Physics Letters B 795 (2019) 117-121

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Charm-baryon production in proton-proton collisions

Min He^{a,*}, Ralf Rapp^b

^a Department of Applied Physics, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China
^b Cyclotron Institute and Department of Physics & Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 March 2019 Received in revised form 14 May 2019 Accepted 4 June 2019 Available online 10 June 2019 Editor: W. Haxton

Keywords: Heavy flavor production Proton-proton collisions Charm baryons

ABSTRACT

Recent measurements of charm-hadron production in proton-proton collisions at the LHC have found a surprisingly large baryon to meson ratio. We propose that this observation can be explained by the statistical hadronization model (SHM), by employing a largely augmented set of charm-baryon states beyond the current listings of the particle data group. We estimate the additional states using guidance from the relativistic quark model and from lattice QCD. Using charm- and strange-quark fugacity factors to account for the well-known suppression of heavy flavor in elementary collisions, we compute the yields and spectra of D, D_s and Λ_c hadrons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5$ TeV. Our main finding is that the enhanced feeddown from excited charm baryons can account for the Λ_c/D^0 ratio measured by ALICE at midrapidity, with some caveat for the forward-rapidity LHCb data. Furthermore, assuming independent fragmentation of charm quarks but with the hadronic ratios fixed by the SHM, the measured transverse-momentum (p_T) spectra of D-mesons and Λ_c can also be described; in particular, the low- p_T enhancement in the observed Λ_c/D^0 ratio is attributed to the enhanced feeddown from "missing" charmbaryon states. We comment on the implications of these findings for measurements of D_s and Λ_c in heavy-ion collisions.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

The production of heavy-flavor (HF) particles in high-energy hadronic collisions is a versatile source of information on various aspects of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). The primordial pair production of heavy quarks and their anti-quarks in a hard partonic scattering event is a fruitful testing ground for perturbative QCD calculation. Based on collinear factorization, this process essentially governs the total heavy-quark (HQ) production cross section. On the other hand, the subsequent hadronization of charm (c) and bottom (b) quarks into HF hadrons is an inherently nonperturbative process related to, or even driven by, the confining property of QCD.

Various models to account for the different species of the observed HF hadrons have been put forward, including independent fragmentation models, usually applicable at sufficiently large momentum, or color neutralization models such as string fragmentation, color reconnection or color ropes [1–8]. In addition, the statistical hadronization model (SHM) has been employed, essentially replacing the complexity of the hadronization process by thermo-

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: minhephys@gmail.com (M. He). statistical weights governed by the masses of available hadron states at a universal hadronization "temperature", T_H . The SHM has been successfully applied to light- and strange-hadron production in both heavy-ion and elementary collisions, with the addition of a strangeness suppression factor, $\gamma_s < 1$, in the latter (and in peripheral heavy-ion collisions) [9-12]. It also works for various charm-meson ratios [13-18]. However, recent measurements of charm-baryon production in proton-proton (pp) collisions by the ALICE collaboration at the LHC held a surprise; specifically, the cross section ratio of prompt Λ_c over D^0 -mesons, $\Lambda_c/D^0 \simeq 0.54$, measured at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ GeV [19] turns out to be much larger than expected in most event generators [2-4,20,21], as well as in the SHM where it is ~ 0.22 [14] based on charm-hadron states listed by the particle data group (PDG) [22]. Recent attempts [7] to reproduce these data using an independent fragmentation approach confirmed the challenge to describe the ALICE data. Measurements of Λ_c production have also been carried out by the LHCb collaboration at forward rapidities, yielding smaller values of $\Lambda_c/D^0 \simeq$ 0.25 ± 0.05 in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collisions [23], and $\sim 0.35 \pm 0.05$ in $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5$ TeV *p*Pb collisions [24].

In the present paper we explore in how far the observed enhancement of Λ_c production can be due to hitherto unobserved charm-baryon states, not listed in the PDG tables [22]. For exam-

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.06.004

0370-2693/© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.





Table 1

Thermal densities of "prompt" ground-state charmed hadrons for hadronization temperatures of $T_H = 170$ and 160 MeV (including strong feeddowns) in the PDG and RQM scenarios (assuming 100% BR of Λ_c 's and Σ_c 's above the *DN* threshold into Λ_c^+).

