,2} = e, \mu$, can then be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NP}} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} \sum_{k=1}^{6,6'} \sum_{n=1}^2 \left(c_k^{E_n \tau} Q_k^{E_n \tau} + c_k^{\tau E_n} Q_k^{\tau E_n} \right) + \text{H.c.}, \quad (4)$$

where $c_{\hat{k}}^{E_n \tau(\tau E_n)} = c_{\hat{k}}^{12n3(123n)}$ and $Q_{\hat{k}}^{E_n \tau(\tau E_n)} = Q_{\hat{k}}^{12n3(123n)}$ for $\hat{k} = 1, \dots, 5$, $c_6^{E_n \tau(\tau E_n)} = c_6^{n312(3n12)}$, $Q_6^{E_n \tau(\tau E_n)} = Q_6^{n312(3n12)}$, $c_{6'}^{E_n \tau(\tau E_n)} = c_6^{3n21(n321)*}$, and $Q_{6'}^{E_n \tau(\tau E_n)} = Q_6^{3n21(n321)\dagger}$.

2. Amplitudes and rates

2.1. $|\Delta S| = 1$ semileptonic τ decays

We treat first τ decay into a charged lepton ℓ plus a pseudoscalar meson P or a vector meson V , on which there are direct search data. For $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- P$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- V$ the amplitudes have the general forms, respectively,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tau^- \rightarrow \ell P} = i \bar{u}_\ell \left(S_P^\ell + \gamma_5 \mathcal{P}_P^\ell \right) u_\tau, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tau^- \rightarrow \ell V} = \bar{u}_\ell \not{\epsilon}_V \left(\mathcal{V}_V^\ell + \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_V^\ell \right) u_\tau, \quad (6)$$

which lead to the decay rates

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\tau^- \rightarrow \ell P} &= \frac{\mathcal{K}^{1/2}(m_\tau^2, m_\ell^2, m_P^2)}{16\pi m_\tau^3} \\ &\times \left\{ [(m_\tau + m_\ell)^2 - m_P^2] |S_P^\ell|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [(m_\tau - m_\ell)^2 - m_P^2] |\mathcal{P}_P^\ell|^2 \right\}, \\ \Gamma_{\tau^- \rightarrow \ell V} &= \frac{\mathcal{K}^{1/2}(m_\tau^2, m_\ell^2, m_V^2)}{16\pi m_\tau^3 m_V^2} \\ &\times \left\{ [\tilde{\kappa}(m_\tau^2, m_\ell^2, m_V^2) - 6m_\tau m_\ell m_V^2] |\mathcal{V}_V^\ell|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [\tilde{\kappa}(m_\tau^2, m_\ell^2, m_V^2) + 6m_\tau m_\ell m_V^2] |\mathcal{A}_V^\ell|^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(x, y, z) = (x - y - z)^2 - 4yz$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(x, y, z) = (x - y)^2 + (x + y)z - 2z^2$.

For $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- K_S$ the hadronic matrix elements which do not vanish are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K^0 | \bar{d} \gamma^\eta \gamma_5 s | 0 \rangle &= \langle \bar{K}^0 | \bar{s} \gamma^\eta \gamma_5 d | 0 \rangle = i f_K p_K^\eta, \\ \langle K^0 | \bar{d} \gamma_5 s | 0 \rangle &= \langle \bar{K}^0 | \bar{s} \gamma_5 d | 0 \rangle = i B_0 f_K, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where f_K is the kaon decay constant and $B_0 = m_{K^0}^2 / (m_d + m_s)$. Applying them to the operators in Eq. (4) for the amplitude in Eq. (5), with the approximation $\sqrt{2} K_S = K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{K_S}^\ell &= f_K \frac{(m_\tau - m_\ell)(\tilde{v}_{\ell\tau} - \tilde{v}_{\tau\ell}^*) + B_0(\tilde{s}_{\ell\tau} + \tilde{s}_{\tau\ell}^*)}{4\sqrt{2} \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{K_S}^\ell &= f_K \frac{(m_\tau + m_\ell)(-\tilde{a}_{\ell\tau} + \tilde{a}_{\tau\ell}^*) + B_0(\tilde{p}_{\ell\tau} - \tilde{p}_{\tau\ell}^*)}{4\sqrt{2} \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{XY} &= c_1^{XY} + c_2^{XY} - c_3^{XY} - c_4^{XY} + c_5^{XY}, \\ \tilde{a}_{XY} &= -c_1^{XY} - c_2^{XY} - c_3^{XY} + c_4^{XY} + c_5^{XY}, \\ \tilde{s}_{XY} &= c_6^{XY} - c_{6'}^{XY}, \\ \tilde{p}_{XY} &= c_6^{XY} + c_{6'}^{XY}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Similarly, for $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- K^{*0}, \ell^- \bar{K}^{*0}$ the nonzero mesonic elements are

$$\langle K^{*0} | \bar{d} \gamma^\eta s | 0 \rangle = \langle \bar{K}^{*0} | \bar{s} \gamma^\eta d | 0 \rangle = \varepsilon_{K^*}^\eta f_{K^*} m_{K^*}, \quad (11)$$

where ε_{K^*} and f_{K^*} are, respectively, the polarization vector and decay constant of K^* . Hence from Eqs. (4) and (6) follow

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{K^{*0}}^\ell &= \frac{f_{K^*} m_{K^*}}{4\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} \mathcal{V}_{\ell\tau}, & \mathcal{A}_{K^{*0}}^\ell &= \frac{f_{K^*} m_{K^*}}{4\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\ell\tau}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{\bar{K}^{*0}}^\ell &= \frac{f_{K^*} m_{K^*}}{4\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} \mathcal{V}_{\tau\ell}^*, & \mathcal{A}_{\bar{K}^{*0}}^\ell &= \frac{f_{K^*} m_{K^*}}{4\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} \mathcal{A}_{\tau\ell}^* \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{XY} &= -c_1^{XY} - c_2^{XY} - c_3^{XY} - c_4^{XY} - c_5^{XY}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{XY} &= c_1^{XY} + c_2^{XY} - c_3^{XY} + c_4^{XY} - c_5^{XY}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Clearly, $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm K^{*0}, \ell^\pm \bar{K}^{*0}$ can access only the coupling combinations $\mathcal{V}_{\ell\tau, \tau\ell}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\ell\tau, \tau\ell}$, while $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm K_S$ cannot probe them.