$n_i \ (\cdot 10^{-4} \ {\rm fm}^{-3})$	D^0	D^+	D^{*+}	D_s^+	Λ_c^+	$\Xi_c^{+,0}$	Ω_c^0
PDG(170)	1.161	0.5098	0.5010	0.3165	0.3310	0.0874	0.0064
PDG(160)	0.4996	0.2223	0.2113	0.1311	0.1201	0.0304	0.0021
RQM(170)	1.161	0.5098	0.5010	0.3165	0.6613	0.1173	0.0144
RQM(160)	0.4996	0.2223	0.2113	0.1311	0.2203	0.0391	0.0044

ple, the latter currently contain 6 Λ_c and 3 Σ_c states, compared to 14 Λ and 10 Σ states (plus additional less certain states) in the strangeness sector. All of the observed excited single-charm baryons have dominant decay branchings into Λ_c final states with widths of the order of MeV, and thus their "feeddown" qualifies as "prompt" Λ_c production as measured in experiment. We will estimate the missing states by taking guidance from relativistic quark model (RQM) [25] calculations. We will implement the updated thermal yields to compute the hadro-chemistry of Λ_c , D^0 , D^* and D_s yields, and also calculate their transverse-momentum (p_T) spectra through fragmentation functions of a given *c*-quark spectrum adapted for mesons and baryons in the fixed-order-nextto-leading-log (FONLL) scheme [1,5].

2. Charm-baryon spectrum and SHM

The issue of "missing resonances" is a long-standing problem in hadronic spectroscopy, in particular in the baryon sector [26]. For charm baryons, this problem is particularly challenging as direct spectroscopic measurements are rather scarce. Lattice-QCD (IQCD) computations [27] of the charm-baryon spectrum indeed show a vastly richer spectrum than currently measurable, with ten's of additional states in the single-charm sector (most pertinent to our present work), approximately following quark model classifications of SU(6)-O(3) flavor-spin-angular-momentum symmetry. More indirectly, the analysis of the partial pressure of open-charm states and charm-quark susceptibilities in thermal IQCD [28] also found that their results for temperatures T = 150-170 MeV are much under-predicted using PDG states only, while the use of a charm-hadron spectrum predicted by the RQM [25] resulted in a good description.

Motivated by these findings we construct a SHM based on two different inputs for the charm-hadron states: (a) a PDG version of only including states listed in Ref. [22], as used in previous applications of the SHM [14], and (b) a RQM version including additionally predicted charm-baryon states as listed in Ref. [25], which amounts to an extra 18 Λ_c 's, 42 Σ_c 's, 62 Ξ_c 's, and 34 Ω_c 's up to a mass of 3.5 GeV. We have checked that including additional RQM mesons would increase the thermal density of D^0 by $\sim 10\%$, which does not affect our final results significantly. On the other hand, the baryon states in the RQM are based on a light-diquark scheme, which tends to give fewer states than a genuine three-quark picture [25] which could counter-balance an increase in excited *D*-meson states. As usual in the SHM, the thermal hadron densities follow from their masses, m_i , and spin-isospin degeneracies, d_i , evaluated at a hadronization temperature, T_H , as

$$n_i = \frac{d_i}{2\pi^2} m_i^2 T_H K_2(\frac{m_i}{T_H}) , \qquad (1)$$

where K_2 is the modified Bessel function of second order. Here, we neglect effects of excluded volume [29] and finite hadron widths [30], which have a rather small impact on hadron ratios. Also note that the thermal densities are applied at the hadronic level, rather than at the quark level as in Ref. [13]. Given the

Table 2

Thermal fractions of D^0 , D^+ , D_s^+ and Λ_c^+ for hadronization temperatures of $T_H = 170$ and 160 MeV (including strong feeddowns) in the PDG and ROM scenarios.

f_i	D^0	D^+	D_s^+	Λ_c^+
PDG(170)	0.4813	0.2113	0.1312	0.1372
PDG(160)	0.4968	0.2210	0.1304	0.1194
RQM(170)	0.4175	0.1834	0.1138	0.2379
RQM(160)	0.4473	0.1990	0.1174	0.1973

agreement of the lQCD susceptibilities with the same RQM charmbaryon ensemble as used here up to temperatures of 170 MeV [28], we use the latter as an upper estimate of T_H , and utilize lower values as part of our error estimate. A flavor hierarchy in the operational hadronization temperature of the QCD crossover transition has been suggested before based on comparisons of light and strange-quark susceptibilites [31], amounting to an upward shift of ~15 MeV for strange hadrons.

An important ingredient are the branching ratios (BRs) of the excited charm hadrons to their ground states. For observed states, we use BRs as available from the PDG, and for "seen" decay channels without quoted BRs we assume equal weights. For observed excited $\Lambda_c(2595,2625)$ and $\Sigma_c(2450,2520,2800)$ states below the DN threshold ($m_{DN} \simeq 2805$ MeV), only decay channels including a Λ_c^+ are listed by the PDG (often with 100% BR). For Λ_c (only 2 listed) and Σ_c (none listed) states above the DN threshold, chiralquark model studies [32] predict the dominant decays still to be $\Lambda_c + n\pi$ channels. We therefore assume a range of 50-100% for the BR into those channels; this is, in fact, the main uncertainty in our final results and will be represented by error bands in the pertinent plots below. For excited Ξ_c 's (containing one strange quark) the PDG indicates $\Lambda_c + K$ decay channels; lacking quantitative knowledge of those, we assume a 50% BR for the additional RQM Ξ_c 's decaying to Λ_c , with the remaining 50% to the ground state Ξ_c (in the extreme case of switching off any BR of the RQM Ξ_c 's into Λ_c^+ , the latter's density is reduced by ~6%). Finally, for the thermal densities of both D_s mesons and Ξ_c baryons, we apply a strangeness suppression factor of $\gamma_s = 0.6$ in Eq. (1), in line with the empirical value of 0.56 ± 0.04 extracted from $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV *pp* collisions [33] (for Ω_c 's, γ_s^2 is applied accordingly).

The calculated thermal densities (with strong feeddowns) of the ground-state charm hadrons are summarized in Table 1, where we also include results for $T_H = 160$ MeV. The densities are converted into fractions of the total charm content in Table 2. The additional baryon states in the RQM substantially enhance the fraction of the ground-state Λ_c^+ in the system, relative to the PDG scenario, by about ~73(65)% at $T_H = 170(160)$ MeV. We further-more compute the ratios of D^+ , D^{*+} , D^+_s and Λ^+_c to the D^0 , as summarized in Table 3. The meson ratios are rather stable with respect to temperature variations, but the baryon-to-meson ratio is more sensitive. In the PDG scenario with $T_H = 160$ MeV, $\Lambda_{c}^{+}/D^{0} \simeq 0.24$, close to the previously reported SHM value of 0.22 obtained for $T_H = 156.5$ MeV [14,34]. This value is increased to $\Lambda_c^+/D^0 \simeq 0.57(0.45)$ at $T_H = 170$ MeV in the RQM scenario (for 100(50)% BR of Λ_c 's and Σ_c 's above the *DN* threshold into Λ_c^+), almost doubling the PDG value and becoming comparable to the ALICE measurement [19]. This is a key result of our work.

Table 3
Ratios of D^+ , D^{*+} , D^+_s and Λ^+_c to D^0 at $T_H = 170$ and 160 MeV (including strong
feeddowns) in the PDG and ROM scenarios.

r _i	D^+/D^0	D^{*+}/D^0	D_s^+/D^0	Λ_c^+/D^0
PDG(170)	0.4391	0.4315	0.2736	0.2851
PDG(160)	0.4450	0.4229	0.2624	0.2404
RQM(170)	0.4391	0.4315	0.2726	0.5696
RQM(160)	0.4450	0.4229	0.2624	0.4409

In the following, we calculate the p_T -differential cross sections of charmed hadrons by fragmenting a universal underlying charmquark p_t spectrum.