We turn now to the three-body modes $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \pi^\pm K^\mp$, on which empirical information also exists. The relevant hadronic matrix elements in this case are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi^- K^+ | \bar{d} \gamma^\eta s | 0 \rangle &= -\langle \pi^+ K^- | \bar{s} \gamma^\eta d | 0 \rangle = f_+ (p_\pi^\eta - p_K^\eta) - f_- \hat{q}^\eta, \\ \langle \pi^- K^+ | \bar{d} s | 0 \rangle &= \langle \pi^+ K^- | \bar{s} d | 0 \rangle = \tilde{B}_0 f_0, \\ f_- &= (f_0 - f_+) \frac{\Delta_{K\pi}^2}{\hat{q}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where f_+ and f_0 denote form factors which are functions of \hat{q}^2 ,

$$\hat{q} = p_\pi + p_K, \quad \Delta_{K\pi}^2 = m_{K^+}^2 - m_{\pi^+}^2, \quad \tilde{B}_0 = \frac{\Delta_{K\pi}^2}{m_s - m_d}. \quad (15)$$

Accordingly, the amplitude for $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \pi^- K^+$ is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tau^- \rightarrow \ell \pi^- K^+} = \bar{u}_\ell \left(S_{\pi^- K^+}^\ell + \gamma_5 \mathcal{P}_{\pi^- K^+}^\ell \right) u_\tau, \quad (16)$$

where

$$S_{\pi^-K^+}^\ell = [-2f_+ \not{p}_K + (f_+ - f_-)(m_\tau - m_\ell)] \frac{V_{\ell\tau}}{4\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} + \frac{\tilde{B}_0 f_0 S_{\ell\tau}}{4\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2}, \quad (17)$$

$$P_{\pi^-K^+}^\ell = [2f_+ \not{p}_K - (f_+ - f_-)(m_\tau + m_\ell)] \frac{A_{\ell\tau}}{4\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} + \frac{\tilde{B}_0 f_0 P_{\ell\tau}}{4\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2}, \quad (18)$$

with $S_{\ell\tau} = -c_6^{\ell\tau} - c_{6'}^{\ell\tau} = -\tilde{P}_{\ell\tau}$ and $P_{\ell\tau} = -c_6^{\ell\tau} + c_{6'}^{\ell\tau} = -\tilde{S}_{\ell\tau}$. Its differential rate is then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma_{\tau \rightarrow \ell\pi^-K^+}}{d\hat{s}} &= \frac{\lambda_{\tau\ell}^{1/2} \lambda_{\pi^+K^+}^{1/2} |f_0|^2}{256\pi^3 m_\tau^2 \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4} \\ &\times \left\{ \left[\lambda_{\pi^+K^+} |f_+|^2 \frac{\lambda_{\tau\ell} + 3\hat{\sigma}_- \hat{s}}{3|f_0|^2 \hat{s}^3} + \Delta_{K\pi}^4 \frac{\lambda_{\tau\ell} + \hat{\sigma}_+ \hat{s}}{\hat{s}^3} \right] \frac{|V_{\ell\tau}|^2}{16} \right. \\ &+ \left[\lambda_{\pi^+K^+} |f_+|^2 \frac{\lambda_{\tau\ell} + 3\hat{\sigma}_+ \hat{s}}{3|f_0|^2 \hat{s}^3} + \Delta_{K\pi}^4 \frac{\lambda_{\tau\ell} + \hat{\sigma}_- \hat{s}}{\hat{s}^3} \right] \frac{|A_{\ell\tau}|^2}{16} \\ &+ \frac{\Delta_{K\pi}^2 \tilde{B}_0}{8\hat{s}^2} \text{Re}(\hat{\mu}_+ \hat{\sigma}_- A_{\ell\tau}^* P_{\ell\tau} - \hat{\mu}_- \hat{\sigma}_+ V_{\ell\tau}^* S_{\ell\tau}) \\ &\left. + \frac{\tilde{B}_0^2}{16\hat{s}} (\hat{\sigma}_+ |S_{\ell\tau}|^2 + \hat{\sigma}_- |P_{\ell\tau}|^2) \right\}, \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s} &= \hat{q}^2, & \lambda_{XY} &= \mathcal{K}(m_X^2, m_Y^2, \hat{s}), \\ \hat{\sigma}_\pm &= \hat{\mu}_\pm^2 - \hat{s}, & \hat{\mu}_\pm &= m_\tau \pm m_\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The differential rate of $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \pi^+ K^-$ is also given by Eq. (19) but with $(V_{\ell\tau}, A_{\ell\tau}, S_{\ell\tau}, P_{\ell\tau})$ changed to $(V_{\tau\ell}, A_{\tau\ell}, -S_{\tau\ell}, P_{\tau\ell})$. We observe that, unlike $\tau \rightarrow \ell K^{*0}, \ell \bar{K}^{*0}$, these three-body modes are sensitive to all the operators with parity-even quark parts.