3. Fragmentation and decay simulation

Charm-quark pair production, as a hard process, is believed to be governed by perturbative QCD, even down to low momenta. Therefore, our starting point to compute charm-hadron p_T spectra is the charm-quark p_t spectrum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.5$ TeV as simulated by FONLL [1,5] (we use it as a proxy for that at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV). We utilize it to perform fragmentation into various charmed mesons and baryons using the same fragmentation function [35] that was also implemented in the FONLL framework,

$$D_{c \to H}(z) = N_H \frac{rz(1-z)^2}{[1-(1-r)z]^6} [(6-18(1-2r)z + (21-74r+68r^2)z^2 - 2(1-r)(6-19r+18r^2)z^3 + 3(1-r)^2(1-2r+2r^2)z^4], \qquad (2)$$

where $z = p_T/p_t$ is the fraction of the hadron (*H*) momentum (p_T) relative to the quark momentum (p_t), and the parameter *r* represents the ratio of the mass of the constituent light-quark content to that of the charm hadron [35]. The normalization factor, N_H , for each hadron is determined so that the p_T integrated hadron yields match the ratios obtained from the SHM, while the sum of all hadron yields is fixed by the total charm cross section. The assumption here is that the p_T -integrated yields given by the thermal model are not affected by redistributing them over the p_T spectrum of the hadrons resulting from the fragmentation of the primordial charm-quark spectrum (some of that effect is absorbed by a slight tuning of the *r* parameter relative to the FONLL simulation).

We tune the parameter r in Eq. (2) for the ground-state D^0 and Λ_c^+ to fit the slope of their measured p_T spectra. Once r_{D^0} is fixed, the value of r for other D and D_s mesons (M) follows from mass scaling: $r_M/r_{D^0} = ((m_M - m_c)/m_M)/((m_{D^0} - m_c)/m_{D^0})$ [35], where $m_c = 1.5$ GeV is the charm-quark mass used in our calculations, and likewise for charm baryons (B): $r_B/r_{\Lambda_c^+} = ((m_B - m_c)/m_B)/((m_{\Lambda_c^+} - m_c)/m_{\Lambda_c^+})$. The resulting r-values for the ground-state hadrons turn out to be $r_{D^0} = 0.1$ and $r_{\Lambda_c^+} = 0.16$. Each charm hadron formed from fragmentation is then decayed into ground-state particles assuming a constant matrix element, with the decay kinematics solely determined by phase space and branching ratios as discussed in Sec. 2.

To effectively conduct the fragmentation and decay simulations, we introduce an "average" baryon state to represent the additional RQM states of each category (*i.e.*, with the same isospin) by a combined spin degeneracy as the sum of the pertinent category, and an average mass that results in a thermal density corresponding to the sum of all states in that category. Specifically, 18 additional Λ_c 's are represented by an "average" $\tilde{\Lambda}_c^*$ of effective mass 3.17 GeV

and total spin degeneracy of 43.5; 42 additional Σ_c 's by an "average" $\overline{\Sigma}_c^*$ of effective mass 3.10 GeV and total spin degeneracy 88.5; 62 additional Ξ_c 's by an "average" $\overline{\Xi}_c^*$ of effective mass 3.24 GeV and total spin degeneracy 135.5; and additional 34 Ω_c 's by an "average" $\overline{\Omega}_c^*$ of effective mass 3.26 GeV and total spin degeneracy 65.5. To check the accuracy of this mass-averaging procedure, we have calculated the integrated yields of each ground-state particle from fragmentation plus decay simulations, and confirmed that the pertinent fractions and ratios agree with those calculated from the explicit RQM particle content (as listed in Tables 2 and 3) within a few percent.

3.1. LHC

The results of our fragmentation and decay simulations for the p_T -differential cross sections, $d\sigma/dp_T dy$, for D^0 , D^+ , D_s^+ and Λ_c^+ for both PDG and RQM scenarios at $T_H = 170$ MeV in $\sqrt{s} =$ 5.02 TeV pp collisions are compared to ALICE data [16,18,19] in Fig. 1. The corresponding total charm cross section turns out to be $d\sigma^{c\bar{c}}/dy = 0.855$ mb and 1.0 mb in the two scenarios, respectively. While the meson spectra can be well reproduced within the PDG scenario, the Λ_c^+ spectrum exhibits a substantial deficiency in this scenario. Including the additional RQM baryons makes a decisive difference and enables a good description of the Λ_c^+ spectrum measured by ALICE. Also note that the decay feeddown leads to an appreciable low- p_T enhancement over the PDG scenario that seems to be supported by the ALICE data. The red band in the lower panel of Fig. 1 represents our main uncertainty in the RQM calculation, related to the decay BRs of the excited Λ_c 's and Σ_c 's into Λ_c^+ final states above the DN threshold, varying between 50% and 100%.