2.2. Other modes

The required $SU(2)_L$ -gauge-invariance of $Q_k^{\ell\tau}$ and $Q_k^{\tau\ell}$ in Eq. (4) implies that some of these operators involve left-handed quark and/or lepton doublets and therefore can influence additional processes. The related couplings are summarized in Appendix A and can generate transitions with one or two neutrinos. Here we discuss the extra modes which may offer complementary restrictions on the couplings.

■ $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$

The operators in Eq. (4) with a pair of left-handed lepton doublets provide the $(\bar{d}s)(\bar{\nu}_\ell \nu_\tau)$ interaction listed in Table 2, as well as its $\bar{\nu}_\tau \nu_\ell$ counterpart. Since they have neutrino-flavor combinations that are different from those in the SM amplitudes, the former have no interference with latter and cause the $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ rates to exceed their SM values, as the neutrinos are not detected. The resulting modifications $\Delta\mathcal{B}_{K^+}$ and $\Delta\mathcal{B}_{K_L}$ to the SM branching fractions can be inferred from Eqs. (9)-(10) in Ref. [31] to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{B}_{K^+} &= \mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})_{\text{NP}} = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_+}{3} \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} (|W_{\ell\tau}|^2 + |W_{\tau\ell}|^2), \\ \Delta\mathcal{B}_{K_L} &= \mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})_{\text{NP}} = \frac{\kappa_L}{12} \sum_{\ell=e,\mu} |W_{\ell\tau} - W_{\tau\ell}^*|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$W_{XY} \simeq 9700 \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}} \right)^2 (c_1^{XY} - c_2^{XY} + c_4^{XY}), \quad (22)$$

where [32] $\tilde{\kappa}_+ = 5.17 \times 10^{-11}$ and $\kappa_L = 2.23 \times 10^{-10}$.

■ $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \pi^0$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \rho^0$

These are induced by the $(\bar{u}u)(\bar{\ell}\tau)$ couplings in Table 2 from the operators with a pair of left-handed quark doublets. The pertinent mesonic matrix elements are $\langle \pi^0 | \bar{u}\gamma^\eta \gamma_5 u | 0 \rangle = if_\pi p_\pi^\eta / \sqrt{2}$ and $\langle \rho^0 | \bar{u}\gamma^\eta u | 0 \rangle = \varepsilon_\rho^\eta f_\rho m_\rho / \sqrt{2}$, where $f_{\pi(\rho)}$ is the pion (ρ meson) decay constant and ε_ρ is the ρ polarization vector. The $\tau \rightarrow \ell\pi, \ell\rho$ rates have, respectively, the forms in Eq. (7) with

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\pi^0}^\ell &= \frac{if_\pi V_{ud} V_{us}^*}{4\sqrt{2} \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} (c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau} + c_5^{\ell\tau}) (m_\tau - m_\ell), \\ P_{\pi^0}^\ell &= \frac{if_\pi V_{ud} V_{us}^*}{4\sqrt{2} \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} (c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau} - c_5^{\ell\tau}) (m_\tau + m_\ell), \\ \mathcal{V}_{\rho^0}^\ell &= \frac{-f_\rho m_\rho V_{ud} V_{us}^*}{4\sqrt{2} \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} (c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau} + c_5^{\ell\tau}), \\ \mathcal{V}_{\rho^0}^\ell &= \frac{f_\rho m_\rho V_{ud} V_{us}^*}{4\sqrt{2} \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2} (c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau} - c_5^{\ell\tau}). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

These are suppressed by the CKM factor $|V_{ud}V_{us}| \simeq 0.22$ compared to their counterparts in Eqs. (9) and (12).

■ $J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^\mp \tau^\pm$

Like the preceding case, Eq. (4) includes the $(\bar{c}c)(\bar{\ell}\tau)$ interaction, listed in Table 2, which brings about the charmonium decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^- \tau^+$ and is also suppressed by $|V_{cd}V_{cs}| \simeq 0.22$. With m_ℓ neglected, the rate of this mode is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^- \tau^+} &= \frac{f_{J/\psi}^2 |V_{cd}V_{cs}|^2}{192\pi \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4 m_{J/\psi}^3} (m_{J/\psi}^2 - m_\tau^2)^2 (2m_{J/\psi}^2 + m_\tau^2) \\ &\times (|c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau}|^2 + |c_5^{\ell\tau}|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the J/ψ decay constant $f_{J/\psi}$ is defined by $\langle 0 | \bar{c}\gamma^\mu c | J/\psi \rangle = \varepsilon_{J/\psi}^\mu f_{J/\psi} m_{J/\psi}$, which involves the J/ψ polarization vector $\varepsilon_{J/\psi}$. The rate of $J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+ \tau^-$ equals $\Gamma_{J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^- \tau^+}$ but with $c_k^{\ell\tau}$ replaced by $c_k^{\tau\ell}$.

■ $\mathcal{P}^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu$

The couplings in the last four rows of Table 2 or the analogous couplings with ℓ and τ interchanged can affect the SM-dominated leptonic decay $\mathcal{P}^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu$ of a charged pseudoscalar meson $\mathcal{P}^+ \sim u\bar{d}$, where $u = u, c$ and $d = d, s$. The biggest impact comes from the (pseudo)scalar operators, which are not subject to helicity suppression, with $\ell = e$, in which case the SM amplitude is the most helicity-suppressed. With only $O_{6(\nu)}^{e\tau, \tau e}$ being present, we derive the modification $\Delta\Gamma_{\mathcal{P}^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu}$ to the SM rate of $\mathcal{P}^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$ for $\mathcal{P} = \pi, K, D, D_s$ to be [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Gamma_{\mathcal{P}^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu} &= \frac{|\hat{C}_{\mathcal{P}}|^2 f_{\mathcal{P}}^2 m_{\mathcal{P}}^5}{64\pi \Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4 (m_u + m_d)^2}, \\ \hat{C}_\pi &= c_6^{\tau e} V_{us}^*, & \hat{C}_K &= c_6^{\tau e} V_{ud}^*, & \hat{C}_D &= c_6^{\tau e} V_{cs}^*, \\ \hat{C}_{D_s} &= c_6^{\tau e} V_{cd}^*, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{C}_{D_s} = c_6^{\tau e} V_{cd}^*, \quad (26)$$

with the \mathcal{P} decay constant $f_{\mathcal{P}}$ being defined by $\langle 0|\bar{\nu}\gamma_5\nu|\mathcal{P}^+\rangle = if_{\mathcal{P}}m_{\mathcal{P}}^2/(m_u + m_d)$ and the lepton masses ignored. Note that there is no interference with the SM contribution as the neutrino is of the wrong flavor [6].

It is worth pointing out here that among the operators $Q_{1,\dots,6}^{ijkl}$ in Eq. (2) there are those not relevant to $ds\ell\tau$ interactions which can in general also influence some of the others listed in Table 2, particularly the ones involving up-type quarks. For example, Q_1^{1113} in our mass basis, specified by Eq. (3), contributes to $(\bar{u}u, \bar{u}c)(\bar{e}\tau, \bar{\nu}_e\nu_\tau)$ couplings.¹ In dealing with the constraints from the preceding extra processes, we will ignore these other operators. One may regard this as an additional model assumption, or basis dependence, implicit in our analysis.

3. Numerical results

3.1. $|\Delta S| = 1$ semileptonic τ decays

We treat the two-body modes with the amplitude terms in Eqs. (9) and (12) and the decay rates in Eq. (7). The required decay constants are $f_K = 155.6(4)$ MeV [33] and $f_{K^*} = 206(6)$ MeV, the latter having been extracted from the data on $\tau^- \rightarrow \nu K^{*-}$ under the assumptions of isospin symmetry and no NP in this channel.² In the calculation of rates in this section, we use the central values of hadron masses supplied by Ref. [33] and, when occur, quark masses at a renormalization scale of 2 GeV, namely $(m_u, m_d, m_s) = (2.2, 4.7, 95)$ MeV [33] and $m_c = 1.1$ GeV.³

Thus, we arrive at the branching fractions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- K_S) &= 3.2 \left[|\tilde{V}_{e\tau} - \tilde{V}_{\tau e}^* + 1.4(\tilde{S}_{e\tau} + \tilde{S}_{\tau e}^*)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\tilde{A}_{e\tau} - \tilde{A}_{\tau e}^* - 1.4(\tilde{P}_{e\tau} - \tilde{P}_{\tau e}^*)|^2 \right] \frac{10^7 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- K_S) &= \left[3.2 |\tilde{V}_{\mu\tau} - \tilde{V}_{\tau\mu}^* + 1.5(\tilde{S}_{\mu\tau} + \tilde{S}_{\tau\mu}^*)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3.1 |\tilde{A}_{\mu\tau} - \tilde{A}_{\mu\ell}^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 1.3(\tilde{P}_{\mu\tau} - \tilde{P}_{\tau\mu}^*)|^2 \right] \frac{10^7 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- K^{*0}) &= 1.1 (|\tilde{V}_{e\tau}|^2 + |\tilde{A}_{e\tau}|^2) \frac{10^8 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- K^{*0}) &= (1.0 |\tilde{V}_{\mu\tau}|^2 + 1.2 |\tilde{A}_{\mu\tau}|^2) \frac{10^8 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and those for $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \bar{K}^{*0}$, which are the same as the ones in Eq. (28) but with the subscript $\ell\tau$ changed to $\tau\ell$. To evaluate the three-body case with Eq. (19), we need the πK form-factors f_+ and f_0 as functions of \hat{s} . Assuming isospin symmetry, we adopt the $\pi^- K_S$ invariant-mass spectrum which has been extracted from the study of $\tau^- \rightarrow \nu\pi^- K_S$ by the Belle Collaboration [35].⁴ Thus, after

integrating the differential rate over $(m_{\pi^+} + m_{K^+})^2 \leq \hat{s} \leq (m_\tau - m_\ell)^2$, we obtain for $\ell = e, \mu$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \pi^- K^+) &= \left[7.2 (|\tilde{V}_{e\tau}|^2 + |\tilde{A}_{e\tau}|^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8.5 (|\tilde{S}_{e\tau}|^2 + |\tilde{P}_{e\tau}|^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2.7 \text{Re}(\tilde{A}_{e\tau}^* \tilde{P}_{e\tau} - \tilde{V}_{e\tau}^* \tilde{S}_{e\tau}) \right] \frac{10^7 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^- K^+) &= \left[6.5 |\tilde{V}_{\mu\tau}|^2 + 7.7 |\tilde{A}_{\mu\tau}|^2 + 10 |\tilde{S}_{\mu\tau}|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6.6 |\tilde{P}_{\mu\tau}|^2 + 2.3 \text{Re}(\tilde{A}_{\mu\tau}^* \tilde{P}_{\mu\tau}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3.0 \text{Re}(\tilde{V}_{\mu\tau}^* \tilde{S}_{\mu\tau}) \right] \frac{10^7 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

As already mentioned, $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \pi^+ K^-)$ is equal to $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \pi^- K^+)$ except the subscript $\ell\tau$ of the couplings is replaced with $\tau\ell$ and a minus sign is added to $S_{\tau\ell}$.