Next, we turn to the Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio, shown in Fig. 2. The AL-ICE data [19] at mid-rapidity confirm that the RQM scenario is clearly favored (where uncertainty due to the BRs is again represented by the band), including the increasing trend toward lower p_T as referred to above. On the other hand, the LHCb data [23] at forward rapidity are better reproduced by the PDG scenario. Possible reasons for this may be the reduced particle multiplicity, *i.e.*, the fewer production of light quarks and antiquarks at forward rapidity, which limits the phase space available for charmquark coalescence especially for more massive resonances, or a lower hadronization temperature [36]. Interestingly, the LHCb data in *p*-Pb collisions [24] show an increase in this ratio, and possibly also a rising trend toward central rapidity, while the ALICE *p*-Pb data at midrapidity are consistent with their *pp* data [19], possibly exhibiting a slight hardening.

3.2. Predictions at RHIC energy

We repeat our fragmentation and decay simulation in the RHIC energy regime, for $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV pp collisions, with the same setup for both PDG and RQM scenarios at $T_H = 170$ MeV. The only change is the underlying charm-quark p_t spectrum which we again adopt from the FONLL framework, and the total charm input cross section. The thus obtained p_T spectrum for D^{0} 's is plotted in Fig. 3 and shows good agreement with STAR data [37,38]. The fitted charmed cross section turns out to be $d\sigma^{c\bar{c}}/dy = 0.221$ (0.189) mb in the RQM (PDG) scenario. The pertinent predictions for the Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio are displayed in Fig. 3, showing very similar features as at LHC energies.

4. Summary

We have employed the statistical hadronization model to compute the hadro-chemistry of charm hadrons in *pp* collisions at



Fig. 1. p_T -differential cross sections of D^0 , D^+ , D_s^+ and Λ_c^+ (including strong feeddowns) in $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV pp collisions. The PDG (dashed green lines) and RQM scenarios (solid red lines and band, representing our uncertainty in the Λ_c and Σ_c BRs into Λ_c^+) for $T_H = 170$ MeV are compared to ALICE data at mid-rapidity [16, 18,19].

collider energies. Compared to previous calculations, we have augmented the underlying charm-baryon spectrum by a relatively large number of states as predicted by the relativistic quark model. A related issue is well known for the spectroscopy of light and strange baryons, where many more states are observed than in the charm sector. The need for additional charm-baryon states is further supported by lattice-QCD computations of the vacuum spec-



Fig. 2. The Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio following from our fits in the PDG (dashed green line) and RQM (solid red band) scenario at $T_H = 170$ MeV in $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV *pp* collisions, compared to ALICE [19] and LHCb [23] data.



Fig. 3. The p_T spectrum of D^{0} 's (upper panel) and the Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio (lower panel) in $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV pp collisions from PDG and RQM scenarios at $T_H = 170$ MeV, together with STAR D^0 data in the upper panel [37,38].

trum and of thermal charm susceptibilities in the vicinity of the transition temperature. Utilizing the RQM spectrum, we have found a marked increase of the Λ_c/D^0 ratio over the predictions based on known states. As a result, the surprising enhancement of Λ_c production as found by the ALICE collaboration in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collisions at midrapidity can be largely explained within the theoretical and experimental uncertainties (the smaller enhancement found at forward rapidities by the LHCb collaboration may hint at limitations of this picture). We have also computed pertinent p_T spectra using the fragmentation function formalism but with the hadron ratios determined by the SHM. Also here a fair agreement with data has been found, including a low- p_T enhancement for the Λ_c which we attribute to feeddown from excited states.

Our findings suggest several directions of future work. The augmented SHM can be tested by other charm hadrons (such as Σ_c or Ξ_c) in *pp* and *p*-A collision. It also has predictive power for the bottom sector. Furthermore, we expect that our findings have

important ramifications for the understanding of the charm (and bottom) hadro-chemistry and kinetics in heavy-ion collisions. The intense rescattering of charm (and presumably also bottom) quarks in the hot QCD medium, as reflected by the nuclear modification factor and large elliptic flow of *D*-mesons in Au-Au and Pb-Pb collisions at RHIC and the LHC, stipulates the need for a controlled and universal equilibrium limit in transport calculations of the spectra and yields of charm hadrons at low and intermediate p_T [39]. We believe that our analysis presented here provides a significant and well-motivated improvement in this direction, not only for understanding Λ_c production [40,41], but also for current and future measurements of a much richer set of charm (D_s, Σ_c, \ldots) and bottom ($B_s, \Lambda_b, \Sigma_b, \ldots$) hadrons.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NSFC under grant 11675079 (MH), and by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) under grant no. PHY-1614484 (RR).