From Eqs. (27)-(29), we notice that these modes do not all probe the same set of couplings and hence are complementary in their sensitivity to the NP contributions. The existing experimental limits [at 90% confidence level (CL)] for these decays are [33]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- K_S) &< 2.6 \times 10^{-8}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- K_S) &< 2.3 \times 10^{-8}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- K^{*0}) &< 3.2 \times 10^{-8}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- K^{*0}) &< 5.9 \times 10^{-8}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{K}^{*0}) &< 3.4 \times 10^{-8}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{K}^{*0}) &< 7.0 \times 10^{-8}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \pi^- K^+) &< 3.1 \times 10^{-8}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^- K^+) &< 4.5 \times 10^{-8}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ K^-) &< 3.7 \times 10^{-8}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ K^-) &< 8.6 \times 10^{-8}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

We note that the $\tau \rightarrow \ell K^{*0}, \ell \bar{K}^{*0}$ numbers in Eq. (31) come from searches by Belle [36,37] which selected K^{*0} and \bar{K}^{*0} candidates from $\pi^\mp K^\pm$ pairs with invariant-masses around the $K^*(892)$ mass. Since, on the other hand, the πK pair in each of the $\tau \rightarrow \ell\pi^\mp K^\pm$ modes proceeds in principle from all possible resonant contributions [$K^*(892)$ and its heavier counterparts] as well as nonresonant ones, the limits in Eq. (32), from a separate Belle search [38], can reasonably be assumed to have no correlation with the $\tau \rightarrow \ell K^{*0}, \ell \bar{K}^{*0}$ ones.

3.2. Other modes

The $K \rightarrow \pi\nu\bar{\nu}$ modes are sensitive to $c_{1,2,4}^{\ell\tau,\tau\ell}$ according to Eqs. (21)-(22). In view of the SM predictions $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}) = (8.5_{-1.2}^{+1.0}) \times 10^{-11}$ and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}) = (3.2_{-0.7}^{+1.1}) \times 10^{-11}$ [39] and the data $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}) = 1.7(1.1) \times 10^{-10}$ [33] and $\mathcal{B}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}) < 3.0 \times 10^{-9}$ at 90% CL [40], we impose $\Delta\mathcal{B}_{K^+} < 2.7 \times 10^{-10}$ and $\Delta\mathcal{B}_{K_L} < 3.0 \times 10^{-9}$ at 90% CL on the NP contributions in Eq. (21). The $\Delta\mathcal{B}_{K^+}$ bound is clearly stricter than the $\Delta\mathcal{B}_{K_L}$ one and translates into $|W_{\ell\tau,\tau\ell}| < 3.9$. Improvement on this bound from NA62 is expected in the near future.

The $|\Delta S| = 0$ decays $\tau \rightarrow \ell\pi^0, \ell\rho^0$ can potentially probe $c_{1,2,5}^{\ell\tau,\tau\ell}$ as Eq. (23) indicates. The needed decay constants are

¹ Moreover, there are operators [29,30] not listed in Eq. (2), such as $Q_{iu}^{ij13} = \bar{u}_i\gamma^\mu u_j \bar{l}_i\gamma_\mu l_3$, which contribute to these same couplings.

² We have employed $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \nu K^{*-}) = 0.0120(7)$ and $m_{K^{*-}} = 895.5(8)$ MeV from [33]. For V_{us} and the other CKM matrix elements needed in our numerical work, we adopt the results of [34] with the latest updates available at <http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr>.

³ The latter has been rescaled from $m_c(m_c) = 1.275$ GeV [33].

⁴ We have picked the $K_S\pi$ mass spectrum in the $K_S^*(800) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$ model determined by Belle [35], with the parameters listed in their Table 3. We fix the normalization of the resulting $f_+(\hat{s})$ and $f_0(\hat{s})$ such that using them in the branching fraction of $\tau^- \rightarrow \nu\pi^- \bar{K}^0$ in the SM [14] yields the central value, 0.808%, of the corresponding Belle result [35].

Table 1

The strongest upper-bound on each of the coefficients $c_k^{\xi_1 \xi_2}$ if only one of them is nonzero at a time and the processes which provide the constraints. Note that the lepton-flavor index (ξ_1 or ξ_2) can be carried by the neutrino.

k	Lepton flavor indices ($\xi_1 \xi_2$)	Upper bound on $ c_k^{\xi_1 \xi_2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}} \right)^2$	Process
1, 2, or 4	$e\tau, \mu\tau, \tau e$, or $\tau\mu$	4.0×10^{-4}	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$
3 or 5	$e\tau$	0.012	$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- K^{*0}$
3 or 5	τe	0.012	$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{K}^{*0}$
3 or 5	$\mu\tau$	0.017	$\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- K^{*0}$
3 or 5	$\tau\mu$	0.018	$\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{K}^{*0}$
6	$e\tau$	0.014	$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \pi^- K^+$
6	τe	1.9×10^{-3}	$\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$
6'	$e\tau$	1.3×10^{-4}	$K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$
6'	τe	0.015	$\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ K^-$
6 or 6'	$\mu\tau$	0.014	$\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- K_S$
6 or 6'	$\tau\mu$	0.023	$\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^+ K^-$

$f_\pi = 130.2(1.7)$ MeV [33] and $f_\rho = 210.5(4)$ MeV, the latter having been extracted from the data on $\tau^- \rightarrow \nu \rho^-$ assuming isospin symmetry and no NP in this channel.⁵ We then get

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \pi^0) = 2.5 \left(|c_1^{e\tau} - c_2^{e\tau}|^2 + |c_5^{e\tau}|^2 \right) \frac{10^6 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^0) = 2.4 \left(|c_1^{\mu\tau} - c_2^{\mu\tau}|^2 + |c_5^{\mu\tau}|^2 \right) \frac{10^6 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4}, \quad (33)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \rho^0) = 5.9 \left(|c_1^{e\tau} - c_2^{e\tau}|^2 + |c_5^{e\tau}|^2 \right) \frac{10^6 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^0) = \left(2.7 |c_1^{\mu\tau} - c_2^{\mu\tau} + c_5^{\mu\tau}|^2 + 3.1 |c_1^{\mu\tau} - c_2^{\mu\tau} - c_5^{\mu\tau}|^2 \right) \frac{10^6 \text{ GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4}. \quad (34)$$

The numerical factors in Eqs. (33) and (34) are smaller than those of the $|\Delta S| = 1$ modes in Sect. 3.1 partly because of the aforementioned CKM suppression factor, $|V_{ud} V_{us}|^2 \simeq 0.048$. Comparing Eqs. (33) and (34) to the existing data at 90% CL [33]

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \pi^0) < 8.0 \times 10^{-8},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^0) < 1.1 \times 10^{-7},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \rho^0) < 1.8 \times 10^{-8},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^0) < 1.2 \times 10^{-7}, \quad (35)$$

we see that limits on $c_{1,2,5}^{\ell\tau, \tau\ell}$ from $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm \rho^0$ are stronger than from $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm \pi^0$. However, at present they are not competitive to $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ and the $|\Delta S| = 1$ τ -decays in bounding these coefficients. Neither are other $|\Delta S| = 0$ semileptonic channels, such as $\tau \rightarrow \ell(\eta, \omega, \pi^+ \pi^-)$.

The same coefficients contribute to $J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^\pm \tau^\mp$. Using $f_{J/\psi} = 407(5)$ MeV extracted from the measured $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ rate [33], we find

$$\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^- \tau^+) = 4.4 \left(|c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau}|^2 + |c_5^{\ell\tau}|^2 \right) \frac{\text{GeV}^4}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^4} \quad (36)$$

from Eq. (24) and the same expression for $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+ \tau^-)$ but with $c_k^{\ell\tau}$ replaced by $c_k^{\tau\ell}$. From direct searches, $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp) < 8.3 \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp) < 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ both at 90% CL [33]. It follows that these modes are far less sensitive to $c_{1,2,5}^{\ell\tau, \tau\ell}$ than all

the τ and K decays discussed above, although future quests for $J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^\pm \tau^\mp$ by BESIII may improve upon the current branching-fraction limits by up to two orders of magnitude [41].

Unlike the other decays addressed in this subsection, $\mathcal{P}^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$ for $\mathcal{P} = \pi, K, D, D_s$ can probe the (pseudo)scalar couplings $c_6^{\ell\tau, \tau\ell}$ and $c_{6'}^{\ell\tau, \tau\ell}$ according to Eqs. (25)-(26). The empirical limits, at 90% CL, on NP effects in these modes are

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu) < 6.6 \times 10^{-7},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu) < 1.2 \times 10^{-7},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(D^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu) < 8.8 \times 10^{-6},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu) < 8.3 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (37)$$

where the numbers in the first two lines correspond to the 90%-CL ranges of the errors in the observed values $\mathcal{B}(\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu) = (1.230 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-4}$ and $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu) = (1.582 \pm 0.007) \times 10^{-5}$ [33]. For numerical comparison with Eq. (37), we adopt $f_D = 211.9$ MeV and $f_{D_s} = 249$ MeV [33] besides the $f_{\pi, K}$ numbers quoted earlier.

3.3. Constraints on $c_k^{\ell\tau, \tau\ell}$

We entertain the possibility that only one of the coefficients $c_k^{\ell\tau, \tau\ell}$ is nonzero at a time. In this case, after comparing the calculated branching fractions and their experimental data described in the preceding two subsections, we collect in Table 1 the best upper-bound, and the process supplying the corresponding constraint, on each coefficient. Evidently, $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $\pi^+, K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$ produce the strongest restrictions to date on a number of these couplings. If NA62 reaches its goal of testing the SM prediction with 10% precision [28], the bound from $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ in Table 1 will be improved by roughly a factor of 4. The bounds derived from lepton-flavor-violating τ decays may be lowered as much as 10 times by Belle II, which aims at reducing their branching-fraction limits by 2 orders of magnitude with its expected full dataset [3].

4. Conclusions

We have outlined the existing constraints on LfV in $|\Delta S| = 1$ semileptonic τ decays. To do this, we first parametrized the NP responsible for LfV with all the dimension-six operators in the effective Lagrangian that respects the gauge symmetry of the SM and is appropriate for an elementary Higgs. We subsequently computed all the $|\Delta S| = 1$ semileptonic τ decays with an electron or muon plus one or two mesons in the final state that have been searched for. We finally extracted the constraints on the parameters of the

⁵ We have employed $\mathcal{B}(\tau^- \rightarrow \nu \rho^-) = 0.2549(9)$ and $m_{\rho^-} = 775.11$ MeV from [33].

Table 2

Feynman rules from \mathcal{L}_{NP} in Eq. (4). In the second column, each entry is to be furnished with an overall factor Λ_{NP}^2 and with the spinors of the fermions in the first column, $V_{U_i D_j} = (V_{\text{CKM}})_{ij}$ from Eq. (3), and we have defined $L_\eta = \gamma_\eta P_L$, $R_\eta = \gamma_\eta P_R$, $\tilde{L} = P_L$, and $\tilde{R} = P_R$. Since the neutrinos are nearly massless and not detected in decays, we display their weak eigenstates $v_{E_i} = \sum_j (\mathcal{U}_{\text{PMNS}})_{ij} \nu_j$ in the first column.