References

- [1] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, P. Nason, J. High Energy Phys. 9805 (1998) 007.
- [2] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Eur. Phys. J. C 41 (2005) 199.
- [3] S. Frixione, P. Nason, G. Ridolfi, J. High Energy Phys. 0709 (2007) 126.
- [4] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2082.
- [5] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, N. Houdeau, M.L. Mangano, P. Nason, G. Ridolfi, J. High Energy Phys. 1210 (2012) 137.
- [6] G. Kramer, H. Spiesberger, Nucl. Phys. B 925 (2017) 415.
- [7] R. Maciula, A. Szczurek, Phys. Rev. D 98 (1) (2018) 014016.
- [8] I. Helenius, H. Paukkunen, J. High Energy Phys. 1805 (2018) 196.
- [9] P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, Particle production in heavy ion collisions, Hwa, R.C. (ed.) et al., Quark gluon plasma, 491-599, arXiv:nucl-th/ 0304013.

- [10] J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, S. Wheaton, Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 034905.
- [11] F. Becattini, arXiv:0901.3643 [hep-ph].
- [12] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, Nature 561 (7723) (2018) 321.
- [13] I. Kuznetsova, J. Rafelski, Eur. Phys. J. C 51 (2007) 113.
- [14] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B 659 (2008) 149.
- [15] S. Acharya, et al., ALICE Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. 1810 (2018) 174.
- [16] J. Adam, et al., ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C 94 (2016) 054908.
- [17] S. Acharya, et al., ALICE Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (8) (2017) 550.
- [18] S. Acharya, et al., ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:1901.07979 [nucl-ex].
- [19] S. Acharya, et al., ALICE Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. 1804 (2018) 108; Elisa Meninno on behalf of the ALICE Collaboration, PoS HardProbes (2018) 137, https://pos.sissa.it/345/137/.
- [20] J.R. Christiansen, P.Z. Skands, J. High Energy Phys. 1508 (2015) 003.
- [21] C. Bierlich, J.R. Christiansen, Phys. Rev. D 92 (9) (2015) 094010.
- [22] M. Tanabashi, et al., Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 98 (3) (2018) 030001.
- [23] R. Aaij, et al., LHCb Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. B 871 (2013) 1.
- [24] R. Aaij, et al., LHCb Collaboration, arXiv:1809.01404 [hep-ex].
- [25] D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 014025.
- [26] V. Crede, W. Roberts, Rep. Prog. Phys. 76 (2013) 076301.
- [27] P. Madanagopalan, R.G. Edwards, N. Mathur, M.J. Peardon, PoS LATTICE 2014 (2015) 084.
- [28] A. Bazavov, et al., Phys. Lett. B 737 (2014) 210.
- [29] G.D. Yen, M.I. Gorenstein, W. Greiner, S.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. C 56 (1997) 2210.
- [30] M. Michalec, W. Florkowski, W. Broniowski, Phys. Lett. B 520 (2001) 213.
- [31] R. Bellwied, S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, S.D. Katz, C. Ratti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 202302.
- [32] X.H. Zhong, Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 074008.
- [33] B.I. Abelev, et al., STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C 79 (2009) 034909.
- [34] A. Andronic, priv. comm. (2018).
- [35] E. Braaten, K.m. Cheung, S. Fleming, T.C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 4819.
- [36] F. Becattini, J. Cleymans, J. Phys. G 34 (2007) S959.
- [37] L. Adamczyk, et al., STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 072013.
- [38] L. Adamczyk, et al., STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (14) (2014) 142301, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (22) (2018) 229901, Erratum.
- [39] R. Rapp, PoS HardProbes 2018 (2019) 029.
- [40] S. Acharya, et al., ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:1809.10922 [nucl-ex].
- [41] L. Zhou, STAR Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. A 967 (2017) 620.