Flavor structure	Feynman rule
$(\bar{d}s)(\bar{\ell}\tau)$	$(c_1^{\ell\tau} + c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_3^{\ell\tau} R_\eta \otimes R^\eta + c_4^{\ell\tau} R_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_5^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes R^\eta + c_6^{\ell\tau} \tilde{L} \otimes \tilde{R} + c_6^{\ell\tau} \tilde{R} \otimes \tilde{L}$
$(\bar{d}s)(\bar{\nu}_\ell \nu_\tau)$	$(c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_4^{\ell\tau} R_\eta \otimes L^\eta$
$(\bar{u}u)(\bar{\ell}\tau)$	$V_{ud} V_{us}^* [(c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_5^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes R^\eta]$
$(\bar{u}c)(\bar{\ell}\tau)$	$V_{ud} V_{cs}^* [(c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_5^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes R^\eta]$
$(\bar{c}u)(\bar{\ell}\tau)$	$V_{cd} V_{us}^* [(c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_5^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes R^\eta]$
$(\bar{c}c)(\bar{\ell}\tau)$	$V_{cd} V_{cs}^* [(c_1^{\ell\tau} - c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_5^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes R^\eta]$
$(\bar{u}u)(\bar{\nu}_\ell \nu_\tau)$	$V_{ud} V_{us}^* (c_1^{\ell\tau} + c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta$
$(\bar{u}c)(\bar{\nu}_\ell \nu_\tau)$	$V_{ud} V_{cs}^* (c_1^{\ell\tau} + c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta$
$(\bar{c}u)(\bar{\nu}_\ell \nu_\tau)$	$V_{cd} V_{us}^* (c_1^{\ell\tau} + c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta$
$(\bar{c}c)(\bar{\nu}_\ell \nu_\tau)$	$V_{cd} V_{cs}^* (c_1^{\ell\tau} + c_2^{\ell\tau})L_\eta \otimes L^\eta$
$(\bar{d}u)(\bar{\nu}_\ell \tau)$	$V_{us}^* (2c_2^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_6^{\ell\tau} \tilde{L} \otimes \tilde{R})$
$(\bar{d}c)(\bar{\nu}_\ell \tau)$	$V_{cs}^* (2c_2^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_6^{\ell\tau} \tilde{L} \otimes \tilde{R})$
$(\bar{u}s)(\bar{\ell} \nu_\tau)$	$V_{ud} (2c_2^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_6^{\ell\tau} \tilde{R} \otimes \tilde{L})$
$(\bar{c}s)(\bar{\ell} \nu_\tau)$	$V_{cd} (2c_2^{\ell\tau} L_\eta \otimes L^\eta + c_6^{\ell\tau} \tilde{R} \otimes \tilde{L})$

effective Lagrangian using the current 90%-CL upper limits on their respective rates.

Noticing that the gauge symmetry of the SM relates these τ decay modes to other processes, we then studied those other modes. We found that the golden rare kaon decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ places the most stringent constraint available on several of the NP couplings and that this can be further improved by the expected NA62 results in the near future. Moreover, the measured $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$ and $K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$ rates imply the strictest limits to date on a couple other of the NP couplings. Our numerical findings are summarized in Table 1.

Acknowledgements

The work of X.G.H. was supported in part by the NSFC (Grant Nos. 11575115 and 11735010), by Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education, and Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology (Grant No. 15DZ2272100), and in part by the MOST (Grant No. MOST 106-2112-M-002-003-MY3). We thank Hai-Bo Li for information on experimental matters.

Appendix A. Feynman rules

The various four-fermion couplings with (2quark)(2lepton) flavor structures arising from the operators $Q_k^{\ell\tau}$ in Eq. (4) with $\ell = e, \mu$ are collected in Table 2. Those with the lepton flavors interchanged, (2quark)($\bar{\tau}\ell$) and (2quark)($\bar{\nu}_\tau \nu_\ell$), are readily obtainable from the corresponding entries in the table by making the change $c_k^{\ell\tau} \rightarrow c_k^{\tau\ell}$. The Hermitian conjugates of all these couplings are additional ones with the quarks interchanged.

References

- [1] A. Cerri, et al., Opportunities in flavour physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC, arXiv:1812.07638.
- [2] H.-B. Li, S.-H. Zhu, Mini-review of rare charmonium decays at BESIII, Chin. Phys. C 36 (2012) 932, arXiv:1202.2955.
- [3] Belle II Collaboration, The Belle II physics book, arXiv:1808.10567.
- [4] L. Calibbi, G. Signorelli, Charged lepton flavour violation: an experimental and theoretical introduction, Riv. Nuovo Cimento 41 (2018) 71, arXiv:1709.00294.
- [5] X.-G. He, J. Tandean, G. Valencia, Charged-lepton-flavor violation in $|\Delta S| = 1$ hyperon decays, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2019) 022, arXiv:1903.01242.
- [6] G. Valencia, S. Willenbrock, Quark-lepton unification and rare meson decays, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6843, arXiv:hep-ph/9409201.

- [7] A. Ilakovac, B.A. Kniehl, A. Pilaftsis, Semileptonic lepton number/flavor violating tau decays in Majorana neutrino models, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 3993, arXiv:hep-ph/9503456.
- [8] A. Ilakovac, Probing lepton number/flavor violation in semileptonic τ decays into two mesons, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 5653, arXiv:hep-ph/9608218.
- [9] D. Black, T. Han, H.-J. He, M. Sher, τ - μ flavor violation as a probe of the scale of new physics, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 053002, arXiv:hep-ph/0206056.
- [10] J.P. Saha, A. Kundu, Constraints on R parity violating supersymmetry from leptonic and semileptonic tau, B(d) and B(s) decays, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 054021, arXiv:hep-ph/0205046.
- [11] S. Kanemura, T. Ota, K. Tsumura, Lepton flavor violation in Higgs boson decays under the rare tau decay results, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 016006, arXiv:hep-ph/0505191.
- [12] M. Carpentier, S. Davidson, Constraints on two-lepton, two quark operators, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 1071, arXiv:1008.0280.
- [13] I. Dorsner, J. Drobnak, S. Fajfer, J.F. Kamenik, N. Kosnik, Limits on scalar leptoquark interactions and consequences for GUTs, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2011) 002, arXiv:1107.5393.
- [14] A. Pich, Precision tau physics, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 75 (2014) 41, arXiv:1310.7922.
- [15] Y. Cai, M.A. Schmidt, A case study of the sensitivity to LFV operators with precision measurements and the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2016) 176, arXiv:1510.02486.
- [16] E. Gabrielli, Model independent constraints on leptoquarks from rare muon and tau lepton processes, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 055009, arXiv:hep-ph/9911539.
- [17] E. Arganda, M.J. Herrero, J. Portoles, Lepton flavour violating semileptonic tau decays in constrained MSSM-seesaw scenarios, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2008) 079, arXiv:0803.2039.
- [18] X.-G. He, S. Oh, Lepton FCNC in type III seesaw model, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2009) 027, arXiv:0902.4082.
- [19] A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, C.-H. Chen, Lepton flavor violating tau decays in type-III seesaw mechanism, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 113003, arXiv:0903.1553.
- [20] T. Goto, Y. Okada, Y. Yamamoto, Tau and muon lepton flavor violations in the littlest Higgs model with T-parity, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 053011, arXiv:1012.4385.
- [21] J.T. Daub, H.K. Dreiner, C. Hanhart, B. Kubis, U.G. Meissner, Improving the hadron physics of non-standard-model decays: example bounds on R-parity violation, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2013) 179, arXiv:1212.4408.
- [22] A.A. Petrov, D.V. Zhuridov, Lepton flavor-violating transitions in effective field theory and gluonic operators, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 033005, arXiv:1308.6561.
- [23] A. Celis, V. Cirigliano, E. Passemar, Lepton flavor violation in the Higgs sector and the role of hadronic τ -lepton decays, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 013008, arXiv:1309.3564.
- [24] T. Hua, C.-X. Yue, Lepton flavor violating decays $\tau \rightarrow \mu M$ in the 331 model, Commun. Theor. Phys. 62 (2014) 388.
- [25] A. Celis, V. Cirigliano, E. Passemar, Model-discriminating power of lepton flavor violating τ decays, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 095014, arXiv:1403.5781.
- [26] A. Lami, J. Portoles, P. Roig, Lepton flavor violation in hadronic decays of the tau lepton in the simplest little Higgs model, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 076008, arXiv:1601.07391.
- [27] Y. Cai, M.A. Schmidt, G. Valencia, Lepton-flavour-violating gluonic operators: constraints from the LHC and low energy experiments, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2018) 143, arXiv:1802.09822.

- [28] NA62 Collaboration, Results and prospects for $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ at NA62 and KOTO, EPJ Web Conf. 199 (2019) 01007, arXiv:1809.05384.
- [29] W. Buchmuller, D. Wyler, Effective Lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavor conservation, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 621.
- [30] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak, J. Rosiek, Dimension-six terms in the standard model Lagrangian, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2010) 085, arXiv:1008.4884.
- [31] X.-G. He, G. Valencia, K. Wong, Constraints on new physics from $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 472, arXiv:1804.07449.
- [32] A.J. Buras, F. Schwab, S. Uhlig, Waiting for precise measurements of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80 (2008) 965, arXiv:hep-ph/0405132.
- [33] Particle Data Group Collaboration, Review of particle physics, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 030001.
- [34] J. Charles, et al., Current status of the standard model CKM fit and constraints on $\Delta F = 2$ new physics, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 073007, arXiv:1501.05013.
- [35] Belle Collaboration, Study of $\tau \rightarrow K_S \pi^- \nu_\tau$ decay at Belle, Phys. Lett. B 654 (2007) 65, arXiv:0706.2231.
- [36] Belle Collaboration, Search for lepton-flavor-violating $\tau \rightarrow \ell V^0$ decays at Belle, Phys. Lett. B 664 (2008) 35, arXiv:0801.2475.
- [37] Belle Collaboration, Search for lepton-flavor-violating tau decays into a lepton and a vector meson, Phys. Lett. B 699 (2011) 251, arXiv:1101.0755.
- [38] Belle Collaboration, Search for lepton-flavor-violating and lepton-number-violating $\tau \rightarrow \ell h h'$ decay modes, Phys. Lett. B 719 (2013) 346, arXiv:1206.5595.
- [39] C. Bobeth, A.J. Buras, Leptoquarks meet ϵ'/ϵ and rare Kaon processes, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2018) 101, arXiv:1712.01295.
- [40] KOTO Collaboration, Search for the $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \chi^0$ decays at the J-PARC KOTO experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 021802, arXiv:1810.09655.
- [41] BESIII Collaboration, Status and future prospects for charged lepton flavor violation searches at BESIII, PoS NuFact2017 (2018) 119.